

# Brute Ratel Config Decoding update

 [medium.com/walmartglobaltech/brute-ratel-config-decoding-update-7820455022cb](https://medium.com/walmartglobaltech/brute-ratel-config-decoding-update-7820455022cb)

Jason Reaves

October 25, 2022

```
Ratel Server
=====
Additions
-----
1. All payloads staged or stageless are by default encrypted with randomly generated keys
2. The method of loading encrypted config file has also changed taking into consideration the Palo Alto blog and several detections which were built around the blog
3. The encryption key is common for all stages (only stages) till the server is killed and started again. This means if a server is killed and started again, stage will need to be created again as the key in server is changed
4. Added Staging option to Listeners, that can generate a 7-8kB stage which fully utilize indirect syscalls. The staging option in listener can autostop itself after a certain stage count or can be disabled manually.
5. Stages select their respective stage depending on the architecture they are being run on.
6. Staging is only supported over HTTP/S

Improvements
-----
1. Modified saving of dynamically generated c2 profile with the 'Autosave' option, even if the server is not started with a C2 Profile

Badger
=====
Additions
-----
1. Added 'threads' command to list threads in a target process
2. Added 'phantom_thread' command
3. All stages now support reflectve syscalls(Stealth, default x64, x86 and x86 on Wow64)
4. Badger's don't use bootstrapped reflective DLLs anymore contains a new shellcode
5. The core of the badger and it's stage was re-written to hide several traces in memory following the Palo Alto blog.
6. The execution technique for syscalls, shellcode execution and stage execution along with the encryption technique differs from all the previous releases. The encryption for the configuration is also changed now along with dynamic key generation
```



Jason Reaves

Oct 25, 2022

4 min read

By: Jason Reaves

There have been a few reports on how to decrypt Brute Ratels[1] configuration data along with a few decryptors created[2,3]. However, the developer added in the release notes that they changed it to be a dynamic key instead of the hardcoded key everyone refers to. The hardcoded key is still used and exists for decrypting some of the strings on board.

Ref:

We start with a sample from a TrendMicro report on BlackBasta actors leveraging QBot to deliver Brute Ratel and CobaltStrike:

62cb24967c6ce18d35d2a23ebcd4217889d796cf7799d9075c1aa7752b8d3967

The shellcode-based loader is stored onboard and is loaded into memory. The shellcode stager uses a few Anti Debugging checks such as checking the NtGlobalFlag.

The encoded onboard DLL is still stored RC4 encrypted as mentioned in the MDsec blog[3] the key is the last 8 bytes:

RC4

## Manually decoding:

As we previously mentioned, the RC4 key for the config is no longer the hardcoded value in the DLL. Instead, it is now the last 8 bytes from the decoded DLL blob:

```
>>> a =
base64.b64decode('FE2frlPu/3cYTkUYWP9aoUwTUKZ778Ewaz5b2nzDTz20AR2qI5Jvqozn6a2BTADp7kUT
rc4 = ARC4.new('\x24\x7b\x29\x75\x5e\x2f\x2e\x70')>>>
rc4.decrypt(a)'0|5|5||||eyJjaGFubmVsIjoi|In0=|0|1|symantecuptimehost.com|8080|Mozilla
(Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/90.0.4430.93 Safari/537.36|AHOEN1R8FF7NF1VJ|GM8Q54SRAII7TKET|/admin.php?
login=|Content-Type:
application/json|a3fd9bbcd51227aca2f7f1577395132776ff95f4e906bd33a92344d59a6e77fc'
```

So, if we wanted to automate, we need to account for two methods I've seen being used for loading the config and DLL data by the shellcode layer.

The call over method which calls over the relevant data causing it's address to be pushed onto the stack:

## Call over method

Also the stack load method where chunks of the data are pushed onto the stack causing it to be rebuilt:

## Stack load method

For the call over method, we just look for the instructions leading to the call and then pull out the data. I'll be using a naive method, but I would recommend switching the code to using YARA as your decoder will last much longer.

```
cfg_off =  
blob.find('\x5a\xe8\x00\x00\x00\x00\x59\x48\x01\xd1\x48\x83\xc1\x0a\xff\xd1')cfg_len  
= struct.unpack_from('<I', blob[cfg_off-4:])[0]cfg_off += 16cfg =  
blob[cfg_off:cfg_off+cfg_len]
```

For finding the data in this scenario, we use a similar approach by just finding the call instruction sequence and pulling out the length while we are there:

```
if cfg != '':#Few ways to find the end      #way1      off1 =
blob.find('\x41\x59\xe8\x00\x00\x00\x00\x41\x58')    l = struct.unpack_from('<I',
blob[off1-4:])[0]    bb = blob[off1+19:]    bb = bb[:1]
```

Decoding the config, then just involves first decrypting the DLL and recovering the key:

```

rc4 = ARC4.new(bb[-8:])      decoded = rc4.decrypt(bb[:-8])      rc4 =
ARC4.new(decoded[-8:])      decoded_cfg = rc4.decrypt(base64.b64decode(cfg))
print(decoded_cfg)

```

For the stack-based loading, I will be using the Unicorn[5] emulator which I've used for decoding data out of previous malware samples. First, we need the config data:

```

else:      #need to pull from stack      offset = data.find(needle)      blob =
data[offset:]      STACK=0x90000      code_base = 0x10000000      mu =
Uc(UC_ARCH_X86, UC_MODE_64)      test =
re.findall(r'''4883e4f04831c050.+4889e168''', binascii.hexlify(blob))      temp =
[test[0][:-2]]      mu.mem_map(code_base, 0x100000)      mu.mem_map(STACK, 4096*10)
for i in range(len(temp)):      #print(temp[i])      try:      blob =
binascii.unhexlify(temp[i])      except:      blob =
binascii.unhexlify(temp[i][1:])      mu.mem_write(code_base, '\x00'*0x100000)
mu.mem_write(STACK, '\x00'*(4096*10))      mu.mem_write(code_base, blob)
mu.reg_write(UC_X86_REG_ESP, STACK+4096)
mu.reg_write(UC_X86_REG_EBP, STACK+4096)      try:
mu.emu_start(code_base, code_base+len(blob), timeout=10000)      except:
pass      a = mu.mem_read(STACK, 4096*10)      b = a.rstrip('\x00')      b =
b.lstrip('\x00')      cfg = str(b)

```

For the data, we just need to account for a larger stack size:

```

mu =
Uc(UC_ARCH_X86, UC_MODE_64)#045e95f1a5bcc1ce2eeb905ab1c5f440a42364a170008309faef1cfdba2
has 5a48      test = re.findall(r'''00005a4[89].+4989e068''', binascii.hexlify(blob))
if len(test) > 0:      temp = [test[0][6:-2]]      mu.mem_map(code_base,
0x100000)      mu.mem_map(STACK, 4096*200)      for i in range(len(temp)):
try:      blob = binascii.unhexlify(temp[i])      except:
blob = binascii.unhexlify(temp[i][1:])      mu.mem_write(code_base,
'\x00'*0x100000)      mu.mem_write(STACK, '\x00'*(4096*200))
mu.mem_write(code_base, blob)      mu.reg_write(UC_X86_REG_ESP, STACK+(4096*100))
mu.reg_write(UC_X86_REG_EBP, STACK+(4096))      mu.emu_start(code_base,
code_base+len(blob), timeout=100000)      a = mu.mem_read(STACK, 4096*200)
b = a.rstrip('\x00')      b = b.lstrip('\x00')      b = str(b)

```

Decoding the config is then the same process of first decrypting the DLL:

```

rc4 = ARC4.new(b[-8:])      t = rc4.decrypt(b[:-8])      rc4 =
ARC4.new(t[-8:])      decoded_cfg = rc4.decrypt(base64.b64decode(cfg))
print(decoded_cfg)

```

While enumerating samples off VirusTotal, we also discovered what looks more like a stager version:

d79f991d424af636cd6ce69f33347ae6fa15c6b4079ae46e9f9f6cfa25b09bb0

This version just loads a bytecode blob onto the stack:

Stager like version

The decoding of the bytecode config is once again just the last 8 bytes as an RC4 key:

```
| {"channel":"|"}|1|login.offices365.de|443|Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 10.0; Win64; x64)  
AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/90.0.4430.93  
Safari/537.36|IT0U1PFRSSE8GHCJ|Fd6Ve1xcaC04EhDTbgTV|/en/ec2/pricing/|content-type:  
application/json|
```

## IOCs

---

symantecuptimehost.comlogin.offices365.de

## References

---

1: <https://bruteratel.com/>

2: <https://github.com/Immersive-Labs-Sec/BruteRateL-DetectionTools/blob/main/ConfigDecoder.py>

3: <https://www.mdsec.co.uk/2022/08/part-3-how-i-met-your-beacon-brute-rateL/>

4: [https://www.trendmicro.com/en\\_us/research/22/j/black-basta-infiltrates-networks-via-qakbot-brute-rateL-and-coba.html](https://www.trendmicro.com/en_us/research/22/j/black-basta-infiltrates-networks-via-qakbot-brute-rateL-and-coba.html)

5: <https://www.unicorn-engine.org/>