## **Learn to quickly detect RC4 encryption in (malicious) binaries**

**0xc0decafe.com**[/2020/12/23/detect-rc4-in-malicious-binaries](https://0xc0decafe.com/2020/12/23/detect-rc4-in-malicious-binaries)

December 23, 2020



[RC4](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RC4) (also known as *ARC4*) is a simple stream cipher. It was designed in the late 1980s and its internals became known to the public in the mid-1990s. While it is a very simple and fast crypto algorithm, security researchers have discovered multiple flaws in it throughout the years. Today, it is just another broken stream cipher.

However, it is still used by software systems in the wild. Many malware families use it for encryption or better said: just for obfuscation purposes. Due to its simplicity and speed, malware authors embed it directly in their source code or statically link it into their binaries. For instance, *ZLoader* utilizes it to decrypt its configuration and *Smokeloader* encrypts its network traffic with this stream cipher.

Even though they could utilize one of the crypto APIs offered by Windows like the [WinCrypt\\*](https://docs.microsoft.com/en-us/windows/win32/api/wincrypt/nf-wincrypt-cryptencrypt) functions, malware authors likely prefer this way as another way to ensure malware analysts' job security.

In contrast to other ciphers, *RC4* does not rely on any constants that make it easy for tools like [findcrypt-yara](https://github.com/polymorf/findcrypt-yara) to detect it in the binary. Nevertheless, tools like [capa](https://github.com/fireeye/capa) that take the assembly code structure of the binary into account are capable of detecting *RC4*. More on how *capa* does this later on.

## **Detection possibility: Key-Scheduling Algorithm (***KSA***)**

While explaining *RC4* is out of scope of this blog post ([Wikipedia](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RC4) does a great job!), one of the most interesting parts of the algorithm is *Key-Scheduling Algorithm* (KSA). In a nutshell, it initializes an internal array based on the provided key that is later utilized by another algorithm to encrypt / decrypt. In pseudo code *KSA* looks like this (taken from [Wikipedia\)](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RC4):

```
for i from 0 to 255
   S[i] := iendfor
j := 0for i from 0 to 255
   mod keylength]) \mod 256swap values of S[i] and S[j]
endfor
```
The internal array S contains all possible byte values from  $\frac{0 \times 00}{0 \times 00}$  to  $\frac{0 \times FF}{0 \times FF}$ . It is permuted in the *KSA*. This usually compiles down to something like the following:

0000ED5276 loc ED5276: : CODE XREF: rc4+3F+j 0000ED5276 41 88 03  $m<sub>ov</sub>$  $[r11], al$ 0000ED5279 FF CO inc eax 0000ED527B 49 FF C3  $r11$ inc 0000ED527E 3D 00 01 00 00  $cmp$ eax, 100h 0000ED5283 72 F1 short loc\_ED5276 ĩЬ 0000ED5285 45 8B F2  $r14d, r10d$  $mov$ 0000ED5288 45 8B CA  $mov$ r9d, r10d 0000ED528B 40 OF B6 F6  $movzx$ esi, sil r11, [rsp+108h+var\_108] 0000ED528F 4C 8D 1C 24 lea 0000ED5293 loc\_ED5293: ; CODE XREF: rc4+80+j 0000ED5293 0000ED5293 45 OF B6 03 r8d, byte ptr [r11] movzx 0000ED5297 33 D2 edx, edx xor 0000ED5299 41 8B C1  $mov$ eax, r9d 0000ED529C F7 F6 div esi 0000ED529E 41 FF C1 inc  $r9d$ 0000ED52A1 OF B6 OC 2A  $movzx$ ecx, byte ptr [rdx+rbp] 0000ED52A5 41 03 CE add ecx, r14d 0000ED52A8 41 03 C8 add ecx, r8d 0000ED52AB 44 OF B6 F1 movzx  $r14d, cl$ al, [rsp+r14+108h+var\_108] 0000ED52AF 42 8A 04 34  $m<sub>O</sub>$  $[r11], a1$ 0000ED52B3 41 88 03  $mov$ 0000ED52B6 49 FF C3 inc  $r11$ 0000ED52B9 46 88 04 34  $[rsp+r14+108h+var_108]$ , r8b  $m \alpha v$ 0000ED52BD 41 81 F9 00 01 00 00 r9d, 100h  $cmp$ 0000ED52C4 72 CD short loc\_ED5293 ìЬ 0000ED52C6 45 8B CA  $mov$ r9d, r10d 0000ED52C9 85 DB ebx, ebx test 0000ED52CB 74 3B short loc ED5308 ήzι 0000ED52CD 4C 8B DB mov  $r11, rbx$ 0000ED52D0 0000ED52D0 loc ED52D0: ; CODE XREF: rc4+C2+j 0000ED52D0 41 8D 41 01 eax, [r9+1] lea 0000ED52D4 44 OF B6 C8  $r9d, al$ movzx 0000ED52D8 42 OF B6 14 OC edx, [rsp+r9+108h+var\_108] movzx 0000ED52DD 41 8D 04 12 lea eax,  $[r10+rdx]$ 0000ED52E1 44 OF B6 D0 movzx  $r10d, al$ al, [rsp+r10+108h+var\_108] 0000ED52E5 42 8A 04 14  $mov$ 0000ED52E9 42 88 04 0C  $mov$ [rsp+r9+108h+var\_108], al  $[rsp+r10+108h+var_108]$ , dl 0000ED52ED 42 88 14 14  $mov$ ecx, [rsp+r9+108h+var\_108] 0000ED52F1 42 OF B6 OC OC movzx 0000ED52F6 03 CA add ecx, edx 0000ED52F8 OF B6 C1 movzx eax, cl 0000ED52FB 8A 0C 04 cl, [rsp+rax+108h+var\_108]  $mov$ 0000ED52FE 30 OF xor  $[rdi], cl$ 0000ED5300 48 FF C7 inc rdi 0000ED5303 49 FF CB  $dec$  $r11$ 0000ED5306 75 C8 short loc\_ED52D0 inz

The first and second blocks are the actual *KSA*. Note the two cmp instructions ( cmp eax, 100h and cmp r9d, 100h ). These are part of the two for loops are seen in the pseudocode (lines 1 and 5). The third block is the *Pseudo-random generation algorithm* (*PRGA*) used to encrypt/decrypt the plain/ciphertext. I won't go much more into the details of the *PRGA*, please refer to the great [Wikipedia article on RC4.](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/RC4)

## **Detect RC4 encryption with yara**

These two for loops in the *KSA* are something where we could detect the presence of *RC4* in the binary. But mind possible false positives! For years, I utilized a simple *yara* rule to detect this stream cipher.

```
rule rc4_ksa
 {
     meta:
          author = "Thomas Barabosch"
          description = "Searches potential setup loops of RC4's KSA"
     strings:
          $S0 = { 3d 00 01 00 00 } // cmp eax, 256
          $s1 = { 81 f? 00 01 00 00 } // comp {ebx, ecx, edx}, 256$s2 = { 48 \space 3d \space 00 \space 01 \space 00 \space 00 \space } // cmp rax, 256
          $s3 = { 48 81 f? 00 01 00 00 } // comp {rbx, rcx, ...}, 256condition:
          any of them
 }
```
As you can see, this rule targets exactly the cmp instructions found in the *KSA*. While there may be better ways to do this, this is still a very fast approximation.

## **Detect RC4 encryption with capa**

Nowadays, we have tools like *capa* that do a better job. But how does *capa* does it? I've promised to tell you: on one side, *capa* detects if a binary is linked against [OpenSSL](https://github.com/fireeye/capa-rules/blob/1ff994f7916d66e39b4b5b8dbb310d0e0b051f7f/linking/static/openssl/linked-against-openssl.yml) or [imports WinCrypt functions. This is trivial as you can see in the rule linked-against](https://github.com/fireeye/capa-rules/blob/1ff994f7916d66e39b4b5b8dbb310d0e0b051f7f/linking/static/openssl/linked-against-openssl.yml)openssl.yml, which performs simple string matching:

```
rule:
 meta:
   name: linked against OpenSSL
   namespace: linking/static/openssl
   author: william.ballenthin@fireeye.com
   scope: file
   examples:
     - 6cc148363200798a12091b97a17181a1
 features:
   - or:
     - string: RC4 for x86_64, CRYPTOGAMS by <appro@openssl.org>
      - string: AES for x86_64, CRYPTOGAMS by <appro@openssl.org>
      - string: DSA-SHA1-old
```
On the other side, *capa* detects the [KSA](https://github.com/fireeye/capa-rules/blob/7c59ad39d138467fe67ab79532714b8fa7efede0/data-manipulation/encryption/rc4/encrypt-data-using-rc4-ksa.yml) and [PRGA](https://github.com/fireeye/capa-rules/blob/7c59ad39d138467fe67ab79532714b8fa7efede0/data-manipulation/encryption/rc4/encrypt-data-using-rc4-prga.yml) algorithms of RC4 based on the assembly. This is more interesting since *capa* takes the structure of the binary into account. The rule [encrypt-data-using-rc4-ksa.yml](https://github.com/fireeye/capa-rules/blob/7c59ad39d138467fe67ab79532714b8fa7efede0/data-manipulation/encryption/rc4/encrypt-data-using-rc4-ksa.yml) detects the *KSA* as follows:

```
rule:
 meta:
   name: encrypt data using RC4 KSA
    namespace: data-manipulation/encryption/rc4
    author: moritz.raabe@fireeye.com
    scope: function
    att&ck:
      - Defense Evasion::Obfuscated Files or Information [T1027]
   mbc:
      - Cryptography::Encrypt Data::RC4 [C0027.009]
      - Cryptography::Encryption Key::RC4 KSA [C0028.002]
    examples:
      - 34404A3FB9804977C6AB86CB991FB130:0x403D40
      - C805528F6844D7CAF5793C025B56F67D:0x4067AE
      - 9324D1A8AE37A36AE560C37448C9705A:0x404950
      - 782A48821D88060ADF0F7EF3E8759FEE3DDAD49E942DAAD18C5AF8AE0E9EB51E:0x405C42
      - 73CE04892E5F39EC82B00C02FC04C70F:0x40646E
  features:
    - or:
      - and:
        - basic block:
          - and:
            - description: initialize S
            # misses if regular loop is used,
            # however we cannot model that a loop contains a certain number
            - characteristic: tight loop
            - or:
              - number: 0xFF
              - number: 0x100
        - or:
          - match: calculate modulo 256 via x86 assembly
          # compiler may do this via zero-extended mov from 8-bit register
          - count(mnemonic(movzx)): 2 or more
        - or:
          - description: modulo key length
          - mnemonic: div
          - mnemonic: idiv
      - and:
        - description: optimized, writes DWORDs instead of bytes
        - or:
          - number: 0xFFFEFDFC
          - mnemonic: sub
        - or:
          - number: 0x03020100
          - mnemonic: add
        - number: 0x4040404
```
*capa* detects *RC4* in two ways. The first way consists of three parts (lines 20-29).

- a basic block with a tight loop counting to  $0 \times FF$  or  $0 \times 100$
- a match against another rule *calculate modulo 256 via x86 assembly* or two or more movzx mnemonics

either a div or a idiv mnemonics that are utilized by the *KSA* for the module of keylength (see pseudo algorithm of *KSA*)

The second way detects optimizations where instead of bytes DWORDs are written by the *KSA* (lines 38-46). For instance, the password cracker John optimizes the K*S*A like this (see opencl rc4.h). It comprises an initialized array of 64 DWORDs:

```
#ifdef RC4_IV32
\_\_constant uint rc4_iv[64] = { 0x03020100, 0x07060504, 0x0b0a0908, 0x0f0e0d0c,
                               0x13121110, 0x17161514, 0x1b1a1918, 0x1f1e1d1c,
                               0x23222120, 0x27262524, 0x2b2a2928, 0x2f2e2d2c,
                               0x33323130, 0x37363534, 0x3b3a3938, 0x3f3e3d3c,
                               0x43424140, 0x47464544, 0x4b4a4948, 0x4f4e4d4c,
                               0x53525150, 0x57565554, 0x5b5a5958, 0x5f5e5d5c,
                               0x63626160, 0x67666564, 0x6b6a6968, 0x6f6e6d6c,
                               0x73727170, 0x77767574, 0x7b7a7978, 0x7f7e7d7c,
                               0x83828180, 0x87868584, 0x8b8a8988, 0x8f8e8d8c,
                               0x93929190, 0x97969594, 0x9b9a9998, 0x9f9e9d9c,
                               0xa3a2a1a0, 0xa7a6a5a4, 0xabaaa9a8, 0xafaeadac,
                               0xb3b2b1b0, 0xb7b6b5b4, 0xbbbab9b8, 0xbfbebdbc,
                               0xc3c2c1c0, 0xc7c6c5c4, 0xcbcac9c8, 0xcfcecdcc,
                               0xd3d2d1d0, 0xd7d6d5d4, 0xdbdad9d8, 0xdfdedddc,
                               0xe3e2e1e0, 0xe7e6e5e4, 0xebeae9e8, 0xefeeedec,
                               0xf3f2f1f0, 0xf7f6f5f4, 0xfbfaf9f8, 0xfffefdfc };
```
#endif

Now we can understand where the constants 0xFFFEFDFC and 0x03020100 come from. Such an optimized version of *RC4* was actually utilized in the [original XBOX bootloader](https://mborgerson.com/deconstructing-the-xbox-boot-rom/) (there we can also see the utilization of the DWORD  $0 \times 4040404$ ).

Let me tell you a tiny anecdote. A couple of years ago, a junior coworker reversed the whole *RC4* algorithm in a malicious binary and told some colleagues and me that they analyzed a custom crypto algorithm. We told them that this was just plain old *RC4*. The coworker was a little bit upset but they likely learned a lot from their tiny adventure in *RC4*. I hope that now you are capable of spotting *RC4* in (malicious) binaries and I've just saved you a couple of hours of reversing.