# Sogeti ESEC Lab

web.archive.org/web/20191008053714/http://esec-lab.sogeti.com/posts/2016/06/07/the-story-of-yet-another-ransomfailware.html

# TL;DR

This article explains why it is still worth trying to reverse engineer a ransomware in order to retrieve your encrypted files. You may find a tool to decrypt the files modified by this specific ransomware at the end of the article.

# Context

A few weeks ago, Sogeti ESEC was called on an incident that took place in a client information system.

The client noticed some strange activities ongoing on one computer within their network. Indeed, some files on that computer, including the ones available on network shares, were unreadable. Unfortunately most of those files included vital documents for our client, such as financial information, strategic information and so on. This data were the achievement of a couple dozen years of work, thus could not be allowed to be lost. That is the reason why several backups of those data were done.

After some investigations, they quickly understood that a malware was running on it. On their own, they suspected the presence of a ransomware.

# Their moves were to:

- end the process that was running
- · delete all the encrypted files
- reboot
- plug the backup storage and copy original files to the infected computer

Obviously the malware was still present on the system and had executed itself at boot time, infecting all freshly mounted backups, ending up with the loss of their three (3) backups (i.e.: averaging 1To worth of data).

Through a private company they were able to retrieve 40Go of encrypted data. Our mission was to understand how that incident happened and if we were able to retrieve their data.

This mission has been conducted in collaboration with the Pentest and R&D teams of Sogeti/ESEC.

# Introduction

Wikipedia well defines what a ransomware is:

A ransomware is a type of malware which restricts access to a machine data in some way. Most of the time, the malware encrypts the content of all disks available locally and remotely. Then the encryption key is sent to the attacker and destroyed. The instructions to recover the files are also dropped by the malware. The attacker commonly asks for a ransom to get the files decrypted.

Ransomware is the easiest and quickest way of preventing a company to work, creating a leverage to get paid, or "ransom". Of course there is no guarantee of being able to retrieve the lost data. On the top of that, cryptocurrencies - like Bitcoin - allow fast transactions and are more or less easy to cash out. That is one of the reasons this kind of malware is on the rise.

A 2015 study published by Microsoft [1] shows this increase:

2014 saw exponential infections from ransomware, with families such as Ransom:JS/Krypterade, Win32/Crowti, Win32/Reveton, and Win32/Teerac garnering more than 4 million infections. The start of 2015 introduced new characters such as Win32/Tescrypt and Win32/Troldesh.

Due to their nature, most of the files encrypted with a ransomware are impossible to retrieve. Microsoft agrees [2]:

Due to the encryption of the files, it can be practically impossible to reverse-engineer the encryption or "crack" the files without the original encryption key – which only the attackers will have access to.

# Compromise

The discovery of the so-called **Patient Zero** is really important in order to understand how an incident happened. In our case, we easily identified a RDP service opened on the Internet. Through the computer's logs, we identified that a successful authentication of the *Administrator* user on that service was the root of that compromise. Indeed we then noticed that the password used was really weak and could be found in any dictionaries.

From there we were able to locate the malware (that was still running on the infected computer) and decided to analyze it.

# Analysis

In this section, we describe the main steps of the ransomware which are common to this kind of malware.

# **Configuration decryption**

The first task done by the ransomware is to decrypt a block of 73476 bytes located at the address *0x00413FF8*:

```
i = 0;
do
{
    if ( i * 4 & 1 )
        config[i] ^= 0xAF67D12E;
    else
        config[i] ^= 0xF76742E2;
    ++i;
}
while ( i < 18369 );</pre>
```

This block contains its configuration. Here is a list of the data contained in it:

- Size (on 4 bytes) of the RSA key in bytes
- The RSA modulus

00413FF880000000B5EFD645333D7B755796FD0A $\zeta \dots A^{\hat{1}} IE3=\{uW\hat{u}^2.$ 004140082AE3DB56783857CA2CE36433AC8A7557 $^{\circ}\dot{0}\$ 'Vx8W-,  $\dot{0}d3\%euW$ 004140186A7164A062531BACC705A72360CA0047jqdábS. $\frac{1}{4}A^{\circ}.^{\circ}\mu^{\circ} - .G$ 00414028C26258ECC234AAD1AEFD86F9EA78566D $-bXý-4\neg D \ll^2 a^{\circ}\hat{U}xVm$ 00414038C983A47DA159C229F70742F1C040AEE6+anj iY-,  $.B\pm+@\ll\mu$ 00414048CBF4E3EA473656037F9931D6CEE8AB94 $-\eta \hat{O}\hat{U}G6V...\hat{O}11+P \% \hat{O}$ 00414058F5B9AC64F10DC817EDA9024DBCA7100 $\$_1^{\vee}d_{\perp}+...Ý...\$_1^{+}-q.$ 0041406830E880933B9324E9F68F8C5BBBD37876 $OP \hat{C}_{0}^{\circ}, \hat{O}\hat{U} \div .1[+Exv00414078CE5FFBC30000000$ 

#### The RSA exponent

004141F2 00 00 00 00 00 00 04 00 00 00 01 00 01 00 00 ......

List of drive letter to infect

 00414472
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 01
 00
 41
 00
 42
 00
 43
 00
 45
 00
 .....A.B.C.D.E.

 00414482
 46
 00
 47
 00
 48
 00
 49
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 48
 00
 40
 00
 50
 00
 51
 00
 52
 00
 53
 00
 54
 00
 55
 00
 N.O.P.Q.R.S.T.U.

 004144A2
 56
 00
 57
 00
 58
 00
 59
 00
 54
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 V.W.X.Y.Z....

The magic number for the encrypted file's footer

#### The email address to ask the ransom from

 00414578
 2E
 00
 7B
 00
 5F
 00
 70
 00
 72
 00
 6E
 00
 ...{.p.r.i.n.

 00414588
 63
 00
 40
 00
 61
 00
 5F
 00
 72
 00
 69
 00
 6E
 00
 ...{.a.\_p.r.i.n.

 00414588
 63
 00
 40
 00
 61
 00
 6F
 00
 2E
 00
 63
 00
 6F
 00
 c.@.a.o.l...c.o.

 00414598
 6D
 00
 7D
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00</t

The extension for the encrypted file

004145F8 2E 00 78 00 74 00 62 00 6C 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 ...x.t.b.l.....

The name of the mutex

 00414678
 47
 00
 6C
 00
 62
 00
 61
 00
 6C
 00
 73
 00
 G.l.o.b.a.l.\.s.

 00414688
 6E
 00
 63
 00
 5F
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 <t

#### The folder to exclude from encryption

00415678 25 00 77 00 69 00 6E 00 64 00 69 00 72 00 25 00 %.w.i.n.d.i.r.%.

#### The registry key for persistence

 004147F8
 53
 00
 6F
 00
 66
 00
 74
 00
 77
 00
 61
 00
 72
 00
 65
 00
 S.o.f.t.w.a.r.e.

 00414808
 5C
 00
 4D
 00
 69
 00
 63
 00
 72
 00
 6F
 00
 \.M.i.c.r.o.s.o.

 00414818
 66
 00
 74
 00
 5C
 00
 57
 00
 6F
 00
 6F
 00
 \.M.i.c.r.o.s.o.

 00414818
 66
 00
 74
 00
 5C
 00
 57
 00
 6F
 00
 6F
 00
 f.t.\.W.i.n.d.o.

 00414828
 77
 00
 73
 00
 5C
 00
 43
 00
 72
 00
 72
 00
 65
 00
 w.s.\.C.u.r.r.e.

 00414838
 6E
 00
 74
 00
 56
 00
 65
 00
 66
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00

#### A list of files to exclude from encryption

 004151F8
 45
 00
 78
 00
 6C
 00
 6F
 00
 72
 00
 65
 00
 72
 00
 E.x.p.l.o.r.e.r.

 00415208
 2E
 00
 65
 00
 78
 00
 65
 00
 78
 00
 65
 00
 72
 00
 63
 00
 ..e.x.e.; .S.v.c.

 00415218
 68
 00
 6F
 00
 74
 00
 2E
 00
 65
 00
 78
 00
 65
 00
 h.o.s.t...e.x.e.

The name of the files that contain decryption instructions to follow in order to pay the ransom (dropped on disk):

 00414978
 44
 00
 45
 00
 43
 00
 52
 00
 59
 00
 54
 00
 2E
 00
 D.E.C.R.Y.P.T...

 00414988
 6A
 00
 70
 00
 67
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00

The file DECPYPT FILES.txt obviously contain a typo (*P* instead of *R*). The only reason we found is the fact the *P* and *R* are on the same keyboard key on russian keyboard layout.

The instructions picture looks like that:

Ваши данные зашифрованы последним алгоритмом шифрования. Если хотите вернуть данные, то отправьте 1 зашифрованный файл на электронную почту

# a\_princ@aol.com

У вас есть 48 часов иначе ключи будут удалены Thanks to a famous search engine and a russian OCR tool, this is the text in english:

Your data is encrypted using the latest encryption algorithm.

If you want to restore the data, send 1 encrypted file by e-mail to

a\_princ@aol.com

You have 48 hours otherwise keys are removed

He wants one encrypted file, probably to be sure that we have been infected by his cryptolocker. Ransom demand probably comes as a response to the email.

## Persistence

The ransomware tries to copy itself in %windir% then %appdata%.

```
Once done, it creates a registry key System Service under
Software\Microsoft\Windows\CurrentVersion\Run in order to be run at startup, thus
achieving persistence
```

```
if (v9 && GetModuleFileNameW(0, v0, 0x7FFu))
{
    if ((wcscpy_s(v1, 0x7FFFu, "%windir%\\System32"), wcscat_s(v1, 0x7FFFu, L"\\"),
wcscat_s(v1, 0x7FFFu, CryptolockerName),
      ExpandEnvString(v1)) && CopyFileTo(v0, v1)
                                                   (wcscpy_s(v1, 0x7FFFu, "%localappdata%"), wcscat_s(v1, 0x7FFFu, L"\\"), wcscat_s(v1,
0x7FFFu, CryptolockerName),
      ExpandEnvString(v1)) && CopyFileTo(v0, v1))
    {
        if (RegOpenKeyExW(HKEY_LOCAL_MACHINE, &SubKey, 0, 0x20106u, &phkResult) ||
        (v5 = RegSetValueExW(phkResult, "System Service", 0, 1u, v1, 2 * wcslen(v1)) == 0,
        RegCloseKey(phkResult), !v5))
        {
            if (!RegOpenKeyExW(HKEY_CURRENT_USER, &SubKey, 0, 0x20106u, &phkResult))
            {
                RegSetValueExW(phkResult, "System Service", 0, 1u, v1, 2 * wcslen(v1));
                RegCloseKey(phkResult);
            }
       }
   }
}
```

Note: The name of this registry key is contained in the configuration data inside the binary and may change.

# Operation

After this, the ransomware adds three files to the exception list:

- DECRYPT.jpg
- DECPYPT FILES.txt
- the name of the running binary

It then uses the GetLogicalDrives function to get the list of drives present on the machine.

```
while (1){
    v2 = GetLogicalDrives();
    v14 = v2;
    if ( v1 != v2 ){
        driveIndex = 0;
        v13 = v2 & ~v1 & 0xFFFFFFC;
        v16 = 1;
        v15 = 0;
        do{
            if (v13 & v16){
                Src = *&aAbcdefghijklmn[driveIndex];
                v10 = malloc(0x1002Cu);
                *v10 = *lpThreadParameter;
                *(v10 + 16385) = *(lpThreadParameter + 16385);
                *(v10 + 16386) = *(lpThreadParameter + 16386);
                *(v10 + 16387) = *(lpThreadParameter + 16387);
                wcscpy_s(v10 + 2, 0x7FFFu, &Src);
                CreateThread(0, 0, sub_401A90, v10, 0, 0);
                driveIndex = v15;
                v2 = v14;
            }
            driveIndex += 2;
            v16 = \_R0L4\_(v16, 1);
            v15 = driveIndex;
        }
        while ( driveIndex < '@' );</pre>
        v1 = v2;
    }
    Sleep(0x64u);
}
```

On each of these logical drives, a thread is launched. Inside each of those threads, four other threads are launched

```
do
     *(&Handles + v1++) = CreateThread(0, 0, StartAddress, &Parameter, 0, 0);
while ( v1 < 4 );</pre>
```

Each of these previous threads will walk through folders recursively and will encrypt each file.

# Encryption

In this section, we analyzed the different steps involving the encryption scheme used by the ransomware.

# Key generation

The key is the most important operation of the ransomware as all the files will be encrypted with it.

The ransomware uses two (2) different sources of data, more or less random, to generate the 256 bits of the key.

First, it calls the  $_ftime64$  function to get a 64-bit time value by default. Only the epochs time in seconds and the current number of milliseconds will be used by the ransomware. This data represents 8 bytes of data stored at 0x00426c44.

```
_ftime64(&data);
dword_426C44 ^= 1000 * ms;
dword_426C48 ^= ((1000 * ms) >> 32) | data;
```

The second source of data is the result of a call to the rand function of the libc. This call will add 4 bytes of data.

qword\_426C4C = rand() ^ qword\_426C4C; // qword\_426C4C = 0

A 256 bits memory space is allocated for the key and then filled with zeroes. The number of milliseconds multiplied by 1000 is copied. Then the epochs time in seconds. And finally, the rand value.

 00426C44
 08
 3A
 04
 00
 FE
 56
 4F
 57
 29
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00
 00

As shown on the previous picture, more than 50% of the space is not updated with random or selected values and stay filled with zeroes.

From there, the ransomware computes the MD5 hash of these 256 bits to get 16 bytes of data.

```
md5CTX[0] = 0x67452301;
md5CTX[1] = 0xEFCDAB89;
md5CTX[2] = 0x98BADCFE;
md5CTX[3] = 0x10325476;
md5_update(32, md5CTX, &dword_426C44);
md5_final(md5CTX);
```

These 16 bytes are then used as a key to encrypt the 256 bits using the RC4 algorithm.

```
rc4_init(&rc4CTX, &data);
...
memcpy(a1, &data, lenToGenerated);
rc4_encrypt(&rc4CTX, a1, 32);
```

The resulting encrypted data is the key used to encrypt the files of the system.

To sum up:

```
KEY = RC4(MD5(data), data)
data = [epochs][milliseconds*1000][rand()][0000][0000][0000][0000][0000]
(each [] = 4 bytes)
```

We can consider that the key is computed from 96 bits of data or only 74 bits if we consider the maximal millisecond value being 999.

### Weaknesses

You may have already found the weaknesses in this encryption scheme.

- The epochs time in seconds can be guessed from the date of last modification of the encrypted files. Even if the timestamp has been corrupted, it is still possible to bruteforce the value over a few days.
- Regarding the number of milliseconds, there is no real weakness other than the number of milliseconds itself. Only 1000 possible values.
- The third weakness is the call of the rand function. As it is not securely seeded before, and this call is always the first call to this function, the value returned by rand is always the same (0x00000029).
- Finally, if we consider that we want to bruteforce the timestamp on 10 days, the key generation algorithm takes around 20 bits for the timestamp and 10 bits for the number of milliseconds.

A bruteforce should then require only a few minutes to find the key.

### **Key encryption**

After the key generation, the key is encrypted using RSA and a 1024 bits key located in the config at **0x00413ffc**. Exponent is also in the config at **0x004141fc**.

```
00413FFCB5EFD645333D7B755796FD0A2AE3DB56Á´ÍE3={uWû².*Ò!V0041400C783857CA2CE36433AC8A75576A7164A0x8W-,Òd3¼èuWjqdá0041401C62531BACC705A72360CA0047C26258ECbS.¼Ã.°#`-.G-bXý0041402CC234AAD1AEFD86F9EA78566DC983A47D-4\neg D \ll^2 å``ÛxVm+ âñ}0041403CA159C229F70742F1C040AEE6CBF4E3EAÍY-).B±+@<µ-¶ÒÛ</td>0041404C473656037F9931D6CEE8AB94F5B9AC64G6V..Ö1Í+Þ½ö§¦¼d0041406C3B9324E9F68F8C5BBBD37876CE5FFBC3;ô$Ú÷.î[+Ëxv+_1+......004141FC0001000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000000<t
```

As the size of the data to encrypt is only 32 bytes (256 bits), padding is added to the key before encryption. Padding is generated from the rand function, probably following the <u>OAEP</u> padding scheme.

### **File encryption**

The ransomware uses the <u>AES</u> encryption algorithm with a 256 bits key in CBC mode. For each file, a random IV is generated using the same function than the key.

This IV is added in the data at the end of each encrypted file with the magic, the padding length and the encrypted key.

```
WriteFile(f, &magic, 6u, &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0); // 005PRZ
WriteFile(f, &IV, 0x10u, &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0);
WriteFile(f, &paddingLen, 1u, &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0);
WriteFile(f, (RSA + 32), 0x80u, &NumberOfBytesWritten, 0);
```

An example result is depicted below:

After the file is encrypted, it is deleted.

```
if ( v8 )
{
   SetFileAttributesW(a4, dwFileAttributes);
   if ( !*(v12 + 172) )
        DeleteFileW(lpFileName);
}
result = v8;
```

# Decryption

In this section, we describe the different steps to recover the encryption key and decrypt the files.

## Bruteforce the secret key

Bruteforcing the key requires a way to identify when we find the correct key. For that, we use the first 4 bytes (magic number) of several known filetypes because their header never changes. So, we need at least one encrypted file with the corresponding filetype.

In the following tables, some of the magic numbers values:

Extension	First 4 bytes
.exe,.dll	4D5A9000
.docx	504b0304
.xlsx	504b0304
.pptx	504b0304
.zip	504b0304
.jpeg	ffd8ffe0
.png	89504E47
.pdf	25504446
.rtf	7b5c7274

The algorithm used to bruteforce the key is :

```
for timestamp in range(start, end):
    for millisecond in range(1000):
        Key = GenerateKey(timestamp, millisecond*1000)
        if Decrypt(encryptedFile[:4]) == header:
            return KEY_FOUND
return KEY_NOT_FOUND
```

### **Key identification**

As the ransomware is designed to be run at startup, it is possible that files on the same machine were encrypted with different keys. If we want to decrypt all files on a machine, we need a way to identify whether we have the right key or not.

For that, we use the encrypted key block located at the end of each encrypted file. For each run, the ransomware will encrypt the key using the RSA 1024 bits key and the result is added at the end of the encrypted file.

When we finally find the right key for a file, we can store the encrypted key separately. And when we need to decrypt a file, we only need to compare the encrypted key stored against the one stored previously.

### Key decryption

The ransomware uses a 1024 bits modulus with 0x10001 exponent to encrypt the key. Thus, there is no way of decrypting the key except if you made some progresses in quantum computing.

### **File decryption**

If we have the encryption key, we are able to decrypt the file using the IV stored at the end of each encrypted file with AES256 in CBC mode using the freshly retrieved key.

We also need to remove the last *x* bytes of the decrypted data by using the padding value stored in each encrypted file footer.

## Indicator of compromise

Here is a list of IOCs for this ransomware:

- Files with xtbl or {a\_princ@aol.com}.xtbl extension
- Process with mutex starting with snc

• PE files present in <code>%appdata%</code> or <code>%windir%</code> with that fingerprint:

Algorithm	Fingerprint
MD5	ebcdda10fdfaa38e417d25977546df4f
SHA1	5b58de17843ac44adc91b41883828cf5b3a11744
SHA256	3c4588fe87146b9c1d0c97a5175bc287d8350ace3f4188d3cd2458638fcd8d97
Machoc	https://raw.githubusercontent.com/sogeti-esec-lab/ransomware-xtbl-decrypt- tool/master/machoc-signature.txt

# Decryption

We developed a tool that decrypts files encrypted by this malware only. First, the tool will recover the encryption key using one encrypted file.

Please use an encrypted file with the last modification timestamp untouched.



Then the files on each disk of the machine will be decrypted.



Thos tool is available on github:

- <u>Sources</u>
- <u>Compiled executable</u>

# Conclusion

Statistics show that the threat of being infected by a ransomware has only begun. Each month, more and more ransomware variants are detected.

Some of them do not use state of the art cryptography yet, or badly use it to encrypt files, such as in our case. But in most cases, there is no way to decrypt the file without having the secret key of the attacker.

Here, the fail comes from the rand function call which is not correctly seeded beforehand, the use of the timestamp which can easily be bruteforced and the number of milliseconds which holds a limited space of possibilities.

This post also highlights the good cooperation between the Pentest and the R&D team of Sogeti ESEC. For that, special thanks to **lerobert**, **jbedrine**, **meik**, who also worked on this incident response.

[1] https://www.microsoft.com/security/portal/enterprise/threatreports\_september\_2015.aspx

# [2] https://www.microsoft.com/en-us/security/portal/mmpc/shared/ransomware.aspx