Attackers target Ukraine using GoMet backdoor

D blog.talosintelligence.com/2022/07/attackers-target-ukraine-using-gomet.html



Executive summary

Since the Russian invasion of Ukraine began, Ukrainians have been under a <u>nearly constant</u> <u>barrage of cyber attacks</u>. Working jointly with Ukrainian organizations, Cisco Talos has discovered a fairly uncommon piece of malware targeting Ukraine — this time aimed at a large software development company whose software is used in various state organizations within Ukraine. We believe that this campaign is likely sourced by Russian state-sponsored actors or those acting in their interests. As this firm is involved in software development, we cannot ignore the possibility that the perpetrating threat actor's intent was to gain access to source a supply chain-style attack, though at this time we do not have any evidence that they were successful. Cisco Talos confirmed that the malware is a slightly modified version of the open-source backdoor named "<u>GoMet</u>." The malware was first observed on March 28, 2022.

GoMet backdoor

The story of this backdoor is rather curious — there are two documented cases of its usage by sophisticated threat actors. First, in 2020, attackers were deploying this malware after the successful exploitation of <u>CVE-2020-5902</u>, a vulnerability in F5 BIG-IP so severe that USCYBERCOM posted a <u>tweet</u> urging all users to patch the application. The second is more

recent and involved the <u>successful exploitation</u> of <u>CVE-2022-1040</u>, a remote code execution vulnerability in Sophos Firewall.

Both cases are very similar. They both start with the exploitation of a public vulnerability on appliances where the malicious actors then dropped GoMet as a backdoor. As of publishing time, Cisco Talos has no reason to believe these cases are related to the usage of this backdoor in Ukraine.

The original GoMet author posted the code on GitHub on March 31, 2019 and had commits until April 2, 2019. The commits didn't add any features but did fix some code convention aesthetics. The backdoor itself is a rather simple piece of software written in the Go programming language. It contains nearly all the usual functions an attacker might want in a remotely controlled agent. Agents can be deployed on a variety of operating systems (OS) or architectures (amd64, arm, etc.). GoMet supports job scheduling (via Cron or task scheduler depending on the OS), single command execution, file download, file upload or opening a shell. An additional notable feature of GoMet lies in its ability to daisy chain — whereby the attackers gain access to a network or machine and then use that same information to gain access to multiple networks and computers — connections from one implanted host to another. Such a feature could allow for communication out to the internet from otherwise completely "isolated" hosts.

This version was changed by malicious actors, in the original code, the cronjob is configured to be executed once every hour on the hour. In our samples, the cronjob is configured to run every two seconds. This change makes the sample slightly more noisy since it executes every two seconds, but also prevents an hour-long sleep if the connection fails which would allow for more aggressive reconnection to the C2.

The objective of the cron job defined in the main part of the malware is to check if it's connected to the C2, if not it will start the agent component again and connect to the C2. The picture below shows the execution flow of the C2 setup routine Agent.Start.



This flow reveals another change to the GitHub versions. If the C2 is unreachable, the sample will sleep for a random amount of time between five and 10 minutes. GO's sleep implementation uses nanoseconds. The Pseudo Code would look like the following: time_Sleep(1000000000 * (rnd_val + 300)).

The 'WaitGroup_Add' call in the disassembly screenshot can also be confusing. The trick is, the Go compiler is changing the source code WaitGroup.Done() to WaitGroup.Add(-1).

After the Agent.start routine is done, the next cron job triggered the execution of the serve() routine and tried to start another instance of the Agent.

The simplified source code of the GitHub version looks like this:

```
func main() {
1
       var wg sync.WaitGroup
       wg.Add(1)
       go serve()
       c := cron.New()
       c.AddFunc("0 * * * * * *", serve)
       c.Start()
       wg.Wait()
  func serve() {
                                             // return if an agent instance is already running
       if connected {
           return
       connected = true
       var wg sync.WaitGroup
       wg.Add(1)
       a := NewAgent(&wg)
a.Start()
                                              // start a new agent instance and try
       wg.Wait()
                                               // Wait for Agent start
       connected = false
   }
   func (a *Agent) Start() {
       err := a.connectToRemote()
                                         // try to connect to the C2 server
       if err == nil {
           a.handleSession()
       }
       a.wg.Done()
                                                // stop waiting
```

The simplified pseudo-code for the samples in the wild looks like this:

```
func main() {
        agent_counter = 3
                                                 // Max. number of agent instances
        wg_main.Add(1)
        go serve()
        c = cron.New()
                           * * *", serve)
        c.AddFunc("*/2
                                                 // Execute 'serve' every 2 sec
        c.Start()
        wg_main.Wait()
    func serve() {
30
31
        var wg sync.WaitGroup
        wg.Add(1)
        go agent_start(&wg)
        wg.Wait()
        if agent_counter < 0 {</pre>
            c.Stop()
                                                 // Stop the scheduler (does not stop any jobs already running).
            wg_main.Done()
    func agent_start(wg *sync.WaitGroup) {
        agent_counter = agent_counter - 1
        err := a.connectToRemote()
                                                 // try to connect to the C2 server
            a.handleSession()
        time.Sleep(2 * time.Second)
                                                 // 2 = random value in real backdoor
        wg.Done()
```

Talos found two samples of this version of the backdoor:

f24158c5132943fbdeee4de4cedd063541916175434f82047b6576f86897b1cb (FctSec.exe)

950ba2cc9b1dfaadf6919e05c854c2eaabbacb769b2ff684de11c3094a03ee88 (SQLocalM86.exe)

These samples have minor differences but are likely built from the same source code, just with a slightly different configuration.

If we look closely at the functions, they are not 100% equal, but we can see that the changes are mainly strings and similar victim or compiler-dependent data, along with researcher comments. Below is the Main.Main function as an example.

a.	IDA View-A	🛛 🤮 Partial matches 🖾 🛅 D	ff assembler main.main - main.main 🛽	. 🔉	Bestin	atches		10	Hex V	lew-1		A Sh	ictures		10	Enums
-	any 1	tesh second and second second second														
128	call	github_com_nightlyone_lockfile_Lockfi			sall					kfile_L	ockfile	TryLook				
129	cmp	[rsp+150h+var_140], 0	12:		mp	[rsp+	150h+w	sr_140],	. 0							
130	jnz	loo_ <mark>5r</mark> 38mp	1.34		S (T) Z		0438ED									
131100	c_5f36b3:		13		0436b3:			and the second sec								
132	1ma mov	rax, off_65DC68 [rsp+150h+var_20], rax	13.		leia.		off_100 150h+w	AX_201,	K a K							
134	nov	rax, [rep+150h+war_60]	13					50h+var								
135	mov	[rsp+150h+var_20+8], ram	13		NOV.			ar_20+8								
136	BOV	rax, [rep+150h+var_C0]	13					50h+var								
137	BOV	[rsp+150h+var_10], rax	13					ar_101,								
135			130		NOW.											
	nov	[rsp+150h+var_E1], 1			607F			ar_E1],								
139 140	BOV	ram, cs:qword 701A88 rcm, cs:off 701A80	13					rd_1202; 1202AB								
141	test	TAX, TAX	141			CHX.										
142	inz	100 SF3883	143		inz		043983									
	c 5136fe:	700-00 0000			0436fe:		010000									
144	TOY	cs:qword_80D968, 3	14	4 .	1077		ord 12	ME 968, 3	3							
	0_ <mark>51</mark> 37091				0437091											
146	103	rax, [rsp+150h+var_C0]	14)					SOD+var								
147	mov	[rsp+150h+var_150], ram/int64	14		NOW .	(xab+	150h+w	ar_150),	rear,	1nt64						
148	mov	ram, cs:off_7D1B00; "AgovGgplneReFild								pkmax9	painSen					
149	BOV	rcx, cs:qword_701800	141					rd_1202		1 - 1 - 1 -						
150	mov	[rsp+150h+var_148], rax;int64			1017					int64						
151	mov	[rsp+150h+war_140], rcx;int64	15:		107					Int64						
152	call	runtime_stringtoslicebyte	15					ingtool	-							
153	nov	rax, [rsp+150h+var_130]	15:		107	rax,	[rsp+1]	50h+var	130]							
154	mov	rcs, [rspt150htvar_130]	154		10W	DOM:	[rsp+1]	50htvar,	$_{130}$							
155	BOV	rdx, [rsp+150h+var_128]	15	5 3	107	rdx,	[csp+1]	SOh+var	120]							
156	mov	[rsp+150h+var_150], rax; int64	154	6 .	1077	(rep)	150h+w	ar_150),	1087	int64						
157	mov	[rsp+150h+var_148], rox; int64	15	2 .	NOV.	(rspt	150h+w	ar 1481.	ECH.	int64						
158	mov	[rsp+150h+war_140], rdm/ int64	154	8 .	10V					1nt64						
159	call	crypto md5 gum	15				o md5 :									
160	les	rax, unk 800075	16				unk 12									
161	mov	[rsp+150h+var_150], ram	161		OW			ar_150],	. Tax							
162	nov	[rsp+150h+var 140], 1	163		107			ar 140)								
163	call	sync ptr WaitGroup Add	16		sal1			aitGroup								
164	nov	dword ptr [rsp+150h+var_150], 0	164					rsp+150		501. 0						
165	lea	rax, off 6500A0	16				off 10		_	3011 0						
166	NOV	[rsp+150h+var_140], rax	16		LOW.			ar_140],	. 132							
167	call	runtime_newproc	16		all.					0104356	0 main.:	10.717				
168	mov	Eas, dsigword TpiADS	16					ed 1202		*******	·					
169	nov	rcx, cs:off 701AD0	161		1077	rcx,	cs:off	1202AD								
170	test	rax, rax	1.70			rax,										
171	jnz	short loc_SP37A4	17		312		loc_10	3437842	**/2 *		** = ext	ec every	2 sec			
172100	c_523798:	our oth	17:		043790:		0.00									
	mov	eax, ODh				eax,										
174	lea	rcx, a2; **/2 * * * * * *	174				az; **	12 * *								
	c_5f37a4:	Inconstructure 201 and			10437a4:		15.04.4					-				
176	BOV	[rsp+150h+var_T0], rcs [rsp+150h+var_D0], rax	17		low low			ar_701,		16		= exec e	HOLY 2 1	100		
178	call		170							Market	a on da c	and in such		ab 0.0	0000000	1043540
175	mov	github_com_robfig_cron_v3_WithSeconds rax, [rsp+150h+war_150]	17					SOhtvar		Hatness	CONDER! 1	calls mai	m. perve	ac 00	0000000	1040300
100	BOY	[rsp+150h+var_60], 0	100		107			ar 60],								
181		=	181													
	mov	[rsp+150h+var_60], rax			NOV CON	AT MOT	A STREET WY	ar_60],	24.8							

The malicious activity we detected included a fake Windows update scheduled tasks created by the GoMet dropper. Additionally, the malware used a somewhat novel approach to persistence. It enumerated the autorun values and, instead of creating a new one, replaced one of the existing goodware autorun executables with the malware. This potentially could avoid detection or hinder forensic analysis.

In one of the cases, about 60 seconds before the schtask query is executed, a blank CMD process is opened and then subsequently executes systeminfo and schtask queries rather than these queries being chain opened by svchost or services or another process. This execution looks like:

```
C:\WINDOWS\system32\cmd.exe 7)
```

systeminfo

schtasks /query /tn microsoft\windows\windowsupdate\scheduled

schtasks /query /tn microsoft\windows\windowsupdate\scheduled /v

Infrastructure

Both samples have the command and control (C2) IP address hardcoded, which is 111.90.139[.]122. Communication occurs via HTTPS on the default port.

The certificate on this server was issued on April 4, 2021 as a self-signed certificate, with the 9b5e112e683a3605c9481d8f565cfb3b7e2feab7 SHA-1 fingerprint. This indicates that this campaign preparation began as early as April 2021. At the moment, there are no known domains associated with this IP address and the last time there was a domain associated with it was on Jan. 23, 2021, which is outside the known attack time frame.

Conclusion

As the war in Ukraine rages on with little resolution in sight, we are reminded that attackers will try just about anything to gain additional leverage over their Ukrainian adversaries. Cisco Talos expects to see the continued deployment of a range of cyber weapons targeting the Ukrainian government and its counterparts. We remain vigilant and are committed to <u>helping</u> <u>Ukraine defend its networks</u> against such cyber attacks and working closely with our strategic allies in the region to gather and <u>provide actionable threat intelligence</u>.

In this instance, we saw a software company targeted with a backdoor designed for additional persistent access. We also observed the threat actor take active steps to prevent detection of their tooling by obfuscating samples and utilizing novel persistence techniques. This access could be leveraged in a variety of ways, including deeper access or launching additional attacks, including the potential for software supply chain compromise. It's a reminder that although the cyber activities haven't necessarily risen to the level many have expected, Ukraine is still facing a well-funded, determined adversary that can inflict damage in a variety of ways — this is just the latest example of those attempts.

We assess with moderate to high confidence that these actions are being conducted by Russian state-sponsored actors or those acting in their interests.

Coverage

Ways our customers can detect and block this threat are listed below.

Product	Protection
Cisco Secure Endpoint (AMP for Endpoints)	~
Cloudlock	N/A
Cloud Web Security	~
Cisco Secure Email	N/A
Cisco Secure Firewall/Secure IPS (Network Security)	~
Cisco Secure Network Analytics (Stealthwatch)	N/A
Cisco Secure Cloud Analytics (Stealthwatch Cloud)	N/A
Cisco Secure Malware Analytics (Threat Grid)	N/A
Umbrella	~
Cisco Secure Web Appliance (Web Security Appliance)	~

<u>Cisco Secure Endpoint</u> (formerly AMP for Endpoints) is ideally suited to prevent the execution of the malware detailed in this post. Try Secure Endpoint for free <u>here.</u>

<u>Cisco Secure Web Appliance</u> web scanning prevents access to malicious websites and detects malware used in these attacks.

<u>Cisco Secure Email</u> (formerly Cisco Email Security) can block malicious emails sent by threat actors as part of their campaign. You can try Secure Email for free <u>here</u>.

<u>Cisco Secure Firewall</u> (formerly Next-Generation Firewall and Firepower NGFW) appliances such as <u>Threat Defense Virtual</u>, <u>Adaptive Security Appliance</u> and <u>Meraki MX</u> can detect malicious activity associated with this threat.

<u>Cisco Secure Network/Cloud Analytics</u> (Stealthwatch/Stealthwatch Cloud) analyzes network traffic automatically and alerts users of potentially unwanted activity on every connected device.

<u>Cisco Secure Malware Analytics</u> (Threat Grid) identifies malicious binaries and builds protection into all Cisco Secure products.

<u>Umbrella</u>, Cisco's secure internet gateway (SIG), blocks users from connecting to malicious domains, IPs and URLs, whether users are on or off the corporate network. Sign up for a free trial of Umbrella <u>here</u>.

<u>Cisco Secure Web Appliance</u> (formerly Web Security Appliance) automatically blocks potentially dangerous sites and tests suspicious sites before users access them.

Additional protections with context to your specific environment and threat data are available from the <u>Firewall Management Center</u>.

<u>Cisco Duo</u> provides multi-factor authentication for users to ensure only those authorized are accessing your network.

Open-source Snort Subscriber Rule Set customers can stay up to date by downloading the latest rule pack available for purchase on <u>Snort.org</u>.

Indicators of Compromise

SHA-256 Hashes

f24158c5132943fbdeee4de4cedd063541916175434f82047b6576f86897b1cb 950ba2cc9b1dfaadf6919e05c854c2eaabbacb769b2ff684de11c3094a03ee88

IPs

111.90.139[.]122