Detecting network beacons via KQL using simple spread stats functions

ateixei.medium.com[/detecting-network-beacons-via-kql-using-simple-spread-stats-functions-c2f031b0736b](https://ateixei.medium.com/detecting-network-beacons-via-kql-using-simple-spread-stats-functions-c2f031b0736b)

Alex Teixeira April 30, 2021

Apr 30, 2021

8 min read

·

What's a network beacon? Why is that important? Well, let's start with a quick definition before jumping into detection design and KQL code.

As Google suggests, beacon is a visible object serving as a **signal** or **warning**. That's what we, as detection engineers, are looking for when deploying a new detection or analytic rule.

In our context, *beacon* is referred to as traffic leaving the network at somewhat regular intervals with the purpose of communicating with a command-and-control server (C2).

This method can be used in a variety of ways: to 'heartbeat', to request new commands, or to download updates by interacting with the C2 server. It also works over any protocol, mostly leveraging outbound allowed network connections via the web proxy or firewall (HTTP/S, DNS, etc).

What data or log telemetry to use?

While there are many methods to tackle the challenge of detecting beaconing traffic, among the target data sources to use, web proxy, firewall and DNS log sources are figuring as top candidates.

Moreover, any data source providing *time series* telemetry including the *origin* and *target* of the network connection is also a potential candidate. Examples:

- Host FW such as Windows Firewall (*I* eventlogs)
- EDR (some provide all external network connections)

In this example, we are going to pick **Palo Alto** events that are logged to the **CommonSecurityLog** table in Log Analytics/Azure Sentinel. The events look like the following (base query):

As it applies to many other NG FWs or UTMs, the [user account is also logged](https://knowledgebase.paloaltonetworks.com/KCSArticleDetail?id=kA10g000000ClYuCAK) and that makes a big difference here.

Regardless of the (dynamic) IP address assigned to an affected host, tracking the origin via the user account eases the process of doing Hostname lookups while also making it faster to track the affected user.

Crafting a fully parameterized query

KQL (Kusto Query Language) allows us to define constants and variables to be used throughout the code, just like a procedural programming language does.

That's done via the statement:

Click to view

Here's where we define all the parameters, from the query's time period, to beacon's attributes, including which IP address ranges to ignore.

Some of those key parameters are explained further below and you can find all descriptions in the query as comments.

The Beacons table

This dynamic table holds a list of beacon candidates. The *CommonSecurityLog* table is used to store events from multiple products and vendors, therefore we need to narrow the query down to our scope.

In this case, we look for related events coming from vendor which also provides a valid value:

let Beacons = materialize(CommonSecurityLog| where TimeGenerated between (start..end)| where DeviceVendor has "palo alto" and Activity =~ "traffic" and isnotempty(SourceUserName)| where not(ipv4_is_private(DestinationIP))| evaluate ipv4_lookup(ExcludedNets, DestinationIP, ExcludedNet, return_unmatched = true)| where isempty(ExcludedNet)| project TimeGenerated, SourceUserName, DestinationIP, DestinationPort, DeviceAction, ReceivedBytes, Protocol, SentBytes

After excluding unwanted traffic, we simply project the relevant fields, speeding up the process. Another best practice we are leveraging here is the use of special function, which enables results caching.

Calculating stats per beacon instance

First of all, there are probably many other ways to do that. Happy to discuss other ideas and how we could improve it.

I've tried using the operator which enables sub-query over split-by results but unfortunately given the high number of potential distinct tuples (cardinality), the max partitions limit (64) is easily reached.

In Splunk (SPL), many commands do support that approach, including *eventstats* and *streamstats*, which is what I leverage [here.](https://github.com/inodee/threathunting-spl/blob/master/hunt-queries/Detecting_Beaconing.md)

In Kusto (KQL), there's a **super awesome** list of resources provided by [Ashwin Patil](https://twitter.com/ashwinpatil) (Senior Program Manager [@Microsoft](https://twitter.com/Microsoft) MSTIC) that covers an example of Network Beaconing detection but using a different, much simpler approach:

ashwin-patil/blue-teaming-with-kql

[Repository with Sample KQL Query examples for Threat Hunting This folder](https://github.com/ashwin-patil/blue-teaming-with-kql#network-beaconing) has various KQL examples related to Threat…

github.com

The way to calculate those stats is basically by sorting the events by time and 'tuple' and then using [Windows Functions](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/azure/data-explorer/kusto/query/windowsfunctions) to reference fields from previous records matching the current tuple at hand.

What's in a tuple?

In this context, it's basically what identifies a **distinct instance** of a beacon candidate. That's defined in this example by the following line:

```
| extend tuple = strcat(SourceUserName, '->', Protocol, ' ', DestinationIP, ':',
DestinationPort, ' (', DeviceAction, ')')
```
That means *tuple* holds both the origin (username) and target (destination IP + Port) related to a potential beacon. To make it even stricter, we also add the traffic outcome (allow/deny) to the tuple identifier.

The delta and variance

The key detection design here, besides scoping on the important fields and other enrichments (covered later) is on how to calculate the difference in time or *delta* between two consecutive requests matching the same tuple, and later measuring **how dispersed those values are**.

That's done by referencing the *TimeGenerated* from previous/current record and later calculating the standard deviation of those values split by tuple.

And here's how we've done it with function:

```
| extend TimeGenerated_prev=prev(TimeGenerated)| extend diff=iff(tuple ==
prev(tuple), datetime_diff('second', TimeGenerated, TimeGenerated_prev), 9999)|
summarize EventCount=count(), stdevif(diff, diff != 9999), stdev(ReceivedBytes),
arg_max(TimeGenerated, *) by tuple, Bin=bin(TimeGenerated, bin_size)
```
Instead of standard deviation, we could have used *variance* but with the former, it's easier to *describe* what happened, more below.

As you can see, the first parameter is consumed here: . That is defined earlier in the query via *let* statement and represents the size of each window for collecting deltas from consecutive similar records.

let $bin_size = 1$ h;

The idea is of course to enable easier code customization.

The last bit on beacon candidates is to filter on relevant flows only, and that's done by using the operator in combination with other parameters which behave as **thresholds**.

```
| where EventCount >= min_events_per_bin and stdevif_diff <= max_delta_deviation and
stdev_ReceivedBytes <= max_receive_bytes_deviation);
```
The most important here is the following:

```
let max_delta_deviation = 15; // delta = diff, in secs, between consecutive similar
requests, this = max stdev(delta)
```
Roughly speaking, setting that value to 15 and assuming the times are in seconds, we are selecting network flows in which delta values observed are within 15s from their mean.

That enables us to tackle some **jitter** componentsand other simple evasion techniques available from some C2 tools and attack frameworks.

The final part o the *candidates* table is shown below:

```
sort by tuple asc, TimeGenerated asc<br>extend TimeGenerated_prev=prev(TimeGenerated)<br>extend diff=iff(tuple == prev(tuple), datetime_diff('second', TimeGenerated, TimeGenerated_prev), 9999)<br>summarize EventCount=count(), stdev
```

```
Click to enlarge
```
Increasing Fidelity

Here's a list of additional enrichments and behavioral checks done in order to increase detection efficacy, all made possible via ops:

- "Are there multiple accounts matching the same destination IP address?" If there are too many, that's a Set this via ;
- "Is the destination IP matching a Threat Intel feed?" In this example, we leverage the table. In case you want to alert only when there are matches, set to 1;
- "Is the beaconing traffic consistent?" Despite suggesting to detect beacons within 1h, over how many hours is the traffic observed? Check the parameter for details on that important setting;

• In a common C2 scenario, data inflow (received bytes) tends to be fairly stable and consistent, check how is used.

The output

Below is an expanded record, including a *Description* of the alert:

The query (rule template)

The full KQL code or rule template is available below and can easily be adapted to any other data source — providing it contains the necessary fields.

You can also use this template to create a **baseline**, which enables detection of new beacons as they happen by comparing their signature (tuple) to previously tracked ones.

Please feel free to reach out to exchange ideas on how to use and improve this method and happy hunting!

// Excluded networks, RFC 1918 are excluded via ipv4_is_private()let ExcludedNets = datatable(ExcludedNet: string) ['200.1.0.0/16', // Pub range 1 $'200.2.0.0/16'$ // Pub range 2 $]$;// Query schedule parameterslet time_offset = 2h; // how far back the needle should end?let time_window = 8h; // how much time to look back from there?let end = $ago(time_offest)$; // latest (TimeGenerated)let start = end - time_window; // earliest (TimeGenerated)// Beacon detection parameters let threat_match_only = 0 ; $\frac{1}{2}$ set to 1 to alert only when there's also a match from ThreatIntelligenceIndicatorlet bin_size = 1h; // what's the size of each window for collecting deltas of consecutive similar flowslet min_bins = 8; // how many distinct hours (within time_window) needed to consider it a consistent beacon flowlet min_events_per_bin = 60; // how many events (minimum) needed within each bin (bin_size) to consider it a beaconlet max_delta_deviation = 15 ; $\frac{1}{2}$ delta = diff, in secs, between consecutive similar requests, this = max stdev(delta)let max_victims = 2; // how many max distinct SourceUserName values should be seen linked to an external IP addresslet max_receive_bytes_deviation = 1024; // max deviation on stdev(ReceivedBytes), assuming the bytes received should not change muchlet Beacons = materialize(CommonSecurityLog | where TimeGenerated between (start..end) | where DeviceVendor has "palo alto" and Activity =~ "traffic" and isnotempty(SourceUserName) | where not(ipv4_is_private(DestinationIP)) | evaluate ipv4_lookup(ExcludedNets, DestinationIP, ExcludedNet, return_unmatched = true) | where isempty(ExcludedNet) | project TimeGenerated, SourceUserName, DestinationIP, DestinationPort, DeviceAction, ReceivedBytes, Protocol, SentBytes | extend tuple = strcat(SourceUserName, '->', Protocol, ' ', DestinationIP, ':', DestinationPort, ' (', DeviceAction, ')') | extend TimeGenerated=bin(TimeGenerated, 1s) // this and next keep only one event per tuple in case the request is made in the same second | distinct TimeGenerated, SourceUserName, DestinationIP, DestinationPort, DeviceAction, ReceivedBytes, Protocol, SentBytes, tuple | sort by tuple asc, TimeGenerated asc | extend TimeGenerated_prev=prev(TimeGenerated) | extend diff=iff(tuple == prev(tuple), datetime_diff('second', TimeGenerated, TimeGenerated_prev), 9999) | summarize EventCount=count(), stdevif(diff, diff != 9999), stdev(ReceivedBytes), StartTime=min(TimeGenerated), EndTime=arg_max(TimeGenerated, *) by tuple, Bin=bin(TimeGenerated, bin_size) | where EventCount >= min_events_per_bin and stdevif_diff <= max_delta_deviation and stdev_ReceivedBytes <= max_receive_bytes_deviation);let InfrequentDestinationIPs = Beacons | summarize VictimCount=dcount(SourceUserName) by DestinationIP | where VictimCount <= max_victims;let ConsistentBeacons = Beacons | summarize BinCount=dcount(Bin) by tuple | where BinCount >= min_bins;Beacons| lookup kind=inner (InfrequentDestinationIPs) on DestinationIP| lookup kind=inner (ConsistentBeacons) on tuple| join kind=leftouter (ThreatIntelligenceIndicator | where TimeGenerated > ago(90d) and ConfidenceScore >= 75 | where isnull(KillChainReconnaissance) and ConfidenceScore $>= 30$ and not(Description matches regex "(?i)(brute.*force)") | extend DestinationIP = coalesce(NetworkDestinationIP, NetworkIP, NetworkSourceIP) | where DestinationIP matches regex '[0-9]' | summarize ConfidenceScore=max(ConfidenceScore), LastSeen=max(TimeGenerated) by DestinationIP, ThreatDescription=Description, ThreatType | sort by LastSeen desc, ConfidenceScore desc) on DestinationIP| where ConfidenceScore > 0 or 0 == threat_match_only| summarize arg_min(StartTime, EndTime, stdevif_diff, VictimCount, EventCount, stdev_ReceivedBytes, BinCount, Protocol, DestinationIP, DestinationPort, DeviceAction, ThreatType, ConfidenceScore, ThreatDescription), TotalEventCount=sum(EventCount), TotalBytesSent=sum(SentBytes), TotalBytesReceived=sum(ReceivedBytes) by tuple| sort by stdevif_diff asc, stdev_ReceivedBytes asc, EventCount desc| extend Description=strcat('Between ', StartTime, ' and ', EndTime, ', potential beaconing traffic matching [', tuple, ']

has generated ', EventCount, ' events in ', bin_size, ' with ~', round(stdevif_diff,1), 's deviation among beacons and ', TotalEventCount, ' total events observed in the entire window checked.')