RotaJakiro, the Linux version of the OceanLotus

N blog.netlab.360.com/rotajakiro_linux_version_of_oceanlotus/

Alex.Turing

6 May 2021 / Botnet

PE • 20 hours ago

∧ V · Reply · Share >

On Apr 28, we published our **<u>RotaJakiro</u>** backdoor blog, at that time, we didn't have the answer for a very important question, what is this backdoor exactly for? We asked the community for clues and two days ago we got a hint, **PE** (Thanks!) wrote the following comment on our blog post.



Wow. Amazing work. Here's a real comparison for you Jerry... looks a lot like the C2 activity associated with OceanLotus from 2016... https://www.virustotal.com/...

The <u>sample</u> mentioned in the message is a zip packing file, which has appeared in 2016. The zip contains multiple files, the Mach-O format executable file named <u>Noi dung chi</u> tiet (translated to <u>detailed information</u>) is the OceanLotus sample. When we compare the this file with the RotaJakiro sample, we noticed there are multiple similarities and it is <u>VERY likely</u> that this is **the Linux version of the OceanLotus**.

Similarity 1: Function for C2 session creation

The common domain name resolution function for Linux is **gethostbyname()**, but RotaJakiro uses the relatively niche **getaddrinfo()** function. C2 domain name resolution and session establishment are performed in one function, this is also used by the the OceanLotus sample. The comparison of the 2 functions is as follows.



It can be seen that they not only have the same function, but also use sprintf() and
getaddrinfo() in almost exactly the same way. In addition, both RotaJakiro and

May 6, 2021

OceanLotus use separate data structures to hold C2 session information, such as **socket** fd, whether active, timeout, etc., and their data structures are also very similar.



Similarity 2: registration packet construction method

The network packets of both RotaJakiro and OceanLotus are composed of Head, Key, and Payload, of which Head is mandatory and has a length of 82 bytes, while Key and Payload are optional.

- Offset 1, type DWORD, which holds a magic.
- Offset 9, type DWORD, holding the length of the Payload.
- Offset 13, type WORD, holding the Key length.
- Offset 15, type DWORD, holds the message code.

```
The RotaJakiro initializes the Head of the registration packets with a separate function.
Ł
  char *register_buf; // rbx
  unsigned int v1; // eax
  char *result; // rax
  register buf = (char *)malloc(0x52uLL);
  v1 = time(OLL);
  srand(v1);
  *register buf = rand();
  *(_DWORD *)(register_buf + 1) = 0x3B91011;
  *( DWORD *)(register buf + 5) = 0x4FB0CB1;
  *(_WORD *)(register_buf + 13) = 0;
  *( DWORD *)(register_buf + 9) = 0;
  register_buf[19] = 0xC2u;
  *((_DWORD *)register_buf + 5) = 0x1206420;
  register_buf[24] = 0xE2u;
  *(_DWORD *)(register_buf + 25) = 0;
  register_buf[29] = 0xC2u;
  *(_DWORD *)(register_buf + 30) = 0;
  bzero(register buf + 34, 0x20uLL);
  result = register_buf;
  register buf[66] = -56;
  *( WORD *)(register buf + 75) = 255;
  register_buf[77] = 9;
  return result;
```

}

This function first calls the malloc() function to dynamically allocate memory for the registrationpacket, then calls the time()/srand()/rand() function in turn to generate a random character and then assign it to the first field of the registration packet, and the remaining large swath of code is to assign values to the remaining fields one by one with multiple constants, so the most obvious feature of this function is to initialize the

registration packet with multiple constants.

There is also a function in the OceanLotus sample that is dedicated to initializing the Head of the registration packets.

{

```
*(_DWORD *)(a1 + 1) = 0x3B91011;
*(_DWORD *)(a1 + 5) = 0x54F051C;
*(_DWORD *)(a1 + 9) = 0;
*( WORD *)(a1 + 13) = 0;
*( BYTE *)(a1 + 19) = 0x7F;
*(_BYTE *)(a1 + 24) = 0xE2u;
*( BYTE *)(a1 + 29) = 0x7F;
*( DWORD *)(a1 + 62) = 0;
 (OWORD *)(a1 + 54) = OLL;
*( QWORD *)(a1 + 46) = 0LL;
*( QWORD *)(a1 + 38) = 0LL;
*( QWORD *)(a1 + 30) = 0LL;
*( BYTE *)(a1 + 66) = 0xE9u;
*( WORD *)(a1 + 75) = 0xFF;
*( BYTE *)(a1 + 77) = 9;
*(_QWORD *)(a1 + 122) = 0LL;
*( QWORD *)(a1 + 114) = 0LL;
*( OWORD *)(a1 + 106) = 0LL;
*( QWORD *)(a1 + 98) = 0LL;
 (OWORD *)(a1 + 90) = OLL;
 ( QWORD *)(a1 + 82) = 0LL;
*( DWORD *)(a1 + 15) = a2;
*( DWORD *)(a1 + 20) = a3;
*( DWORD *)(a1 + 25) = a4;
```

}

This function has no code for memory allocation and random character generation, and the whole function uses multiple constants to assign values to specific fields of the registration packet one by one, exactly like the RotaJakiro. In addition, OceanLotus shares the same field values with RotaJakiro at offsets 1, 24 and 75, especially the magic at offset 1 is $0\times3B91011$, which is hard to describe as a coincidence, so it greatly increases the probability that these two pieces of code are the same origin. In addition, both the RotaJakiro and the OceanLotus have assigned message codes to the registration packets, and both are 0×2170272 :

if	((uns	signe	ed	int)su	b_4	046	E0((inte	64)	/5,	0 x	217	927	2,	0x:	3B9 :	101	1))	R	otaJak	iro	
	VS																									
if	(sub_	_100	003	16 A	(*((_0	WOR	D *)v1	+	2),	0x	217	027	2LL	, 0)x3	B91	011))	c	Oceanl	.otus	
The	e re	sulti	na re	ais	trat	ion	pac	ket	s is	also	o ve	rv s	imil	ar.	and	the	e Ro	ota	Jak	iro i	rec	iistr	atic	on pa	cket	s
is a	s fo	ollow	/S.	5								5		- ,						-		,		1		
000	00	000	24	41	61	54	03	55	e2	1c	e	36	36	36	36	3 6	3 6	53	2d	\$	\$Aa	π.ι	J	.cc	cccc	-
000	00	010	23	81	23	3b	67	ef	67	43	3.	f 6	36	36	36	3 3	b 6	53	63	#	ŧ.#	;g	.gC	?cc	cc;c	c
000	00	020	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	6	36	36	36	36	36	3 6	53	63	c	ccc	cco	ccc	ccc	cccc	с
000	00	030	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	6	36	36	36	36	3 6	3 6	53	63	c	ccc	cco	ccc	ccc	cccc	с
<u>000</u>	00	040	63	63	7a	63	63	63	63	63	6	36	36	39	c 6	34	2 6	53	63	C	cz	cco	ccc	ссс	.cBc	С
000	00	050	63	63											_			_		C	c					
The	fo	llowi	ng is	s the	e O	cea	nLc	otus	reg	istra	atior	n pa	icke	ets a	anal	yze	d b	<u>у </u>	PAN	in :	20	17.				_
000	000	000	Øb	41	61	54	03	e0	c3	8a	c3	63	63	63	63	63	63	2	d	.A	aT			cccc	cc-	
000	000	010	23	81	23	8c	67	ef	67	43	3f	63	63	63	63	8c	63	6	3	#.	#.9	g.g	C ?	cccc	• cc	
000	000	020	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	6	3	сс	cc	ccc	сс	cccc	ccc	
000	000	030	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	63	6	3	cc	CC	ccc	сс	cccc	CCC	
000	100	040	77	43	be	03	03	63	03	63	/3	43	01	90	03	42	63	0	3	- CC		CCC	C S		всс	
The	de	Crvr	oted	rea	istra	atio	n na	acke	ts f	or t	he F	Rota	Jak	ciro	is s	how	vn h	nelo	ow.	we						_
				i og					~~		~		00		00	00										i.
000	000	00	3A .	11	10	89	03	B1	00	FB	04 52	00	00	00	00	00	00		2	:.	•••	•••	•••	••••	.r	
000	000	20	02	20	02 00		20	64 00	20	00		00	00	00	00	00	00	00	9 9	••	•••	.a.	•••	••••		
000	000	20	00/0	30	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	จ	••	•••	•••	•••	• • • •		
000	000	40	00	00	C8	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	FF	00	09	00	00	0							
000	000	50	00	00			Ĩ						1				Т		-						••	
		cmd				\ magic					payload len						key len									

The following is the OceanLotus plaintext registration packets from <u>PAN</u>'s analysis.



You can see that they have the same plaintext structure and basically the same key field values.

Similarity 3: rotate function

Both RotaJakiro and OceanLotus have a function we called **rotate()** for encryption/decryption, the rotate function of RotaJakiro is as follows.

```
v3 = a1;
if ( a2 > 0 )
{
  v4 = 0;
  do
  {
    while ( !a3 )
    {
      ++v4;
      LOBYTE(v3) = \_ROL1\_(v3, 1);
      if ( a2 == v4 )
        return v3;
    }
    v5 = (v3 \& 1) << 7;
    LOBYTE(v3) = (char)v3 >> 1;
    if ( (v3 & 0x80u) != 0 )
      v3 = v5 | (v3 - 128);
    else
     v3 = v5;
    ++v4;
  }
  while ( a2 != v4 );
}
return v3;
```

}

```
For OceanLotus
 if (a2 > 0)
 {
   do
   ſ
     if ( a3 )
     {
       v3 = (char)a1;
       v4 = (BYTE)a1 << 7;
       v5 = v3 >> 1;
        if ( v3 & 0x100 )
         LOBYTE(v5) = v5 + -128;
       LOBYTE(a1) = v5 | v4;
     }
     else
     {
       a1 = ((unsigned int8)a1 >> 7) | (unsigned int8)(2 * a1);
      }
     --a2;
   }
   while ( a2 );
 }
 return (unsigned int)(char)a1;
ł
```

It is easy to see the commonalities between them.

- 1. Both accept 3 parameters.
- 2. The prototype is the same, where the first parameter is the actual rotate object, the second parameter is the length field, and the third parameter plays a control role.

In actual use, for example, in the process of encrypting the registration packets, you can see that the RotaJakiro and the OceanLotus use the same parameters.

Similarity 4: Same instruction code

Both RotaJakiro and OceanLotus use DWORD type instruction codes to specify the function of the message, and share several semantically identical instruction codes, some of which are featured as shown in the following table.

Cmd Function

Cmd	Function
0x18320e0	Upload device Info
0x2170272	Register
0x1B25503	execute function from a plugin(a aynamic library)
0x1532e65	execute function from a plugin(a aynamic library)
0x25D5082	execute function from a plugin(a aynamic library)

This similarity obviously cannot be explained by coincidence, **it is an extremely strong** evidence of their code homology.

Summary

Although the RotaJakiro and the Mac version of the OceanLotus are implemented in different languages, their similarity in function and message format design, and their similarity in specific implementation, can no longer be explained by coincidence. **It is highly likely that RotaJakiro is a Linux version of the OceanLotus.**