ExPetr/Petya/NotPetya is a Wiper, Not Ransomware

SL securelist.com/expetrpetyanotpetya-is-a-wiper-not-ransomware/78902/



Incidents

Incidents

28 Jun 2017

minute read



Authors

Expert Anton Ivanov
 Expert Orkhan Mamedov

After an analysis of the encryption routine of the malware used in the <u>Petya/ExPetr attacks</u>, we have thought that **the threat actor cannot decrypt victims' disk**, even if a payment was made.

This supports the theory that this malware campaign was not designed as a ransomware attack for financial gain. Instead, it appears it was designed as a <u>wiper</u> pretending to be ransomware.

Below the technical details are presented. First, in order to decrypt victim's disk the attackers need the installation ID:



In previous versions of "similar" ransomware like Petya/Mischa/GoldenEye, this installation ID contains crucial information for the key recovery. After sending this information to the attacker they can extract the decryption key using their private key.

Here's how this installation ID is generated in the ExPetr ransomware:

```
result = CryptGenRandom(randBuf.randBuf, 60u);
ERROR = result;
if ( result >= 0 )
{
    i = 0;
    do
    {
        off = randBuf.randBuf[i++] % 58u;
        randBuf.randBuf[i + 59] = BASE58_ALPHABET[off];
    }
    while ( i < 60 );</pre>
```

This installation ID in our test case is built using the CryptGenRandom function, which is basically generating random data.

10c_732715AC: ; push 60	duLen	
<pre>lea eax, [ebp+randBuf] push eax ; call CruptCopPapdop</pre>	pbBuffer [ebo+randBuf]=[Stack[0000009]+0016016b]	1
	db 0E2b - T	
con eax esi	db 53b : S	
il loc 73271895	db 81h ; ?	
Jr 100_10211015	db ØFDh ; a	
	db 36h ; 6	
	db 5Ch ; \	
	db 45h ; E	
	db 16h	
	db 50h ;]	
	db 97h ; -	
🚨 🖼 🖂	db 808h ; U	
	db 40h ; @	
loc_732715C9:	db 80h ; ?	
novzx eax, [ebp+ecx+randBuf.ran	db 0E4h ; д	
xor edx, edx	db OCFh ; II	
push 3Ah	db 90h ; ?	
pop edi	0D 43h ; U	
div edi	db 050b t 0	
inc ecx	db 0000 ; p	Y HILL BUILT - Lo do CH
nov a1, byte ptr ds:BASE58_AL	db Edb - P	stuuwxyZabcdef"
HOV [eop+ecx+randBuf.randBuf+	db BE8b ; w	
the chart loc 79974500	db 25h : 2	
Ju Shore 100_73271509		
•		
🔛 🗹 🖂		
lea eax, [ebp+origHbr]		

The following buffer contains the randomly generated data in an encoded "BASE58" format:

 0016B1A0
 42
 53
 45
 4E
 77
 62
 43
 50
 63
 63
 64
 37
 53
 77
 61
 69
 BSENwbCPccj7Swai

 0016B1B0
 41
 43
 39
 56
 50
 31
 65
 67
 48
 41
 33
 48
 79
 77
 4E
 44
 AC9UP1egKA3HywND

 0016B1C0
 39
 66
 64
 38
 73
 55
 71
 35
 34
 69
 54
 41
 78
 54
 53
 38
 9fd8sUq54iTAxTS8

 0016B1D0
 4D
 5A
 6F
 61
 54
 36
 36
 41
 44
 53
 62
 46
 00
 B1
 16
 00
 MZoaT66ADSbF.+...

 0816B1E0
 4D
 5A
 6F
 61
 54
 36
 36
 41
 44
 53
 62
 46
 00
 B1
 16
 00
 MZoaT66ADSbF.+...

 0816B1E0
 4D
 5A
 6F
 61
 54
 36
 80
 80
 80
 80

If we compare this randomly generated data and the final installation ID shown in the first screen, they are the same. In a normal setup, this string should contain encrypted information that will be used to restore the decryption key. For ExPetr, **the ID shown in the ransom screen is just plain random data**.

That means that the attacker cannot extract any decryption information from such a randomly generated string displayed on the victim, and as a result, the victims will not be able to decrypt any of the encrypted disks using the installation ID.

What does it mean? Well, first of all, this is the worst-case news for the victims – even if they pay the ransom they will not get their data back. Secondly, this reinforces the theory that the main goal of the ExPetr attack was not financially motivated, but destructive.

Our friend Matt Suiche from Comae Technologies independently came to the same conclusion.



Matthieu Suiche 🤣 @msuiche · 3h

Ransomwares and hackers are becoming the scapegoats of nation state attackers. Petya is a wiper not a ransomware.

at B bandard bandarda	alter factor ten tente factor tenteter Attendantel
3.0 3.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5	Theorem Of X States (States +) States +) States -) Theorem
7637 x 76334	* Function names (* # 112) */ arctite 11/a11 + 5461 + arctite
CANTER & LOW AV	Z as the section date = 1;
see and (and #) (als 0471 + 0x300), and (Minelefs, Mirel)	1 man Marcare
the work as we	2 ad Marian waterOffytecarities = (uruigredintid)sectionindes >> 32
result = 0;	 ad. Newsel 142 readlectionindes(&):latters, Buffer, sectionindes, MusicerOffyt
14 (vet >> 0)	2 Aminungsterus
A sector being a fer	2 at 1999 19
LF (103)	A 181 Buffar[s11] ** Buffar[s11]
F	2 ad. (00704) 0 3.67 +**, 57;
7	and there are a state of a state
" result - writelectorHack[sectorIndex, & Hodene, (PCV000]ate Bais];	2 ad (1994) 0 110 Lf (Twritelectorindes(Wilshow, Buffer, sectioning, Make
if (result < 0)	Z wa, micros
break;	2 as, 000745
and the second particular and the second sec	Z ad many
	Z an INCOME THE ST C SATURATION (Contractor Contractor
while (sectorising 4 vib);	2 ad, 19922ad
	2 ad. 100234 0 157 - 53 - 53 - (53 A 0-177)
100	2 64,00000 0 110 x 10 x 101
	# 168 14 (with we (month)-#)
runalt = #wbeelees7;	2 an INNEWC 141 (
Status a complete	an innin
(# (result be #)	9 wa 1000700
Contraction of the second s	Z sik INDENE # 121 return 0;
recall a writeSector#Jack(32, Writehous, Whotter);	9 14 190301 B 111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
17 C council by # 1	7 as seen 7
	A DECEMBER OF A
<pre>result = writeSector#lock(33, &/listence, χ);</pre>	128 If (1921) 542a 88b/52a/a6a4a008(89a6/b/22)29468a/546
Status - results	and a set of the set o
	 171 If C hasher/Claytecorrities to vite
repuit a writefectorBlock[M. B/ilmane, RemodedPD1];	174 11 furiteSectorIndex(Williams, B-10, 54, 0)
goto _exit;	175 [] fariteSectorEnder(& Linhour, B-11, 55, 0)
IIII A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	2 Dept min
Petya	"Tenented: using gurund type char Buffer[17];
027cc450ef5f8c5f653329641ec1fed91f694e0d229928963b30f6b007d3a745 (27 June 2017)	Talan .
Potyo 2017 is a winor not a rancom	ware Compo Technologies
relya.2017 is a wiper not a fallsom	ware – Comae recimologies
• •	•
Densennuero es e comise com to be	reneward Lura as a Comise
Ransomware-as-a-service soon to be	renamed Lure-as-a-Service
blog comae io	
blog.comae.io	

- Data Encryption
- Malware Descriptions
- <u>Petya</u>
- Ransomware
- <u>Wiper</u>

Authors



ExPetr/Petya/NotPetya is a Wiper, Not Ransomware

Your email address will not be published. Required fields are marked *

GReAT webinars

13 May 2021, 1:00pm

GReAT Ideas. Balalaika Edition

26 Feb 2021, 12:00pm 17 Jun 2020, 1:00pm 26 Aug 2020, 2:00pm 22 Jul 2020, 2:00pm From the same authors



Sodin ransomware exploits Windows vulnerability and processor architecture



KeyPass ransomware



SynAck targeted ransomware uses the Doppelgänging technique



Mining is the new black



Bad Rabbit ransomware

Subscribe to our weekly e-mails

The hottest research right in your inbox

- •
- •



Reports

APT trends report Q1 2022

This is our latest summary of advanced persistent threat (APT) activity, focusing on events that we observed during Q1 2022.

Lazarus Trojanized DeFi app for delivering malware

We recently discovered a Trojanized DeFi application that was compiled in November 2021. This application contains a legitimate program called DeFi Wallet that saves and manages a cryptocurrency wallet, but also implants a full-featured backdoor.

MoonBounce: the dark side of UEFI firmware

At the end of 2021, we inspected UEFI firmware that was tampered with to embed a malicious code we dub MoonBounce. In this report we describe how the MoonBounce implant works and how it is connected to APT41.

The BlueNoroff cryptocurrency hunt is still on

It appears that BlueNoroff shifted focus from hitting banks and SWIFT-connected servers to solely cryptocurrency businesses as the main source of the group's illegal income.



The hottest research right in your inbox

- - ,



Improve threat hunting & reversing skills with GReAT experts

Learn more