# Using Qiling Framework to Unpack TA505 packed samples

outpost24.com/blog/using-giling-framework-to-unpack-ta505-packed-samples/

December 14, 2020

#### Research & Threat Intel 14 Dec 2020

Written By Alberto Marín and Carlos Rubio supported by the Blueliv Labs team, an Outpost24 company

#### Introduction

Threat Actors make use of packers when distributing their malware as they remain an effective way to evade detection and to make them more difficult to analyze. Manual analysis can defeat these protections and help to develop tools that allow the unpacking of the malware. To develop these tools, it is necessary to know how the process of unpacking for those malware samples is done in order to replicate their functionality. This can be a costly task, and the modification of the unpacking algorithm by the Threat Actor would entail to study the malware and modify the tools again.

At Blueliv, an Outpost24 company, we track Threat Actors like TA505, who also make use of **packers** in their malware distribution campaigns. Regarding TA505, there are currently tools like <u>TAFOF-Unpacker</u> able to successfully unpack their samples replicating the unpacking algorithm process.

In this blogpost, we are going to show how to unpack TA505 packed samples using the Qiling Framework emulator version 1.2, which will allow us to do so, without needing to study and replicate all the implementation details of the unpacking algorithm.

### TA505 Packer

Our goal is to dump the unpacked payload from TA505 packed samples using emulation. As we said before, this approach allows us to circumvent the study of every implementation detail of the unpacking algorithm. However, we still need to manually analyze the packer to find a way of getting the unpacked content and dump it.

In the case of TA505 packer, we could differentiate 3 stages.

#### First stage

This stage consists of executing useless instructions and loops in order to obfuscate and/or slow down the analysis. It also makes use of some anti-emulation techniques to avoid being studied by this kind of software.

#### Use of useless loops to slow down emulation tasks:

```
for ( j = 0; j < 1000000; ++j )
{
    v34 = -27542020;
    v35 = 0;
    v38 = -27542020;
    InitializeCriticalSectionAndSpinCount(&CriticalSection, 1u);
    DeleteCriticalSection(&CriticalSection);
    v37 = 41375;
    v40 = 15229;
    v36 = &v40;
    v39 = -15229;
}</pre>
```

Figure 1. Anti-Emulation used in sample e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e

### **Dummy functions in different samples:**

```
int __cdecl dummy_function1(int a1, int a2, signed int a3)
{
   int result; // eax@3
   signed int j; // [sp+10h] [bp-Ch]@4
   signed int i; // [sp+14h] [bp-8h]@1

   for ( i = 0; i < 1; ++i )
   {
      a3 = 45555;
      GetACP();
      result = i + 1;
   }
   for ( j = 0; j < 2; ++j )
      result = 0xE068061;
   return result;
}</pre>
```

Figure 2. Dummy function used in sample e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e

```
v61 = 30399;
v31 = 37193;
v30 = -37193;
if ( v61 == -140720389 )
{
  v27 = 213;
  v26 = &v30;
  v29 = v30 + 213;
  v28 = (signed int)((v30 + 213) | 0xF79CC6FB) / (v30 + 1);
  v61 = v30 + 213 + (v31 & 0x5B) - 140720389;
}
```

Figure 3. Dummy function used in sample bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee

```
int __cdecl dummy_function1(signed int a1)
{
  int result; // eax@6
  signed int j; // [sp+Ch] [bp-10h]@3
  int v3; // [sp+10h] [bp-Ch]@5
  int i; // [sp+14h] [bp-8h]@1
  int v5; // [sp+18h] [bp-4h]@6

for ( i = 0; i < 3; ++i )
  {
    for ( j = 0; j < 4; ++j )
    {
      a1 = -9962069;
      v3 = 153;
    }
    v5 = 70;
    a1 = -189753445;
    result = i + 1;
  }
  return result;
}</pre>
```

Figure 4. Dummy function used in sample 4b0eafcb1ec03ff3faccd2c0f465f5ac5824145d00e08035f57067a40cd179d2

## GetLastError() Anti-Emulation technique

We can see that between different samples, the dummy and useless functions vary. However, the anti-emulation technique remains the same in all of them, being present in the **GetLastError()** function. From the Official Windows API documentation:

"Retrieves the calling thread's last-error code value. The last-error code is maintained on a per-thread basis. Multiple threads do not overwrite each other's last-error code."

This technique is used with different expected return values across different malware samples (using different API calls before the GetLastError() occurs), for example:

#### SetClipboardData:

```
SetClipboardData(0, 0);
if ( GetLastError() == 0x58A )
{
   dummy_function1();
   dword_1032768 = (int)hinstDLL;
   unpack_stub();
}
```

Figure 5. Anti-Emulation used in sample ad320839e01df160c5feb0e89131521719a65ab11c952f33e03d802ecee3f51f

#### GetWindowContextHelpId:

```
GetWindowContextHelpId_(v5, v6);
if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
{
   dummy_function1((int)aM_v34ecfeconfi, (int)aM_v34ecfeconfi, 18183);
   v7 = 4100079414;
   unpack_stub();
}
Figure 6. Anti-Emulation used in sample
```

Figure 6. Anti-Emulation used in sample e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e

```
GetWindowContextHelpId_(v5, v6);
if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
{
   dummy_function1(-120688830, -2147113);
   for ( n = 0; n < 1; ++n )
       dummy_function2();
   v10 = 56451;
   dummy_function3();
   for ( ii = 0; ii < 2; ++ii )
   {
      for ( jj = 0; jj < 4; ++jj )
       ;
   }
   dummy_function3();
   dummy_function4(6548, 6548);
   unpack_stub();
}</pre>
```

Figure 7. Anti-Emulation used in sample

4b0eafcb1ec03ff3faccd2c0f465f5ac5824145d00e08035f57067a40cd179d2

```
GetWindowContextHelpId_(v6);
if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
{
   v8 = (int *)&v6;
   v7 = 236;
   v6 = (HWND)236;
   dummy_function1();
   unpack_stub();
}
```

Figure 8. Anti-Emulation used in sample

bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee

For instance, last figure shows the malware calls **GetLastError()** and the only instruction executed before this one that could have set an error value is the execution of the API call **GetWindowsContextHelpId()**. From the Official Windows API Documentation:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Retrieves the Help context identifier, if any, associated with the specified window."

Given that the window handle used in this function **is invalid**, the sample expects this last call to set **error value** to **0x578** (**ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE**), (which is what would happen in a **non-emulated environment**), and then continues execution. We will have to code this behavior so that the emulation can detonate the actual sample, because the emulator is not able to predict the value corresponding to **GetLastError()** by itself, since it does not have the real running context of an operating system.

# Second stage

Unpacks an encrypted shellcode in a newly allocated memory in the heap and transfers execution to it. This shellcode contains the actual Stub of the packer. This stage may also contain junk and useless code to difficult the malware analysis.

```
main_stub_ = (void (__stdcall *)(int *))VirtualAllocEx((HANDLE)0xFFFFFFFF, 0, dwSize, flAllocationType, v7 << 6);</pre>
v9 = -145663150:
v16 = 1521992332;
main_stub = main_stub_;
                             Allocates space for the Stub
v13 = 176019;
GetCurrentThread();
GetCommandLineA();
v17 = &encrypted_stub;
v19 = 0;
for (j = 0; j < dwSize >> 2; ++j)
 v0 = v17[j] - j;
                                                          Decrypts Unpacking Stub
 v19 -= 80;
 v19 -= 1000;
 v1 = _ROL4_(xor_key ^ v0, 7);
 *((_DWORD *)main_stub_ + j) = xor_key ^ v1;
hKernel32 = (int)GetModuleHandleA(kernel32);
dword_405004 = (int)&unk_411F54;
dword 405008 = 142800;
dword_40500C = dword_411F50;
                                                          Junk Code
dword 405010 = dword 434D24;
v11 = 54070;
v6 = &v11;
v15 = 108140;
v18 = 1345053806;
                                             Executes Unpacking Stub
main stub(&hKernel32);
return dummy_function2();
```

Figure 9. Unpacking Packer Stub

bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee

# Third stage

This is the TA505 Packer **Stub** (piece of code containing the decryption routine), that will act as a loader for the final payload, which is written in heap memory and executed. It starts by storing some strings in the stack that will use to find the Windows APIs needed to unpack itself: **VirtualAlloc, VirtualProtect, LoadLibraryA, VirtualFree** and **VirtualQuery**.

```
push
         ebp
                                               loc_270206:
mov
         ebp, esp
                                                       ecx, [ebp+VirtualAlloc ]
                                               lea
         esp, 0D4h
sub
                                               push
         [ebp+VirtualAlloc_],
                                                       edx, [ebp+kernel32 dll]
mov
                                               mov
         [ebp+var 8F],
                                               mov
                                                       eax, [edx]
mov
                                               push
                                                       eax
         [ebp+var 8E],
mov
                                                       [ebp+GetProcAddress ]
                                               call
         [ebp+var 8D],
mov
                                                       [ebp+VirtualAlloc], eax
                                               mov
mov
         [ebp+var 8C],
                                               lea
                                                       ecx, [ebp+VirtualProtect_]
         [ebp+var_8B],
mov
                                               push
mov
         [ebp+var 8A],
                                               mov
                                                       edx, [ebp+kernel32 dll]
mov
         [ebp+var 89],
                                                       eax, [edx]
                                               mov
         [ebp+var 88],
                         '1'
                                               push
                                                       eax
mov
                                                       [ebp+GetProcAddress]
                                               call
          ebp+var 87],
mov
                         '1'
                                                       [ebp+VirtualProtect], eax
                                               mov
         [ebp+var 86],
mov
                                                       ecx, [ebp+LoadLibraryA_]
                                               lea
         [ebp+var 85], 'c'
mov
                                               push
         [ebp+var_84], 0
mov
                                               mov
                                                       edx, [ebp+kernel32_dl1]
          ebp+GetProcAddress], 'G'
mov
                                               mov
                                                       eax, [edx]
         [ebp+var A3],
mov
                                               push
                                                       eax
                                                       [ebp+GetProcAddress ]
         [ebp+var A2],
                                               call
mov
                                                       [ebp+LoadLibraryA], eax
                                               mov
mov
          ebp+var A1],
                                               lea
                                                       ecx, [ebp+VirtualFree ]
          ebp+var A0],
mov
                                               push
mov
         [ebp+var 9F],
                                                       edx, [ebp+kernel32_dl1]
                                               mov
         [ebp+var 9E],
mov
                                               mov
                                                       eax, [edx]
mov
          ebp+var 9D],
                                               push
                                                       eax
mov
          ebp+var 90],
                                                       [ebp+GetProcAddress ]
                                               call
                                                       [ebp+VirtualFree], eax
         [ebp+var 9B],
                                               mov
mov
                                               lea
                                                       ecx, [ebp+VirtualQuery_]
          ebp+var 9A],
mov
                                               push
mov
         [ebp+var 99],
                                                       edx, [ebp+kernel32_dl1]
                                               mov
mov
         [ebp+var 98],
                                                       eax, [edx]
                                               mov
mov
         [ebp+var 97],
                                               push
                                                       eax
mov
         [ebp+var 96], 0
                                                       [ebp+GetProcAddress ]
                                               call
         [ebp+VirtualProtect_],
mov
                                                       [ebp+VirtualQuery_], eax
                                               mov
```

Figure 10. TA505 packers store strings in the stack to resolve Windows API functions.

It relies on a self-modifying unpacking technique, trying to acquire a block of writeable, executable memory, unpacking (decrypting and writing) code to the newly allocated memory and finally, transferring execution to the unpacked code in the newly allocated memory.

```
result = (int ( stdcall *)(int, int))VirtualAlloc(0, kernel32 dll[2], 12288, 4);
allocated1 = result;
if ( result )
  result = (int (__stdcall *)(int, int))VirtualAlloc(0, kernel32_dll[4], 12288, 4);
  allocated2 = result;
 if ( result )
    v8 = 0:
    v9 = 0;
   while ( v8 < kernel32 dll[2] )
      if (!(v9 % 3))
       v8 += 2;
      *((_BYTE *)allocated1 + v9++) = *(_BYTE *)(kernel32_dll[1] + v8++);
    v105 = 3 * kernel32 dll[2] / 5u;
    for ( i = 0; i < v105 >> 2; ++i )
     v2 = _ROL4_(kernel32_dll[3] ^ (*((_DWORD *)allocated1 + i) - i), 7);
     *(( DWORD *)allocated1 + i) = kernel32 dll[3] ^ v2;
    result = (int ( stdcall *)(int, int))prepare payload(allocated1, allocated2);
    if ( result )
      VirtualFree(allocated1, 0, 0x8000);
      PE_header = (int (__stdcall *)(int, int))((char *)allocated2 + *((_DWORD *)allocated2 + 15));
      result = (int (__stdcall *)(int, int))VirtualProtect(
                                              *((_DWORD *)PE_header + 20),
                                              64,
                                              &v106);
```

Figure 11. Packer Stub bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee

As it is shown in the last screenshot. The Stub calls **VirtualFree()** after having written the decrypted payload in the newly allocated memory. Our strategy should consider saving the allocated blocks of memory and dump them once **VirtualFree()** is executed (at this point we have seen that the malware is just about to start running the unpacked payload and it's in the state which is going to be executed; **it is safe to dump now**). Before **VirtualFree()** happens, we can see the unpacked layer in the last allocated block of memory that we've named *allocated2*.



Figure 12. Unpacked payload bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee

We should be able, with emulation, to guide the execution until this point and then dump right away the contents of the last allocated code to get the next unpacked layer. **This is what we are going to try with Qiling Framework v1.2**. Executing the sample using Qiling Framework would allow it to be unpacked automatically, and we would only have to put hooks into specific Windows API functions to get the unpacked binary.

# **Qiling Framework**

**Qiling Framework** is an advanced <u>open source</u> binary emulation Framework, with binary instrumentation and API emulation being its main focus and priority. It is designed as a cross-platform binary instrumentation and binary emulation framework and has support for multi-architecture. Code interception and arbitrary code injection before or during a binary execution are one of its powerful features, as well as being able to patch a binary during execution.

The **advantage** of using the Qiling Framework for this purpose is that changes made to the unpacking algorithm will not prevent the samples from being unpacked. This is because we are obviously not implementing the decryption algorithm itself, but emulating it instead. However, we also find some **obstacles** when using emulators, as packers can also make use of **anti-emulation techniques**. In this case we would need to understand how the packer detects the emulator in order to bypass the countermeasures.

For what we have seen during the analysis of the packer. We would need to:

- 1. Bypass anti-emulation techniques to execute the Packer Stub
- 2. Store dynamically allocated blocks of memory information (address and size)
- 3. Dump the unpacked PE once it is ready. (For example, before VirtualFree() gets executed)

# Bypass anti-emulation techniques

### Bypass GetLastError() anti-emulation technique

TA505 packer makes use of **GetLastError()** call to avoid unpacking if it is running in an emulated environment. To bypass this measure, we need to find out which API call is meant to set the expected **error code** in the packer, this will usually happen just before the actual call to **GetLastError()**. Once found, we should add a hook to this API call and force the return of the expected **error code**. This way, when **GetLastError()** gets emulated, it will receive the value we want, and continue the execution.

Most of the analyzed samples, make use of the call **GetWindowContextHelpId()** in an "obfuscated" way. They load the string "**SetWindowContextHelpId**" and replace the first letter with a "**G**" before making the call.

```
qmemcpy(&ProcName, aSetwindowconte, 0x17u); // SetWindowContextHelpId
for ( i = 0; i < 2; ++i )
  v2 = 182;
 v15 = -84796834:
  dummy_function1();
  v4 = -254941992;
 \sqrt{3} = \& \sqrt{4};
                                                               Junk Code
 v10 = v15 - 252838436;
 v5 = &v2;
 v15 += v2 - (unsigned __int8)((v15 - 36) & 0xDE);
v10 = 177;
v11 = &v10;
v15 = 1082232224;
hUser32_dll = LoadLibraryA(user32_dll);
v9 = 52238;
v14 = -250045758;
dummy function2();
                                              // Replace 'S' for 'G'
ProcName = 'G';
GetWindowContextHelpId = GetProcAddress(hUser32_dll, &ProcName);// GetProcAddress(hUser32_dll, "GetWindowContextHelpId")
v8 = -134820353;
v12 = 134898689;
return ((int ( stdcall *)( DWORD))GetWindowContextHelpId)(0);// Call to GetWindowContextHelpId(0)
```

Figure 13. bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee calling GetWindowContextHelpId as part of its anti-emulation technique

Other samples may use different approaches, but all based on the **GetLastError()** technique. For example, the sample

e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e makes use of the API call **SetClassLongA()**. From the Official Windows API Documentation:

"Replaces the specified 32-bit (**long**) value at the specified offset into the extra class memory or the <u>WNDCLASSEX</u> structure for the class to which the specified window belongs."

When being used like in these samples, the expected behavior (as it is using an invalid Window Handle) is to get an error **0x578** (**ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE**).

```
SetClassLongA(0, 0, 0);
                                                                                   Anti-
if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
                                                                                Emulation
 v26 = 0;
 v27 = 0;
 v28 = 0;
 v29 = 0;
 v30 = 0;
 v31 = 0;
 v11 = 31;
 v13 = -18747087;
 v12 = 1015839;
 for (k = 0; k < 2; ++k)
   for (1 = 0; 1 < 2; ++1)
     v10 = -128568123 * ((v13 | 0xF89A602C) - 128568123);
   v9 = 199;
                                                                                Junk Code
   dummy_function2();
  }
 v20 = &v11;
 v12 *= v11 - v11;
 v16 = 132;
 v14 = &v16;
 v32 = 10703;
 v23 = &v32;
 v24 = 0;
 v21 = -140258438;
 v25 = 160542913;
 v17 = &v21;
 v33 = -276518232;
 v18 = &v19;
 v15 = 34088;
 v19 = 290770640;
                                                                                   Anti-
 GetWindowContextHelpId_(v5, v6);
 if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
                                                                                Emulation
   dummy_function1((int)aM_v34ecfeconfi, (int)aM_v34ecfeconfi, 18183);
   v7 = 0xF4623F36;
                                                  Unpacks Packer Stub
   unpack_stub();
```

Figure 14. e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e antiemulation techniques before unpacking the Packer Stub

Once identified those API calls, we can reimplement them with Qiling to make sure they set the proper error codes so that the next call to **GetLastError()** jumps to the correct branch of the code. Qiling Framework allows us to reimplement these API calls easily:

#### GetWindowContextHelpId() hook implementation:

DWORD GetWindowContextHelpId( HWND Arg1 );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="user32\_dll") def hook\_GetWindowContextHelpId(ql, address, params): ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE = 0x578 ql.os.last\_error = ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE return 0

#### SetClassLongA() hook implementation:

DWORD SetClassLongA( HWND hWnd, int nIndex, LONG dwNewLong );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="user32\_dll") def hook\_SetClassLongA(ql, address, params): ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE = 0x578 ql.os.last\_error = ERROR\_INVALID\_WINDOW\_HANDLE return 0

We have to set API hooks before calling "ql.run()".

ql.set\_api("GetWindowContextHelpId", hook\_GetWindowContextHelpId) ql.set\_api("SetClassLongA", hook\_SetClassLongA)

Qiling Framework emulator is constantly evolving. However, there might be some API calls still not present in the code. In those cases, we will have to reimplement them, although we could also just give to the caller what he expects in order to bypass the different antiemulation techniques (not emulating the actual Windows call, but leaving what the sample needs, like the error code in this case, instead).

This is the case of some API calls that need to be "emulated" so that the malware can continue with its execution. For instance, the following Figure shows a packed sample where the interesting "GetLastError()" anti-emulation technique is inside an *if* statement. We need to make sure that the sample takes the correct conditional path. To do so, we need to reimplement "ImageList\_Add()" as by the time of writing this Blogpost, this is not emulated by default by Qiling.

```
if ( ImageList Add((HIMAGELIST)0xFFFFFFFF, 0, 0) == -1 )
                                                              Reimplement API call
 v18 = 0:
 v19 = 0;
 v20 = 0;
 v21 = 0;
 v22 = 0;
 v23 = 0;
 v11 = 17969;
 v9 = 240;
                                                                               Junk Code
 v10 = -17969;
 dummy_function2(33925, (int)aMmacspectrum, (signed int)aEv_mmac_oid_in);
 v8 = 81;
 v15 = &v16;
 v16 = 23152;
 v17 = &v24;
 v24 = 110122211;
 v12 = 43784;
 v14 = &v13;
 v13 = 1707615;
                                                                                  Anti-
 GetWindowContextHelpId (v5, v6);
 if ( GetLastError() == 0x578 )
   v7 = (int *)&v5;
   v5 = 0;
   v6 = 236;
   dummy_function1();
   unpack_stub();
                                         Unpacks Packer Stub
```

Figure 15. bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee antiemulation techniques before unpacking Packer Stub

#### ImageList\_Add() hook implementation:

int ImageList Add( HIMAGELIST himl, HBITMAP hbmImage, HBITMAP hbmMask );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="comctl32\_dll") def hook\_ImageList\_Add(ql, address, params): ret = 0xFFFFFFFF return ret

The same happens in sample

bb5054f0ec4e6980f65fb9329a0b5acec1ed936053c3ef0938b5fa02a9daf7ee with wgetmainargs:

#### \_\_wgetmainargs() hook implementation:

```
int __wgetmainargs ( int *_Argc, wchar_t ***_Argv, wchar_t ***_Env, int _DoWildCard, _startupinfo * _StartInfo )
```

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="msvcrt\_dll") def hook\_\_\_wgetmainargs(ql, address, params): return 0

The sample 74c5ae5e64d0a850eb0ebe3cbca4c6b92918a8365f2f78306643be9cffc32def also makes use of some API calls that need to be reimplemented to get the malware to execute the unpacking Stub.

#### **CoReleaseMarshalData() hook implementation:**

HRESULT CoReleaseMarshalData( LPSTREAM pStm );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="ole32\_dll") def hook\_CoReleaseMarshalData(ql, addrss, params): E\_INVALIDARG = 0x80070057 return E\_INVALIDARG

**NOTE:** During the development of this tool, we found out that the hooks for **CoReleaseMarshalData** and **ImageListAdd** where not working. Apparently, these functions are properly declared in Qiling Framework version 1.2, but when we try to run our tool we got a "**Windows API implementation error**". This is because Qiling is missing some references in its **const.py** file. More precisely, it is missing the references for:

"HBITMAP": "HANDLE", "HIMAGELIST": "HANDLE", "LPSTREAM": "POINTER"

A <u>pull request</u> to the Qiling project has already been accepted and committed to the development branch which solves this issue.

#### ShellExecuteA() hook implementation:

HINSTANCE ShellExecuteA( HWND hwnd, LPCSTR lpOperation, LPCSTR lpFile, LPCSTR lpParameters, LPCSTR lpDirectory INT nShowCmd );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="shell32\_dll") def hook\_ShellExecuteA(ql, address, params): return 0

CreateEventA() hook implementation:

HANDLE CreateEventA( LPSECURITY\_ATTRIBUTES IpEventAttributes, BOOL bManualReset, BOOL bInitialState, LPCSTR IpName );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="kernel32\_dll") def hook\_CreateEventA(ql, address, params): return 0

#### VirtualQuery hook implementation:

**VirtualQuery** also seems to give problems when running those samples. The easiest way to make these samples continue with their execution is reimplementing this API call too so that it gives the sample what it wants. In the case of TA505 Packer, the unpacking Stub just checks if the return value of this call is a positive value. We can consider then:

SIZE\_T VirtualQuery( LPCVOID lpAddress, PMEMORY\_BASIC\_INFORMATION lpBuffer, SIZE T dwLength );

@ winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="kernel32\_dll") def hook\_VirtualQuery(ql, address, params): return params['dwLength']

## Bypass useless loops to slow down emulation tasks

Some TA505 Packed samples make use of another anti-emulation technique. They execute long useless loops that makes emulation take forever (as these loops execute fast in non-emulated environments). Long loops with calls to Windows Api calls can also be used as an anti-sandbox technique. This can be seen in the following figure:

```
for ( j = 0; j < 1000000; ++j )
{
    v34 = -27542020;
    v35 = 0;
    v38 = -27542020;
    InitializeCriticalSectionAndSpinCount(&CriticalSection, 1u);
    DeleteCriticalSection(&CriticalSection);
    v37 = 41375;
    v40 = 15229;
    v36 = &v40;
    v39 = -15229;
}</pre>
```

Figure 16. Anti-Emulation technique used in e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e

To bypass this with Qiling in an "automated" way, we could obviously manually patch the binary or use Qiling search pattern to add this functionality in our unpacking script.

```
def patch_binary(ql): patches = [] "Original 81 7D B4 40 42 0F 00 cmp [ebp+var_4C], 1000000 Patch: 81 7D B4 00 00 00 cmp [ebp+var_4C], 0 "patch_ = { 'original': b'\x81\x7D\xB4\x40\x42\x0F\x00', 'patch': b'\x81\x7D\xB4\x00\x00\x00\x00'} patches.append(patch_) for patch in patches: antiemu_loop_addr = ql.mem.search(patch['original']) if antiemu_loop_addr: ql.nprint(D_INFO, 'Found Anti-Emulation loop at addr: {}'.format(hex(antiemu_loop_addr[0]))) try: ql.patch(antiemu_loop_addr[0], patch['patch']) ql.nprint(D_INFO, 'Successfully patched!') return except Exception as err: ql.nprint(D_INFO, 'Unable to patch binary: {}'.format(err))
```

# Store dynamically allocated blocks of memory information (address and size)

As we said previously, the unpacked malware will be written into a new allocated block of memory. It will use **VirtuAlloc()** and **VirtualAllocEx()** to allocate space for the unpacking Stub and the payload. We will need to keep track of these allocations in order to be able to dump them when **VirtualFree()** gets called. We could reimplement **VirtualAlloc()** and **VirtualAllocEx()** for the unpacking in this way:

LPVOID VirtualAllocEx( HANDLE hProcess, LPVOID IpAddress, SIZE\_T dwSize, DWORD flAllocationType, DWORD flProtect );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="kernel32\_dll") def hook\_VirtualAllocEx(ql, address, params): dw\_size = params["dwSize"] addr = ql.os.heap.alloc(dw\_size) fl\_protect = params["flProtect"] if fl\_protect in [0x1, 0x2, 0x4, 0x8,0x10, 0x20, 0x40, 0x80]: ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "VirtualAllocEx start: {} - size: {}".format(hex(addr), hex(dw\_size))) mem\_regions.append({"start": addr, "size": dw\_size}) return addr

LPVOID VirtualAlloc( LPVOID lpAddress, SIZE\_T dwSize, DWORD flAllocationType, DWORD flProtect );

@winsdkapi(cc=STDCALL, dllname="kernel32\_dll") def hook\_VirtualAlloc(ql, address, params): dw\_size = params["dwSize"] addr = ql.os.heap.alloc(dw\_size) fl\_protect = params["flProtect"] if fl\_protect in [0x1, 0x2, 0x4, 0x8,0x10, 0x20, 0x40, 0x80]: ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "VirtualAlloc start: {} - size: {}".format(hex(addr), hex(dw\_size))) mem\_regions.append({"start": addr, "size": dw\_size}) return addr

# Dump the unpacked PE once it is ready

Now the last thing left to do is to dump the contents of the last allocated block once we reach the execution of **VirtualFree()**:

def dump\_memory\_region(ql, address, size): ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "Read memory region at address: {} - size: {}".format(hex(address), hex(size))) try: excuted\_mem = ql.mem.read(address, size) except Exception as err: log.warning('Unable to read memory region at address: {}. Error: {}'.format(hex(address), err)) return ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "Dump memory region at address: {} - size: {}".format(hex(address), hex(size))) with open("unpacked\_"+hex(address)+".bin", "wb") as f: f.write(excuted\_mem) # write extracted code to a binary file

BOOL VirtualFree( LPVOID IpAddress, SIZE\_T dwSize, DWORD dwFreeType );

@winapi(cc=STDCALL, params={ "IpAddress": POINTER, "dwSize": SIZE\_T, "dwFreeType": DWORD }) def hook\_VirtualFree(ql, address, params): global mem\_regions lpAddress = params["IpAddress"] ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "VirtualFree called for address: {}".format(hex(IpAddress))) ql.nprint(D\_INFO, "Memory regions stored: {}".format(mem\_regions)) try: if mem\_regions: unpacked\_layer = mem\_regions[-1] # Unpacked layer is in the last allocated block start = unpacked\_layer["start"] size = unpacked\_layer["size"] dump\_memory\_region(ql, start, size) except Exception as err: ql.nprint(D\_INFO, 'Unable to dump memory region: {}'.format(err)) ql.os.heap.free(lpAddress) ql.emu\_stop() return 1

# **Proof of Concept**

You can find our proof of concept in our repository.

#### IOC

SHA256 Packed samples:

SHA256 Unpacked samples: ( )

Malware: AZORULT

**10** e4eb1a831a8cc7402c8e0a898effd3fb966a9ee1a22bce9ddc3e44e574fe8c5e

103084a964d0b150e1268c8a1a9d8c2545f7f0721e78a1b98b74304320aeb547

Malware: GELUP

6d15cd4cadac81ee44013d1ad32c18a27ccd38671dee051fb58b5786bc0fa7d3

Malware: SILENCE

179db0eafcb1ec03ff3faccd2c0f465f5ac5824145d00e08035f57067a40cd179d2

Ob9a0bde76d0bc7cc497c9cd17670d86813c97a9f8bed09ea99d4bf531adafb27

Malware: AMY RAT

ad320839e01df160c5feb0e89131521719a65ab11c952f33e03d802ecee3f51f

**10**8a30f4c59d111658b7f9efbd5f5b794228394cd53d22a1fb389fd3a03fc4d1f7

Malware: TINYMET

74c5ae5e64d0a850eb0ebe3cbca4c6b92918a8365f2f78306643be9cffc32def

6831fc67ca09d9027fef8b3031a11e9595fc1df1cb547c6f587947d13dad151a

#### Conclusion

As has been seen throughout the article, incorporating **Qiling Framework** as one of your reverse engineering tools facilitates the tasks of malware analysis. In this case, it allows us to easily and quickly unpack samples packed with TA505Packer without needing to have

extensive knowledge about its implementation details. Moreover it is cross-platform.

From **Blueliv** we encourage other researchers to make use of Qiling Framework and we hope this project continues growing.

For more details about how we reverse engineer and analyze malware, <u>visit our targeted</u> <u>malware module page</u>.

Visit our threat intelligence product page

# References