Graftor - But I Never Asked for This… blog.talosintelligence.com[/2017/09/graftor-but-i-never-asked-for-this.html](http://blog.talosintelligence.com/2017/09/graftor-but-i-never-asked-for-this.html)

This post is authored by [Holger Unterbrink](https://blogs.cisco.com/author/holgerunterbrink) and [Matthew Molyett](https://blogs.cisco.com/author/matthewmolyett)

Overview

Free software often downloaded from large freeware distribution sites is a boon for the internet, providing users with functionality that otherwise they would not be able to use. Often users, happy that they are getting something free, fail to pay attention to the hints in the licence agreement that they are receiving additional software services bundled with the freeware they desire.

Graftor aka LoadMoney adware dropper is a potentially unwanted program often installed as part of freeware software installers. We wanted to investigate the effects this software has on a user's system. According to the analysis performed in our sandbox, Graftor and the associated affiliate files it downloads perform the following functions:

- Hijacks the user's browser and injects advertising banners
- Installs other potentially unwanted applications from partners like mail.ru
- It does not ask the user, it just silently installs these programs
- Random web page text is turned into links
- Adds Desktop and Browser Quick Launch links
- User's homepage is changed
- User's search provider is changed
- Partner adware is executed and it social engineers the user to install further software
- Checks for installed AV software
- Checks for sandbox environments
- Anti-Analysis protection
- Unnecessary API calls to overflow sandbox environments
- Creates/Modifies system certificates

Functionality

One of the first actions of the software is to install additional software on the user's desktop, and change browser settings to point to third party websites (Fig.1):

Looking at the Cisco Umbrella DNS data for the CnC domain used in this campaign, we can see that the campaign only lasted for a couple of days (Fig. 2a), but affected a significant number of people. Fig. 2b and 2c show domains of two of the affiliate applications which Graftor installed during our sandbox run. It is very likely that this includes users who didn't intend to install these additional applications.

Regularfood[.]gdn (Command and Control Server Domain)

Fig. 2a

Affiliates (programs installed by Graftor):

Technical Details

A few minutes after executing the original Graftor dropper (*2263387661.exe*), the software downloaded and installed a series of additional executables. This results in the process tree looking like this (Fig.3):

We analysed the Graftor dropper/downloader (*2263387661.exe*). It comes with multiple stages of obfuscation. The first unpacking stage of the executable uses a heavily obfuscated but fairly simple unpacking algorithm which we will describe in the following section. This algorithm is obfuscated in the *WinMain* function distributed over several sub functions. Fig.4 shows you the complexity of the *WinMain* function in IDA, many of these building blocks are combined with further sub functions, jumping back and forth, which makes analysis particularly challenging.

Fig. 4

First, a new buffer is allocated (see Fig.5 at 00401395) :

00401JJU UN 00				publi	, пасворноге \mathbf{H}
00401358 FF 15 34 20 40 00 call					ds:ReleaseSemaphore ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0040135E E8 9D FD FF FF				call	sub 401100 : Call Procedure
00401363 E8 3B FD FF FF				call	DoNonsenseClearEAX : Call Procedure
00401368 68 18 E6 41 00				push	offset ProcName : "VirtualAllocEx"
0040136D 68 28 E6 41 00				push	offset LibFileName : "kerne132.dll"
00401372 FF 15 30 20 40 00 call					ds:LoadLibraryW ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
00401378 50				push	: hModule eax
00401379 FF 15 2C 20 40 00 call					ds:GetProcAddress : Indirect Call Near Procedure
0040137F 8B 5D CC				mov	ebx, [ebp+var 34]
00401382 68 40				push	48h
00401384 8B 45 E4				mov	eax, [ebp+var 1C]
88481387 58				push	eax
00401388 FF 75 CO				push	[ebp+var 40]
0040138B 33 CO				xor	eax, eax ; Logical Exclusive OR
8848138D 58				push	eax
0040138E B8 FF FF FF FF				mov	eax, OFFFFFFFFh
00401393 50				push	eax
00401394 58				pop	eax
88481395 FF D3				call	ebx : UirtualAlloc
88481397 83 78 F6				mov	AllocBuffer, eax

Fig. 5

Then the bytes from 00416B6A (see Fig. 9 below) are decoded by different sub functions within the *WinMain* function. For example see *loc_4013EC* in Fig.6.

The code avoids calling functions by address values, but instead calls them via the values stored in registers or variables. For example the *call ebx* instruction in Fig. 5 at 00401395 results in a *VirtualAlloc* call. This makes the static analysis of the code harder. E.g without deeper analysis it is difficult to identify the destination of the *call* at 00401395 shown in Fig. 5.

日内障	
004013EC	
004013EC	loc 4013EC:
884813EC C7 45 AC 88 88 88+nov	[ebp+var 54], 0
884813F3 8B 5D F8 nov	ebx, [ebp+encoded bute2]
884813F6 8B 1D 78 E6 41 88 nov	ebx, AllocBuffer
004013FC 8B 55 F8 nov	edx, [ebp+encoded bute2]
004013FF 03 55 E8 add	edx, [ebp+Tarqet] ; Tarqet=Tarqet+1 (per round) 0,1,2,3,4,
88481482 88 82 no v	al. [edx]
00401404 88 45 DC nov	[ebp+encoded bute], al

Fig. 6

Finally the decoded bytes are handed over to a function (Fig. 7 *write_unpkd_bytes2buf*), which writes these bytes into a buffer. This is the buffer which was allocated in Fig.5 at 00401395. The decoding loop starts again until all bytes are decoded:

Fig. 7

Fig. 8 shows the *write_unpkd_bytes2buf* function itself:

Fig. 8

The end result is that despite all of the complexity and obfuscation, the unpacking algorithm is remarkably simple and translates to the following pseudo-code (see Fig. 9 comments):

This **first stage** of unpacking extracts the code into memory. After successfully unpacking this code it is executed via *call ecx* (see Fig. 10) the **second stage** of the unpacker:

This second stage code is position independent. It is loaded into a random address space picked by the operating system. The *VirtualAlloc* function in Fig.5 which we have mentioned above, is called with *LPVOID lpAddress* set to *NULL*, which means that the system determines where to allocate the memory region. This second stage is even more obfuscated by spaghetti code than the first stage. It's main task is to rebuild the *Import Address Table (IAT)* and resolve the addresses of certain library functions (Fig. 11), plus modify the original PE file.

Fig. 11

It stores the function addresses in different local variables. These are passed as arguments to several setup functions, for example: change memory region 0x400000 - 0x59C000 to read/write/execute (see Fig. 12). In other words, change the whole .text, .rdata, .data, and .rsrc section of the original PE file to read/write/execute. This enables the dropper to modify and execute the code stored in these regions. As we have already seen, in order to frustrate static analysis, most calls are obfuscated by either calling registers or variables (Fig.12).

002A1248 002A1249 6A 40 002A124B 8B 45 D8 8 _B 48 50 002A124E 002A1251 8B 55 14 002A1252 002A1255 FF 55 1C STATISTICS	push edx push 40 mov eax, dword ptr ss: [ebp-28] mov ecx, dword ptr ds: [eax+50] push ecx mov edx, dword ptr ss: [ebp+14] push edx call dword ptr ss [ebp+1C]	0018FC60 PAGE EXECUTE READWRITE [ebp-28]: "PE" 0019c000 00400000 [ebp+1C]:VirtualProtect
88 45 14 002A1259	mov eax, dword ptr ss: [ebp+14]	

Fig. 12

Next step at 002A14F6 is to allocate a buffer located at 01DC0000:

This buffer is filled with the bytes copied from 0042d049 from the original packed PE file:

This data is an encoded PE file. After copying the bytes to memory, it decodes them and writes them back to the buffer (Fig. 16a) at 01DC0000 (Fig. 16b)

Fig. 16a

Fig. 16b

This stage is protected with an Anti-Debugging technique. The executable uses the following two GetTickCount calls to measure the time between the two calls (Fig. 17a and 17b). If it takes too long the executable will crash.

After resolving more library function addresses and fixing the IAT of the PE file in memory, it sleeps for 258 milliseconds and jumps back to 004897D3, which we will call the **third stage** from now on.

The 2nd unpacking stage, the one we have just discussed, also decodes the URL which is later used to contact the command and control server. First it allocates a buffer e.g. at 002B0000 (Fig. 19a) and reads the encrypted URL from the original sample at 004020c0, decodes it and stores it in the allocated buffer i.e. 002B0000 again (Fig. 19b).

The third stage (see above) is a C++ executable compiled with Visual Studio. Global object initializers allow custom classes to run during the C runtime initialization, before the apparent *WinMain* entry point. Organizing code in this way allows the malware to prepare the system survey in a way that is hidden from analysts who commence their analysis from *WinMain*. Later, when the associated code is used, the execution is masked by memory redirection and virtual function calls.

Below you can see the callback function addresses stored in the .*rdata* segment of the PE file (Fig.20) and its initialization function *InitCallbacks* (Fig.21 and Fig. 23).

Fig. 20

Fig. 21

From the pre-*WinMain* C Run Time library (CRT) initialization, the Callback function list gets created and populated with an association of named strings (e.g. "OS"), later observed in the CnC traffic and several system information collection callback functions. For example a "systemFS" string in the CnC traffic, leads to a call to the *Graftor_CollectSystemVolumeInformation* function or "OS" triggers the call of *Graftor_CollectWindowsInformation*.

Fig. 22 shows an example of such function calls and pseudo code which would lead to a similar assembler code as discussed.

Fig. 22

The created list is linked to a global address location, which is later linked back again to local variables.

Such redirection is subtle in source code, but the resulting execution means that chains of memory accesses are seen instead of just nice clean references to the object.


```
Fig. 24
```
Later on, a string is passed along to look up the callback and call it indirectly (Fig.25).

Fig. 25

By using *std::basic_string<wchar_t>* instead of just plain *wchar_t* arrays, every string interaction adds two function calls and indirection. Instead of the analyst seeing a wide string being pushed to one function, it is instead a series of three. Before significant markup is performed (or when viewed in a debugger) this is just a mess of function calls and memory manipulation. Complicating the matter is that the std library is included rather than dynamically linked, so the analyst doesn't get dll calls as hints.

Further on, this 3rd stage is protected by another anti-debugging technique: the sample registers a VectoredExceptionHandler for FirstChanceExceptions (C0000005) as you can see in Fig. 26 and 27:

Fig. 26

Then it marks the code section as PAGE_NOACCESS.

This means an exception is triggered for every single instruction in this section. The exception handler function (see Fig. 27 above) overwrites the PAGE_NOACCESS access right for the memory location which caused the exception, with a PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE, so it can be executed. Then the exception handler function returns to the initial instruction, it can now be executed, but the next instruction is still protected by PAGE_NOACCESS and will cause the next exception. With a debugger attached, this interrupts the debugging session for every instruction. Even if the exceptions are directly passed back to the executable, it massively slows down the execution speed. At 004BB3FA the software starts preparing the internet request to the CnC server and encrypts the collected information to perform a GET request (Fig. 29a-c):

Fig. 29c

Talos has decrypted the GET request that is sent to the CnC server. The decoded content consists of a JSON file, which you can downloa[dhere.](https://alln-extcloud-storage.cisco.com/ciscoblogs/59ad2b132b89c.txt)

The executable is capable of sending the following informations to the C2 server:

MAC, SID, HD serial number, username, GUID, hostname, HD size, HD devicename, Filesystem, OS version, browser version, DotNET version, Video Driver, Language Settings, Memory, system bios version, domainname, computername, several processor related parameters, number of processors, other installed adware and unwanted programs, running processes, keyboard settings, Antispyware, Firewall, Antivirus and more.

The server responds to this with an encrypted configuration file which is processed here:

The same decryption algorithm which is used for the GET request, is also used to decrypt the CnC servers response. It generates a fairly simple stream seeded by the first byte of the packet and XORs it with the data. Underneath the encryption is a simple gzip stream. The full decrypted file can be downloaded [here](https://alln-extcloud-storage.cisco.com/ciscoblogs/59ad2b7ca12e5.txt). It contains the adware and other unwanted programs the Graftor downloader is supposed to install for it's partners/customers. You can see an example in Fig. 31.

The first URL from the 'l' key is used to download the partner executable and install it. The 'a' key is used as its command line parameters. We have yet to identify the exact meaning of all the keys; they are passed as parameters to a quite large JSON library. This library is also statically compiled into the binary. Besides the JSON library we also found a statically compiled SQLite library, we haven't fully investigated how it is used by the executable. However at this point we have enough information to detect and stop this adware downloader.

The information presented so far clearly shows the sophistication of this piece of software. With the data presented in the two decoded files, you have a good idea of the capabilities of the software and the impact it has on infected systems.

Graftor, and the applications that it downloads also heavily check for AV products and use various techniques to detect if it is running in a sandbox environment. These are very similar to techniques commonly observed in malware.

Fig. 32a

Fig. 33

Conclusion

Graftor continues to be one of the most notorious potentially-unwanted-software downloaders we see in the wild. Users may be unaware that it is being bundled and executed as part of the freeware installation, since these installation files silently execute Graftor alongside the freeware. Once Graftor is running, it exfiltrates a huge amount of user and machine identifiable information and installs additional potentially-unwantedapplications from its partners. The downloader requests administrative rights on the local machine, with this access, it can do anything it wants to do on the user's machine.

Solutions such as AMP for endpoints and AMP on network devices give administrators visibility of when software such as Graftor, and the

further packages it downloads, are installed on devices. Similarly, network based detection can identify and block the CnC activity (Snort SID 44214). Thought should be given to blocking access to freeware websites to prevent the download of the Graftor installer. However, much freeware does not come bundled with Graftor and may be of great use to some users.

At the end of the day, keep in mind that if the software is free, you might be the product. Anyone using freeware should closely review the EULA before installing it. We know it is painful, but trying to remove this kind of software is likely more painful.

Coverage

Additional ways our customers can detect and block this threat are listed below.

Advanced Malware Protection [\(AMP\)](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/support/security/amp-firepower-software-license/tsd-products-support-series-home.html) is ideally suited to prevent the execution of the malware used by these threat actors.

[CWS](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/cloud-web-security/index.html) or [WSA w](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/web-security-appliance/index.html)eb scanning prevents access to malicious websites and detects malware used in these attacks.

[Email Security](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/email-security-appliance/index.html) can block malicious emails sent by threat actors as part of their campaign.

The Network Security protection of [IPS a](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/intrusion-prevention-system-ips/index.html)nd [NGFW](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/products/security/asa-next-generation-firewall-services/index.html) have up-to-date signatures to detect malicious network activity by threat actors.

[AMP Threat Grid](https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/enterprise-networks/amp-threat-grid/index.html) helps identify malicious binaries and build protection into all Cisco Security products.

[Umbrella,](https://umbrella.cisco.com/) our secure internet gateway (SIG), blocks users from connecting to malicious domains, IPs, and URLs, whether users are on or off the corporate network

IOC

Alternate Data Streams(ADS):

C:\Users\dex\AppData\Local\Temp\2263387661.exe:Zone.Identifier

C:\Users\dex\AppData\Local\Temp\QBPO5ppcuhJG.exe:tmp

C:\Users\dex\AppData\Local\Temp\2263387661.exe:tmp

C:\Users\dex\AppData\Local\Temp\AyWdp7tHPIeU.exe:tmp

C:\Windows\System32\regsvr32.exe:Zone.Identifier **Hashes:**

2263387661.exe (Graftor Dropper) 9b9ce661a764d84a4636812e1dfcb03b (MD5) Fd3ccf65eab21a77d2e440bd23c59d52e96a03a4 (SHA1) 41474cd23ff0a861625ec1304f882891826829ed26ed1662aae2e7ebbe3605f2 (SHA256)

Dumped 2nd stage: 40bde09fc059f205f67b181c34de666b (MD5) 99c7627708c4ab1fca3222738c573e7376ab4070 (SHA1) Eefdbe891e35390b84181eabe0ace6e202f5b2a050e800fb8e82327d5e57336d (SHA256)

Dumped 3rd stage: 1e9f40e70ed3ab0ca9a52c216f807eff (MD5) 7c4cd0ff0e004a62c9ab7f8bd991094226eca842 (SHA1) 5eb2333956bebb81da365a26e56fea874797fa003107f95cda21273045d98385 (SHA256)

URLs:

Command and Control Server GET Request: hxxp://kskmasdqsjuzom[.]regularfood[.]gdn/J/ZGF0YV9maWxlcz0yMyZ0eXBlPXN0YXRpYyZuYW1lPVRlbXAlNUMyMjYzMzg3NjYxLmV4ZSZybmQ

Set-Cookie: GSID=3746aecf3b94384b9de720158c4e7d88; expires=Sat, 12-Aug-2017 15

Command and Control Server POST Request

hxxp://kskmasdqsjuzom[.]regularfood[.]gdn/J/ZGF0YV9maWxlcz0yMyZ0eXBIPXN0YXRpYyZuYW1IPVRlbXAINUMyMjYzMzg3NjYxLmV4ZSZybm

Set-Cookie: GSID=3746aecf3b94384b9de720158c4e7d88; expires=Sat, 12-Aug-2017 15

Domains from sandbox run:

arolina[.]torchpound[.]gdn binupdate[.]mail[.]ru crl[.]microsoft[.]com dreple[.]com gambling577[.]xyz jvusdtufhlreari[.]twiceprint[.]gdn kskmasdqsjuzom[.]regularfood[.]gdn mentalaware[.]gdn mrds[.]mail[.]ru nottotrack[.]com plugpackdownload[.]net s2[.]symcb[.]com sputnikmailru[.]cdnmail[.]ru ss[.]symcd[.]com xml[.]binupdate[.]mail[.]ru

Snort Rules:

SID 44214