# Another country-sponsored #malware: Vietnam APT Campaign

blog.malwaremustdie.org/2014/08/another-country-sponsored-malware.html

ate modified	Туре
14/08/17 22:33	WinRAR archive
13/01/26 1:41	HTML Applicati
je871959365ce65 27ada1a3284aa33	

#### The background

This is a team work analysis, we have at least 5 (five) members involved with this investigation.

The case that is about to be explained here is an APT case. Until now, we were (actually) avoiding APT cases for publicity in Malware Must Die! posts. But due to recent progress in *"public privacy violation or power-abuse/bullying"* malware cases, we improved our policy, so for several cases fit to *"a certain condition"*, i.e. malware developed by *"powerful actors with budget"* aiming weak victims including the APT method, or, intimidation for public privacy cases using a crafted-malware, are going to be disclosed and reported here *"ala MMD"*, along w/public criminal threat too. So don't use malware if you don't want to look BAD :-) This case is NOT a new threat, for the background this threat was written in the Infosec Island blog, written by By Eva Galperin and Morgan Marquis-Boire in the good report of article: "Vietnamese Malware Gets Very Personal" which is posted several months ago, access is in here-->[LINK], the post was very well written as heads up for this threat. Also, there are similar article supported to this threat and worth reading beforehand like:

• <u>https://www.hostragon.com/shadowy-pro-government-hacking-squad-spying-vietnamese-bloggers/</u>

• <u>http://english.vietnamnet.vn/fms/science-it/102484/chinese-hackers-set-malware-to-trap-vietnamese-internet-users.html</u>

• <u>http://www.nytimes.com/aponline/2014/01/20/world/asia/ap-as-vietnam-online-wars.html</u> You can consider this post is made as additional for the previous writings, to disclose deeper of what public and the victims actually SHOULD know in-depth about the malicious activity detail, that is performed by this malware. To be more preventive in the future for the similar attack that is possibly occurred.

We suspect a group with good budget is in behind of this malware, aiming and bullying privacy of specific individuals who against one country's political method. In a glimpse, the malware, which is trying hard to look like a common-threat, looks like a simple backdoor & connecting/sending some stuffs to CNC. But if you see it closely to the way it works, you will be amazed of the technique used to fulfill its purpose, and SPYING is the right word for that purpose.

The sample we analyzed in this post was received from the victims side, we picked the one file called "Thu moi.7z" which contains the "Thu moi.hta" snipped below:

Name	Date modified	Туре	Size
🔚 Thu moi.7z	2014/08/17 22:33	WinRAR archive	401 KB
🛅 Thu moi.hta	2013/01/26 1:41	HTML Application	1,338 KB
		e60037.¥Thu moi.7z 3d954d.¥Thu moi.hta	

..which was reported as the latest of this series.

From the surface, if "Thu moi.hta" file is being executed (double clicked), it will extract (drop) and opening a Microsoft Word DOC file, to camouflage the victim to make them believe that they are opening an archived document file, while what had actually happened is, in the background a series of infection activities happened in the victim's PC.

#### Malware installer scheme

How the file was extracted from "Thu moi.hta" is by utilizing a simple embedded VB Script, you can see it started in the line 307 (of that .hta sample file) as per shown below in any text editor you pick:




At the starting part of this script. you can see three points was used to camouflage, which are : (1) The usage of the long white space to cover the evil script start tag from the eye-sight,

(2) the effort to minimize the "window" for the shell used to run this evil VB Script, and (3) the effort to NOT showing the window taskbar during the script running.

I will try to peel the evil script used, with the explanation I commented within the lines, as per below:




So, the script was design to keep on running in any run time error. You will meet the function forming the randomized strings for an "exe" filename. You can see how this script generate the *"random seed"* to be used for randomizing the strings used for filename, and how it merged *filename* with the *".exe"* extension afterwards. Then the script is obfuscating the WScript's (the Windows OS interpreter engine for running a VB Script) commands to form an object of file system, and the shell for execution a windows command/executable file(s).



The line 48 & 49 of the script is to declare the file object & shell mentioned above in the variable **"os"** and **"ws"**. And following by defining the windows temporary folder as file's path added by the function's generated randomized name as filename+extension. To make sure of what these variables generated values, I am using break points formed by Wscript.Echo trick to burp its value in a pop-up.

The VB Script is creating the EXE file as per previously described above, declared it as an object **"p1"**. Then you can see blob of binary codes to be written as HEX to form a file, by using the combination of commands in VB script. This method is commonly used as

technique to write a malware binary in VB Script. But this one is a well-thought one.



The next lines is explaining the same method used for HEX file-writing. Yes, it wrote another file, and declaring it as object **"p"**, but this one is using the static variable name "Doc Loi.doc" which is using the %Temp% path too (noted: GetSpecialFolder(x) where x=2 means %Temp%).

Here's the punchline, the last part of codes (lines 116 and 117) you will see the script is performing execution of object "p" (the .doc file) and without waiting it just run the "p1" (the .exe malware).

We recheck the run result of any decoding method we did. In this case I just commented the line 116 and 117 and..as per expected, this script runs and minimizing the window w/o taskbar title:



And it creates those two files (before execution). I run it many times for fun..NO!" ..for "analysis" (Uhm!), so I can extract randomized injected files to check is it polymorphic or not (and..of course..it is not, NOT with this plain Hex writing crap).

🕺 Doc loi.doc	35 KB	2014/08/23 3:41
📩 mgdkgpiab.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:41
🛅 kopkt.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:40
🛅 mrpwz.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:40
🛅 em xbk.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:39
🛅 meztggi.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:37
🛅 puoqnik.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:36
🛅 ylnaqsjku.exe	428 KB	2014/08/23 3:36

Further, we also formed the binary file-injecting itself from hex-strings directly from the script as per snipped below, to study the possibility of a miss-writing that can happened during forming the PE extraction, the test was done with the same result. A snip of scratch used (thanks to MMD DE team):

09 CD 21 B8 01 4C CD 21 54 68 69 73 20 70 72 6F 67 72 61 6D 20 63 61 6E 6E 6F 74 20 62 65 20 72 75 6E 20 69 6E 20 44 4F 53 20 6D 6F 64 65 2E 0D D 0A 24 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 9C D8 DF 9B D8 B9 B1 C8 D8 B9 B1 C8 D B9 B1 C8 D1 C1 35 C8 D9 B9 B1 C8 B7 CF 2F C8 C9 B9 B1 C8 B7 CF 1B C8 5E B9 B1 C8 D1 C1 22 C8 D3 B9 B1 C8 D8 B9 B0 C8 50 B9 B1 C8 B7 CF 1A C8 E2 B9 B1 C8 B7 CF 1E C8 CD B9 B1 C8 B7 CF 2C C8 D9 B9 B1 C8 52 69 63 05 00 08 20 1F 4A 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 E0 00 02 01 0B 01 0A 00 00 14 02 00 00 98 04 00 00 00 00 00 02 82 08 01 00 00 10 00 00 00 30 02 00 00 00 40 00 00 10 00 00 02 00 00 05 00 01 00 00 00 00 05 00 01 00 00 60 2E 72 64 61 74 61 00 00 60 60 00 00 30 02 00 00 62 00 00 18 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 40 00 00 40 2E 64 61 74 61 00 00 00 E0 5A 04 00 00 A0 02 00 00 02 04 00 00 7A 02 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 40 00 00 2E 72 73 72 63 00 00 08 88 08 00 00 00 07 00 00 0A 00 00 7C 06 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 00 55 8B EC 6A FE 68 58 78 42 00 68 80 64 41 00 64 A1 00 00 00 50 83 EC 10 53 56 57 A1 20 A5 42 00 31 45 F8 33 C5 50 8D 45 F0 84 A3 00 00 00 89 85 E8 C7 45 E4 FF FF FF FF 33 FF 89 7D FC 57 FF 15 FC 30 42 00 88 D8 3B DF 74 57 88 43 3C 03 C3 81 38 50 45 00 00 75 4A 89 7D E0 0F B7 48 06 3B F9 7D 3F 8D 14 BF 8D 84 D0 F8 00 00 00 8B 4E 0C 03 C8 8B 56 08 03 D1 39 55 08 73 13 8B 55 08 3B D1 72 0C

We also check bit-by-bit to make sure which samples belong to which installers, since this malware looks hit some victims / more than one time.

So what does this ".exe" malware do?

#### Polymorphic self-copy & new process spawner

I picked the .exe file dropped by this .hta installer with the MD5 hash f38d0fb4f1ac3571f07006fb85130a0d, this malware was uploaded to VT about 7 months ago.

The malware is the one was dropped by the installer, you can see the same last bits before blobs of "00" hex were written in the malware binary as per snipped and red-marked color in the VB script mentioned in the previous section:

	00	<u>איי</u>		101	itite		<sup>2</sup>			יא	01	100		00	our	
[0×0006	9e0(	):0:	×00×	4728	300	>										
6A000			EC													
6A010	08	3D	0C	3D	10	3D	14	3D	18	3D	10	3D	20	3D	24	3D
6A020	28	3D	88	3D	98	3D	Α8	3D	B8	3D	C8	3D	EC	3D	F8	3D
6A030	FC	3D	00	3E	04	3E	08	3E	0C	3E	10	3E	58	3F	5C	3F
6A040	60	3F	64	3F	68	3F	6C	3F	70	3F	74	3F	78	3F	70	3F
6A050	88	3F	8C	3F	90	3F	94	3F	98	3F	9C	3F	Α0	3F	Α4	3F
6A060	Α8	3F	B0	3F	B4	3F	B8	3F	BC	3F	C0	3F	C4	3F	C8	3F
6A070	CC	3F	DO	3F	D4	3F	D8	3F	DC	3F	E0	3F	E4	3F	E8	3F
6A080	EC	3F	FO	3F	F4	3F	F8	3F	FC	3F	00	00	00	B0	02	00
6A090	50	00	00	00	00	30	04	30	08	30	0C	30	10	30	14	30
6A0A0	18	30	10	30	20	30	24	30	28	30	2C	30	30	30	34	30
6A0B0	38	30	3C	30	40	30	44	30	48	30	4C	30	50	30	54	30
6A0C0	58	30	5C	30	64	30	68	30	6C	30	70	30	74	30	78	30
6A0D0	70	30	80	30	84	30	88	30	90	30	94	30	00	Α0	06	00
6A0E0	10	00	00	00	04	30	08	30	B0	30	DO	30	EC	30	08	31
6A0F0	28	31	4C	31	6C	31	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
6A100	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00
6A110	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00

This binary is having an interesting functionality. There's so much to write from it..but I will go to important highlights, or this post is going to be a book. Among all usual malicious tricks for evasion & "reverse/debug checking" tricks used, it was designed to detect the way it was called. When it was initially executed as the form of the dropped .exe from the .hta installer it will delete the original file and rewrite itself to the %Temp% folder using the random Hex-

filename with ".tmp" extension, below is the partial writing codes snipped for it:

		, ,
0×040AB11	push	esi ; contains path of this exe
0×040AB12	call	ds:PathFileExistsW ;
0×040AB18	test	eax, eax↓
0×040AB1A	jz	short loc_40AB91
0×040AB1C	push	esi ; the file name of this exe↓
0×040AB1D	call	ds:DeleteFileW ; // self deletion
0×040AB23	test	eax, eax↓
:		
0×040AB32	xor	edx, edx↓
0×040AB34	push	ebx↓
0×040AB35	push	eax↓
0×040AB36	mov	[ebp+NewFileName], dx↓
0×040AB3D	call	sub_412510↓
0×040AB42	add	esp, OCh↓
0×040AB45	lea	ecx, [ebp+NewFileName]↓
0×040AB4B	push	ecx ; lpBuffer↓
0×040AB4C	push	104h ;nBufferLength↓
0×040AB51	call	ds:GetTempPath₩↓
0×040AB57	test	eax, eax↓
0×040AB59	jz	loc_40AD0A↓
0×040AB5F	lea	edx, [ebp+NewFileName]↓
0×040AB65	push	edx ; IpTempFileName↓
0×040AB66	push	ebx ; uUnique↓
0×040AB67	push	ebx ; IpPrefixString↓
0×040AB68	mov	eax, edx↓
0×040AB6A	push	eax ; IpPathName↓
0×040AB6B	call	ds:GetTempFileName₩↓
0×040AB71	test	eax, eax↓
0×040AB73	jz	loc_40AD0A↓
0×040AB79	push	1 ¯;dwFlags↓
0×040AB7B	lea	ecx, [ebp+NewFileName]↓
0×040AB81	push	ecx ; IpNewFileName↓
0×040AB82	push	esi ; IpExistingFileName↓
0×040AB83	call	ds:MoveFileEx₩↓
0×040AB89	test	eax, eax4
0×040AB8B	jz	loc_40AD0A↓

The self-copied files are polymorphic, below some PoC, one AV evasion detection designed:

```
Size
      Exec Date
                  Filename MD5
438272 Aug 23 01:28 10.tmp*
                           577237bfd9c40e7419d27b7b884f95d3
438272 Aug 23 07:22 17.tmp*
                           9451a18db0c70960ace7d714ac0bc2d2
438272 Aug 23 07:36 18.tmp*
                           53d57a45d1b05dce56dd139fc985c55e
438272 Aug 23 07:39 19.tmp*
                           387321416ed21f31ab497a774663b400
438272 Aug 23 07:43 1A.tmp*
                           0a65ecc21f16797594c53b1423749909
438272 Aug 23 07:44 1B.tmp*
                           91a49ed76f52d5b6921f783748edab01
438272 Aug 23 07:44 1C.tmp*
                           f89571efe231f9a05f9288db84dcb006
438272 Aug 23 07:45 1D.tmp*
                           7ca95b52ed43d71e2d6a3bc2543b4ee1
438272 Aug 23 07:46 1E.tmp*
                           faec9c62f091dc2163a38867c28c224d
438272 Aug 23 07:47 1F.tmp*
                           4b02063c848181e3e846b59cbb6b3a46
438272 Aug 23 08:14 20.tmp*
                           5c8f2f581f75beff1316eee0b5eb5f6d
438272 Aug 23 01:19 F.tmp*
                           b466cb01558101d934673f56067f63aa
     1
```

It'll then create the process (with the command line API), which will be executed at the function reversed below, I put default IDA commented information since it is important for all of us (not only reverser) to understand flow used below, pls bear the length, just please scroll down to skip these assembly explanation (unless you interest to know how it works):

```
0x40BF20 sub_40BF20 proc near
0x40BF20
0x40BF20 StartupInfo= _STARTUPINFOW ptr -8508h
0x40BF20 ProcessInformation= _PROCESS_INFORMATION ptr -84C4h
0x40BF20 var_84B4= dword ptr -84B4h
0x40BF20 CommandLine= word ptr -84B0h
0x40BF20 FileName= word ptr -4B0h
0x40BF20 ApplicationName= dword ptr -2A8h
0x40BF20 var_A0= dword ptr -0A0h
0x40BF20 var_1C= dword ptr -1Ch
0x40BF20 var_18= dword ptr -18h
0x40BF20 var_10= dword ptr -10h
0x40BF20 var_8= dword ptr -8
0x40BF20 var_4= dword ptr -4
0x40BF20 arg_8= dword ptr 10h
0x40BF20
0x40BF20 push
                 ebp
                 ebp, esp
0x40BF21 mov
0x40BF23 push
                 OFFFFFFEh
0x40BF25 push
                 offset unk_4284D0
0x40BF2A push
                 offset sub_416480
0x40BF2F mov
                 eax, large fs:0
0x40BF35 push
                 eax
0x40BF36 sub
                 esp, 8
                                 ; Integer Subtraction
0x40BF39 mov
                 eax, 84F0h
0x40BF3E call
                 sub_4207F0
                                 ; Call Procedure
                 eax, dword_42A520
0x40BF43 mov
0x40BF48 xor
                 [ebp+var_8], eax
0x40BF4B xor
                 eax, ebp
0x40BF4D mov
                 [ebp+var_1C], eax
0x40BF50 push
                 ebx
0x40BF51 push
                 esi
0x40BF52 push
                 edi
0x40BF53 push
                 eax
0x40BF54 lea
                 eax, [ebp+var_10]
0x40BF57 mov
                 large fs:0, eax
0x40BF5D mov
                 [ebp+var_18], esp
0x40BF60 mov
                 esi, [ebp+arg_8]
0x40BF63 xor
                 ebx, ebx
0x40BF65 push
                 ebx
0x40BF66 call
                 ds:CoInitialize ; CoInitialize@OLE32.DLL (Import, LPVOID,
pvReserved)
                 [ebp+var_4], ebx ; Initializes COM lib
0x40BF6C mov
0x40BF6F push
                 6
                                 ; push 0x06h
0x40BF71 push
                 offset aHelp
                                 ; is a UTF-16 "--help" for params
0x40BF76 push
                 esi
0x40BF77 call
                 sub_41196F
                                 ; func to comp & add chars
0x40BF7C add
                 esp, OCh
0x40BF7F test
                 eax, eax
0x40BF81 jz
                 loc_40C13E
  5
0x40BF87 call
                 sub_409740
                                 ; func to control svc manager, grab db (info)
0x40BF8C xor
                 eax, eax
0x40BF8E mov
                 [ebp+FileName], ax
0x40BF95 push
                 206h
```

0x40BF9A push ebx 0x40BF9B lea ecx, [ebp-4AEh] ; Load addr to ECX w/Filename 0x40BFA1 push ecx 0x40BFA2 call sub\_412510 ; func to check+strings operation (XOR, shift right) 0x40BFA7 add esp, OCh ; 12 (0x0c) to be added to the stack 0x40BFAA push 104h 0x40BFAF lea edx, [ebp+FileName] ; filename 0x40BFB5 push edx ; push it to stack 0x40BFB6 push ebx ; arg; hModule 0x40BFB7 call ds:GetModuleFileNameW ; grab process filename 0x40BFBD test eax, eax 0x40BFBF jz loc\_40C15D . 0x40BFC5 xor eax, eax 0x40BFC7 mov word ptr [ebp+ApplicationName], ax 0x40BFCE push 206h 0x40BFD3 push ebx ecx, [ebp+ApplicationName+2] ; Load this appname 0x40BFD4 lea 0x40BFDA push ecx ; pushing appname to the stack ; check+strings operation (XOR, shift right) 0x40BFDB call sub\_412510 0x40BFE0 add ; 12 (0x0c)to be added to the stack esp, OCh edx, [ebp+ApplicationName] ; stored appname 0x40BFE3 lea 0x40BFE9 push edx ; push arg lpBuffer 0x40BFEA push 104h ; and its length (nBufferLength) 0x40BFEF call ds:GetTempPathW ; grab %Temp% path 0x40BFF5 test eax, eax loc\_40C15D 0x40BFF7 jz ÷. 0x40BFFD lea eax, [ebp+ApplicationName] ; to stack, arg; lpTempFileName 0x40C003 push eax 0x40C004 push ebx ; to stack, arg; uUnique 0x40C005 push ; to stack, arg; lpPrefixString ebx 0x40C006 mov ecx, eax 0x40C008 push ; lpPathName / push Path.. ecx 0x40C009 call ds:GetTempFileNameW ; grab %Temp%+%Filename% 0x40C00F test eax, eax 0x40C011 jz loc\_40C15D ÷. 0x40C017 call sub\_4079C0 ; To func CryptAcquireContextW..CryptRelease OP. 0x40C01C test eax, eax 0x40C01E jz loc\_40C15D 1 0x40C024 mov byte ptr [ebp+var\_A0], bl ; reserved pointer data to var 0x40C02A push 80h ; push WritePrivateProfileString to stack 0x40C02F push ebx ; push lpPrefixString to stack 0x40C030 lea edx, [ebp+var\_A0+1] ; load rsv pointer address ; push rsv pointer to stack 0x40C036 push edx 0x40C037 call sub\_412510 ; to func to check+strings operation (XOR, shift right) 0x40C03C add esp, OCh ; 12 (0x0c) has to be added to the stack [ebp+var\_84B4], 81h ; EBP to WritePrivateProfileString 0x40C03F mov 0x40C049 lea edx, [ebp+var\_84B4] ; load EBP 0x40C04F lea eax, [ebp+var\_A0] ; load EAX 0x40C055 call sub 40A300 ; to fnc OP Shift right+4 etc.. 0x40C05A test eax, eax

0x40C05C jz loc\_40C15D 5 0x40C07B xor eax, eax ; cleanu 0x40C07D mov [ebp+CommandLine], ax ; prep exec/command line 0x40C084 push 7FFEh ; push lpPrefixString 0x40C089 push ebx 0x40C08A lea ecx, [ebp-84AEh] ; Load eff addr of ECX ; push eff adr into stack 0x40C090 push ecx 0x40C091 call sub\_412510 ; check+strings operation (XOR, shift right) 0x40C096 lea edx, [ebp+var\_A0] ; load eff addr lpFileName 0x40C09C push edx ; psh lpFileName to stack eax, [ebp+FileName] ; load eff addr fur filename 0x40C09D lea ; push into stack 0x40C0A3 push eax 0x40C0A4 lea ecx, [ebp+ApplicationName] ; load eff addr appname 0x40C0AA push есх ; push appname to stack offset aSHelpSS ; get "\"%s\" --help%s\t%S" command executed 0x40C0AB push template into stack ; started from the above written path/filename, this file's path+name ; and %S strings from encryption result 0x40C0B0 push 4000h 0x40C0B5 lea edx, [ebp+CommandLine] ; load eff addr exec/cmd line 0x40C0BB push edx ; push cmd/exec to stack 0x40C0BC call sub\_411448 ; goto 0x0410A42, obfuscation 0x40C0C1 mov [ebp+StartupInfo.cb], ebx ; transfer the startup info 0x40C0C7 push 40h ; AccessResource 0x40C0C9 push ebx ; push to stack eax, [ebp+StartupInfo.lpReserved] ; load eff addr for 0x40C0CA lea StartupInfo+IpReserved 0x40C0D0 push ; push that into stack eax 0x40C0D1 call sub\_412510 ; deobfuscation shif -1 is here esp, 30h 0x40C0D6 add ; Add ESP w/30h 0x40C0D9 mov [ebp+StartupInfo.cb], 44h ; transfer startups to EBP 0x40C0E3 xor ecx, ecx ; cleanup ECX [ebp+StartupInfo.wShowWindow], cx ; forming startups info here.. 0x40C0E5 mov 0x40C0EC mov [ebp+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 1 0x40C0F6 mov [ebp+ProcessInformation.hProcess], ebx 0x40C0FC xor ; cleanup prep EAX eax, eax 0x40C0FE mov [ebp+ProcessInformation.hThread], eax ; forming process-info here.. 0x40C104 mov [ebp+ProcessInformation.dwProcessId], eax 0x40C10A mov [ebp+ProcessInformation.dwThreadId], eax 0x40C110 lea edx, [ebp+ProcessInformation] ; Load Effective Address ; Push all info to stack as lpProcessInformation 0x40C116 push edx 0x40C117 lea eax, [ebp+StartupInfo] ; assemble startinfo into EAX 0x40C11D push eax ; lpStartupInfo 0x40C11E push ; lpCurrentDirectory ebx 0x40C11F push ebx ; lpEnvironment 0x40C120 push 8000000h ; dwCreationFlags 0x40C125 push ebx ; bInheritHandles 0x40C126 push ; lpThreadAttributes ebx 0x40C127 push ebx ; lpProcessAttributes 0x40C128 lea ecx, [ebp+CommandLine] ; startupinfo+cmd 0x40C12E push ecx ; lpCommandLine 0x40C12F lea edx, [ebp+ApplicationName] ; process info loaded 0x40C135 push edx ; lpApplicationName pushed to stack

0x40C136 call ds:CreateProcessW ; stdcall to start process w/flags 0x40C13C jmp short loc\_40C15D

if the .hta dropped malware named "sample.exe", new process will be started by launching command line contains parameters described below:

```
"CreateProcessW","C:\DOCUME~1\...\LOCALS~1\Temp\RANDOM[0-9A-F]
{1,2}.tmp","SUCCESS|FAIL","PID: xxx,
Command line: ""C:\DOCUME~1\...\LOCALS~1\Temp\RANDOM[0-9A-F]{1,2}.tmp"" \n
--helpC:\DOCUME~1\...\LOCALS~1\Temp\sample.exe \n
BCE6D32D8CD4F1E6A1064F66D561FDA47E0CD5F8F330C4856A250BB104BC18320FF75E6E56A1741C6770AC
```

The decryption function used is as per below:



process here, raising new process that has just been executed..

### More drops & payload installation

The process RANDOM[0-9A-F]{1,2}.tmp started by allocated memory, loading rpcss.dll, uxtheme.dll, MSCTF.dll before it self deleting the dropper .exe. The snip code for the deletion is as per below, this isn't also an easy operation, it checks whether the file is really there, if not it makes sure it is there..

```
0x40A648 push
                 edi
                                 ; push pszPath into stack
0x40A649 call
                 ds:PathFileExistsW ; get the path
  τ.
0x40A657 push
                 0Ah
                                 ; lpType
0x40A659 push
                65h
                                ; lpName
                                 ; hModule (for the FindResourceW)
0x40A65B push
                ebx
0x40A65C call
                ds:FindResourceW ; Indirect Call to get resouce
                               ; feed esi w/eax
0x40A662 mov
                esi, eax
0x40A664 cmp
                 esi, ebx
                                 ; condition to check if ESI contains file data
                loc_0x40A7CB
                                   ; then goto file deletion below:
0x40A666 jz
  :
0x40A7CB loc_0x40A7CB:
                                   ; lpFileName
                                 ; push path+filename to stack
0x40A7CB push
                edi
0x40A7CC call
                ds:DeleteFileW ; call API DeleteFileW@KERNEL32.DLL (Import, 1
Params)
0x40A7D2 mov
                 [ebp+var_18], 1 ; Execution, note: mov dword ptr [ebp-18h], 0x01h
;; .. OR fill the ESI and make sure it was executed..
0x40A779 mov
                 ecx, [ebp+lpFile]
                 edx, [ebp+lpExistingFileName]
0x40A77C mov
                                 ; lpNewFileName
0x40A77F push
                 ecx
0x40A780 push
                 edx
                                 ; lpExistingFileName
  1
                 eax, [ebp+lpFile] ; eax < file opeation info</pre>
0x40A78B mov
0x40A78E push
                1
                                ; nShowCmd
                                ; lpDirectory
0x40A790 push
                ebx
0x40A791 push
                                 ; lpParameters
                ebx
0x40A792 push
                eax
                                 ; lpFile
0x40A793 push
                ebx
                                 ; lpOperation
0x40A794 push
                ebx
                                 ; hwnd
                 ds:ShellExecuteW ; prep shell to exec/open file
0x40A795 call
0x40A79B mo∨
                 [ebp+var_18], 1
  1
```

...up to this point I know that we're dealing with a tailored-made malware.

Back to the highlights, RANDOM[0-9A-F]{1,2}.tmp executed with the right condition will drop payloads of this threat, the first drop is the real deal payload, following by the second drop as the its driver. The file creation of first payload is handled in function 0x41FC90, with the related snip below:

```
0x41FEAF mov
                 eax, [ebp+arg_0]
                 edi, ds:CreateFileW ; prep API CreateFileW@KERNEL32.DLL (import, 7
0x41FEB2 mov
attribs at 0x41FED0)
0x41FEB8 push
                                 ; prepare hTemplateFile to stack
                 0
0x41FEBA push
                 [ebp+dwFlagsAndAttributes] ; to stack: dwFlagsAndAttributes
                 dword ptr [eax], 1
0x41FEBD mov
0x41FEC3 push
                 [ebp+dwCreationDisposition] ; dwCreationDisposition
                 eax, [ebp+SecurityAttributes] ; load w/add sec-attrib
0x41FEC6 lea
0x41FEC9 push
                 eax
                                 ; lpSecurityAttributes to stack
                 [ebp+dwShareMode] ; dwShareMode
0x41FECA push
0x41FECD push
                 [ebp+dwDesiredAccess] ; dwDesiredAccess
                 [ebp+lpFileName] ; push EBP with lpFileName & its data assembled:
0x41FED0 push
                                 ; C:\Documents and Settings\...\Application
0x41FED0
Data\Common Files\defrag.exe
                                 ; "SUCCESS|FAIL",
0x41FED0
0x41FED0
                                 ; "Desired Access: Read Attributes,
0x41FED0
                                 ; Disposition: Open,
                                 ; Options: Open Reparse Point,
0x41FED0
0x41FED0
                                 ; Attributes: n/a,
0x41FED0
                                 ; ShareMode: Read, Write, Delete,
                                 ; AllocationSize: n/a,
0x41FED0
                                 ; OpenResult: Open|Fail"
0x41FED0
0x41FED3 call
                 edi ; CreateFileW ; Call API
0x41FED5 mov
                 [ebp+hHandle], eax ; Boom! File create execution..
```

And the writing this file is written in function 0x418EC2 after deobfuscating data part, as per snipped here:


```
eax, [eax+6Ch]
0x418FB9 mov
0x418FBC xor
                 ecx, ecx
                                 ; cleanup ECX
                 [eax+14h], ecx ; Compare Two Operands
0x418FBE cmp
0x418FC1 lea
                 eax, [ebp+CodePage] ; Load Effective Address
                                 ; Set Byte if Zero (ZF=1)
0x418FC7 setz
                 cl
0x418FCA push
                 eax
                                 ; lpMode
0x418FCB mov
                 eax, [ebx]
0x418FCD push
                 dword ptr [edi+eax] ; hConsoleHandle, val=0x01(write)
0x418FD0 mov
                 esi, ecx
0x418FD2 call
                 ds:GetConsoleMode ; in this case is output mode console screen
buffer.
  : (etc etc)
0x4194F0 push
                                 ; lpOverlapped
                 ecx
0x4194F1 lea
                 ecx, [ebp+var_1AD8] ; load eff addr lpNumberOfBytesWritten
                                 ; push lpNumberOfBytesWritten to stack
0x4194F7 push
                 ecx
0x4194F8 push
                 [ebp+nNumberOfBytesToWrite] ; length, value (dec) 4,096 why??
0x4194FB push
                 [ebp+lpBuffer] ; lpBuffer
                 dword ptr [eax+edi] ; hFile (the defrag.exe)
0x419501 push
                                 ; Indirect Call Near Procedure
0x419504 call
                 ds:WriteFile
0x41950A test
                 eax, eax
                                 ; Execution to write...
                 short loc_0x419523 ; Jump if Zero (ZF=1)
0x41950C jz
 1
0x419523 call
                 ds:GetLastError
0x419529 mov
                 dword ptr [ebp+WideCharStr],
```

we recorded this drop operation in the forensics way too, as per below as evidence:




As you can see the wiring method is in redundancy per 4096 bytes.

This first drop called defrag.exe looks pretty much like Windows harddisk defragmentation tool, down to its property, a perfectly crafted evil file:



## 90F5BBBA8760F964B933C5F0007592D2

Only by using good analysis binary static analysis tool like PEStudio (<u>maker</u>: Marc Oschenmeier), we can spot and focus investigation to the badness indicators right away:



<u>@MalwareMustDie</u> Thx for using PEStudio for your investigation. In that case, PEStudio indicating that the image is a fake Microsoft EXE! :-)

- Marc Ochsenmeier (@ochsenmeier) August 25, 2014

The next drop is the next task of this binary, noted that none of these drops were fetched from internet instead the data is already included in .hta or .[random].exe or [random.tmp]. Using the exactly the same functions described above, 0x41FC90 for creation and 0x418EC2 for writing, the second drop operation were also performed. The file name is formed as per below strings:

```
"%USERPROFILE%\AppData\Identities\{RANDOM-ID}\disk1.img"
```

```
like:
```

```
"C:\Documents and Settings\MMD\Application Data\Identities\{116380ff-9f6a-4a90-9319-
89ee4f513542}\disk1.img"
```

the forensics PoC is:

[] [Mater].(2000).(WiteFile].(2000).ext into	Terlintin Schlerillerf(1888)-986-408-88-686(1886)(266)(466)(2688),/007eril 200,860, begebi 4/88's
- 2000 - Writer its - Companying and Settings	The light of the failed of the state of the


This file is actually a DLL file, here's some peframe:

File Name:	disk1.img
PE32 executable	for MS Windows (DLL) (GUI) Intel 80386 32-bit
File Size:	249344 byte
Compile Time:	2010-08-14 17:16:08
"DLL:	True"
Entry Point: 0x0	9001BBD1
Sections:	4
MD5 hash:	62646ea0a4ce1e6d955cbaef8c4a510d
SHA-1 hash:	10116a65e19a7ebc6702250cc1caabf755ce8e7f
Anti Debug:	Yes
Anti VM:	None

And Virus Total showing the good infection info:

First submission 2013-03-11 10:38:19 UTC ( 1 year, 5 months ago )
Last submission 2014-01-21 12:49:00 UTC ( 7 months ago )
File names disk1.dl, disk1.img

This file is then performing registry query and writing operations, I will skip some assembly for this, so shortly, these are the 8 keys added, below data I snip from forensics result:



We can see the autostart, and the way it camouflage malicious data in registry using legit scattered softwares and Windows components. Like: Auslogic (RecoveryDataStore), Photo Viewer, Disk Defragment Module, Microsoft Remote Assitance. This all means to hide and prevent the quick notice of this malware in the infected PC, it is a well thought plan.

To be noted that one of the key is used to run the defrag.exe execution via ShellExecuteW by the [Random].tmp file, and also you can see the "key" used for this malware saved, one last thing to be noticed is the the bot ID used.

PS: There are also more drops made which are the Windows task installer for this malware

C:\Windows\Tasks\ScheduledDefrag.job C:\Windows\Tasks\ScheduledDefrag\_admin.job

It is the Windows scheduler (kinda crond) to execute the EXE payload (defrag.exe). Pic:

[0x0000000]	]≻ x														
- offset -	0 1	23	45	67	89	ΑB	¢D	ΕF	01	234	567	789	)AE	308	ÆF
0x00000000	2006	0120	6331	b678	9643	4c4d	887e	766d		. c	1.)	<.(	Ľ	۱.^	vm
0x00000010	27c7	1913	4620	3a01	2020	2020	3c20	0a20		F	•			<	
0x00000020	2020	2020	ffff	ffff	2020	2020	0313	0420							
0x00000030	2022	2001	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	2020	*						
0x00000040	2020	2020	2020	3520	4320	3a20	5c20	5520			5	Ç	:	١.	Ų
0x00000050	7320	6520	7220	7320	5c20	4d20	4d20	4420	s	e r	Ş	١	М	М	D
0x00000060	5c20	4120	7020	7020	4420	6120	7420	6120	١.	Аp	р	D	a	t	а
0x00000070	5c20	5220	6f20	6120	6d20	6920	6e20	6720	$\setminus$	Ro	a	m	i	n	g
0x00000080	5c20	4320	6f20	6d20	6d20	6f20	6e20	2020	1	Сo	n	m	0	n	
0x00000090	4620	6920	6c20	6520	7320	5c20	6420	6520	F	i I	е	s	١	d	е
0x000000a0	6620	7220	6120	6720	2e20	6520	7820	6520	f	r a	g		е	х	е
0x000000b0	2020	2020	2020	0420	4d20	4d20	4420	2020				M	М	D	
0x000000c0	3620	5420	6820	6920	7320	2020	7420	6120	6	Τh	i.	\$		t	а
0x000000d0	7320	6b20	2020	6420	6520	6620	7220	6120	s	ĸ	d	е	f	r	а
0x000000e0	6720	6d20	6520	6e20	7420	7320	2020	7420	g١	mе	n	t	s		t
0x000000f0	6820		2020		6f20			7520	h (	e	С	0	Ш	р	u
0x00000100	7420				2020			7220	t	e r	Ş		h	â	r
0x00000110	6420	2020	6420	6920	7320	6b20	2020	6420	d	d	i	s	k		d
0x00000120	7220	6920	7620	6520	7320	2e20			r	i v	е	s			
[0x00000000]	]>														

#### What this payload does

First thing that caught interest and attention is these obfuscation constant variables saved in .rdata section:

```
0x40F3AC ; const WCHAR aTztxpx75Xtdsjq
0x40F3AC aTztxpx75Xtdsjg:
0x40F3AC
           unicode 0, ,0
0x40F3D6
           align 4
0x40F3D8 ; const WCHAR aTztufn43Xtdsjq
0x40F3D8 aTztufn43Xtdsjq:
0x40F3D8
           unicode 0, ,0
           align 4
0x40F402
0x40F404 ; const WCHAR a2e6g3ddEmm
0x40F404 a2e6g3ddEmm:
0x40F404
           unicode 0, ,0
0x40F430 ; const WCHAR aQsphsbnGjmftY9
0x40F430 aQsphsbnGjmftY9:
0x40F430
           unicode 0, ,0
0x40F498 ; const WCHAR aQsphsbnGjmftNf
0x40F498 aQsphsbnGjmftNf:
0x40F498
           unicode 0, ,0
           align 10h
0x40F4DE
0x40F4E0 ; const WCHAR aQsphsbnGjmft_0
0x40F4E0 aQsphsbnGjmft_0:
           unicode 0, ,0
0x40F4E0
           align 4
0x40F546
0x40F548 ; const WCHAR aQsphsbnGjmftJo
0x40F548 aQsphsbnGjmftJo:
0x40F548
           unicode 0, ,0
0x40F5A2
            align 4
```

We have good decoder team in MMD. Soon these data were translated as per below:



When these data formed in the functions where they were called, we will have better idea of WHY these strings were obfuscated. This time we will take a look at the dump analysis in disassembly, to seek the executed code parts only:

;;Loads a malicious DLL "1d5f2cc.dll" (later on known as disk1.img)) 0x0C22D37 call 0x0C28720h target: 0x0C28720 0x0C22D3C add esp, 0Ch 0x0C22D3F push 0x0C2F404h <== UTF-16 "2e6g3dd/emm" ; DECODED "1d5f2cc.dll"</pre> 0x0C22D44 lea edx, dword ptr [ebp-00000084h] 0x0C22D4A push edx 0x0C22D4B call dword ptr [0x0C2D06Ch] lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL ;; Strings for "\Software\Auslogics" entry in registry 0xC2207C lea ecx, dword ptr [ebp-00000802h] 0xC22082 push ecx 0xC22083 mov word ptr [ebp-00000804h], ax 0xC2208A call 00C28720h target: 00C28720 0xC2208F add esp, 0Ch "Tpguxbsf]Bvtmphjdt]|11111111.1111.1111.1111.111111111111-]SfdpwfszEbubTupsf" ; DECODED: "Software\Auslogics\{00000000-0000-0000-0000-0000000000000000}\RecoveryDataStore" ;; Checks path/process iexplorer.exe ...depends on system.... 0x0C22A4E call ebx PathFileExistsW@SHLWAPI.DLL (Import, 1 Params) 0x0C22A50 test eax, eax 0x0C22A52 jne 0x0C22AB8h target: 0x0C22AB8 0x0C22A54 push 0x0C2F4E0h <== UTF-16 "Qsphsbn!Gjmft!)y97\*]Joufsofu!Fyqmpsfs]jfyqmpsf/fyf" ; DECODED: "Program Files (x86)\Internet Explorer\iexplore.exe" ;; This look bad, why "Skype" is here?? 0x0C22625 xor eax, eax 0x0C22627 push 0000007Eh 0x0C22629 push eax 0x0C2262A lea ecx, dword ptr [ebp-0x000086h] 0x0C22630 push ecx 0x0C22631 mov word ptr [ebp-0x000088h], ax 0x0C22638 call 0x0C28720h target: 0x0C28720 0x0C2263D mov esi, dword ptr [0x0C2D06Ch] lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL 0x0C22643 add esp, 0Ch 0x0C22646 push 0x0C2F360h <== UTF-16 "//]tlzqf/fyf"</pre> ; DECODED "..\skype.exe" 0x0C2264B lea edx, dword ptr [ebp-0x000088h] 0x0C22651 push edx 0x0C22652 call esi lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL ;; And checks for Messenger too.?? 0x0C229DB push edx 0x0C229DC call ebx PathFileExistsW@SHLWAPI.DLL 0x0C229DE test eax, eax 0x0C229E0 jne 0x0C22A46h target: 0x0C22A46 0x0C229E2 push 0x0C2F498h <== UTF-16 "Osphsbn!Gjmft]Nfttfohfs]ntntht/fyf" ;</pre> ; DECODED: "Program Files\Messenger\msmsgs.exe" 0x0C229E7 lea eax, dword ptr [esp+74h]

0x0C229EB push eax 0x0C229EC call esi lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL ;; wscript.exe path..this must be used for something bad.. 0x0C22876 call dword ptr [0x0C2D090h] GetVersion@KERNEL32.DLL (Import, 0 Params) 0x0C2287C mov esi, dword ptr [0x0C2D06Ch] lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL (Import, 2 Params) 0x0C22882 push 0x0C2F3ACh <== UTF-16 "tztxpx75]xtdsjqu/fyf"; DEC0DED: "syswow64\wscript.exe" 0x0C22887 lea eax, dword ptr [esp+74h] 0x0C2288B push eax 0x0C2288C call esi lstrcpyW@KERNEL32.DLL (Import, 2 Params)

Found this function is interesting, I found the check for username "Administrator" and SUID "system" are checked:

;; Getting the current user name.... 0x0C21FAB xor bl, bl 0x0C21FAD call dword ptr [0xC2D00Ch] GetUserNameW@ADVAPI32.DLL (Import, 2 Params) 0x0C21FB3 test eax, eax 0x0C21FB5 je 0x0C21FCEh target: 0xC21FCE 0x0C21FB7 push 0x0C2F22Ch <== UTF-16 "system" 0x0C21FBC lea ecx, dword ptr [ebp-0x000204h] 0x0C21FC2 push ecx ;; Seek for Administrator account... 0x0C21AC9 call dword ptr [0x0C2D014h] LookupAccountSidW@ADVAPI32.DLL 0x0C21ACF test eax, eax 0x0C21AD1 je 0x0C21AFDh target: 0x0C21AFD 0x0C21AD3 lea ecx, dword ptr [ebp-0x000204h] 0x0C21AD9 push ecx 0x0C21ADA push 0x0C2F1FCh <== UTF-16 "administrators"</pre> 0x0C21ADF call dword ptr [0x0C2D030h] lstrcmpiW@KERNEL32.DLL 0x0C21AE5 test eax, eax

Suspicious isn't it?

I go back to the binary for understanding the related functions, which is in 0x4027F0. I was wondering of what is the part of **wscript.exe** (not again!??) mentioned by this binary. So I trailed the path of the **wscript.**exe starting here, assumed that the Windows architecture is x64:


0x40286E call sub\_408720 ; Check to fill ECX w/Quad deobfs 0x402873 add esp, 0Ch ; reserve ESP w/version info 0x402876 call ds:GetVersion ; Get current version number of Windows 0x402876 ; and information about the operating system platform 0x40287C mov esi, ds:lstrcpyW 0x402882 push offset aTztxpx75Xtdsjq <== Push: "tztxpx75]xtdsjqu/fyf" to stack 0x402882 ; Decoded: "syswow64\wscript.exe" eax, [esp+694h+pMore] ; load EAX 0x402887 lea ; lpString1 (push this to the stack) 0x40288B push eax 0x40288C call esi ; lstrcpyW ; Indirect Call Near Procedure 0x40288E mov dx, [esp+690h+pMore] edi, edi ; Cleanup EDI ecx, ecx ; Clenup ECX 0x402893 xor 0x402895 xor ; trail of [esp+69Ch+CommandLine] 0x402897 movzx eax, dx 0x40289A cmp di, dx ; A check to goto Appname/path

then found the binary wscript.exe is executed in this part:

0x402B54 xor	eax, eax
0x402B56 push	40h
0x402B58 push	eax
0x402B59 mov	[esp+698h+ProcessInformation.hThread], eax
0x402B5D mov	[esp+698h+ProcessInformation.dwProcessId], eax
0x402B61 mov	[esp+698h+ProcessInformation.dwThreadId], eax
0x402B65 lea	eax, [esp+698h+StartupInfo.lpReserved] ; Load Effective Address
0x402B69 push	eax
0x402B6A mov	<pre>[esp+69Ch+ProcessInformation.hProcess], 0</pre>
0x402B72 call	sub_408720 ; deobfs procedure
0x402B77 add	esp, OCh ; prep ESP
0x402B7A xor	ecx, ecx ; initiate ECX
0x402B7C lea	edx, [esp+690h+ProcessInformation] ;
0x402B80 push	edx ; lpProcessInformation
0x402B80	; goes to stack
0x402B81 lea	eax, [esp+694h+StartupInfo] ; load eff addr EAX filled w/
0x402B81	; startup info
0x402B85 push	eax ; lpStartupInfo goes to stack
0x402B86 push	offset Buffer ; lpCurrentDirectory
0x402B8B push	ecx ; lpEnvironment
0x402B8B	; (fill ECX w/ cmd execution flags)
0x402B8C push	ecx ; dwCreationFlags
0x402B8D push	ecx ; bInheritHandles
0x402B8E push	ecx ; lpThreadAttributes
0x402B8F push	ecx ; lpProcessAttributes
0x402B90 mov	<pre>[esp+6B0h+StartupInfo.wShowWindow], cx</pre>
0x402B95 lea	<pre>ecx, [esp+6B0h+CommandLine] ; load ProcInfo, Thread/ProcID+CmdLine</pre>
0x402B9C push	ecx ; lpCommandLine goes to stack
0x402B9D lea	edx, [esp+6B4h+ApplicationName] ; load appname &
0x402BA4 push	edx ; lpApplicationName goes ot stack
0x402BA5 mov	[esp+6B8h+StartupInfo.cb], 44h
0x402BAD mov	[esp+6B8h+StartupInfo.dwFlags], 1
0x402BB5 call	ds:CreateProcessW ; process called
0x402BBB test	eax, eax ; execution

So we have the wscript.exe process up and running.

Up to this part our teammate poke me in DM, and he asked me what can he helped, so I asked our friend (Mr. Raashid Bhat) to take over the further analysis of this defrag.exe and disk1.img, while I went to other parts, and after a while he came up straight forward with (1) decoder logic, which is match to our crack team did:



And (2) the conclusion of what "defrag.exe" is actually doing, is a loader which patches the executed wsscript.exe's ExitProcess to load the DLL "disk1.img"....Well, it's all starts to make more sense now.

Checking the reported data. I confirmed to find the "process was read" from here:


;; begins parame	ter to read process in memory here
0x4014BB mov	edx, [ebp+nSize]
0x4014C1 lea	ecx, [ebp+NumberOfBytesRead]
0x4014C7 push	ecx ; lpNumberOfBytesRead
0x4014C8 mov	ecx, [ebp+lpAddress]
0x4014CE push	edx ; nSize
0x4014CF lea	eax, [ebp+Buffer] ;
0x4014D2 push	eax ; lpBuffer
0x4014D3 push	ecx ; lpBaseAddress
0x4014D4 push	esi ; hProcess
0x4014D5 mov	[ebp+NumberOfBytesRead], ebx
0x4014DB call	ds:ReadProcessMemory ; <=====
	;†Reads data from an area of memory in a specified process.
0x4014E1 test	eax, eax ; execute

As for the "*Exit Process patching*" itself, it is a quite sophisticate technique was used. It used a tiny shellcode that was observed within Mem Loc 1 : 009C0000 to 009D0000 (by Raashid). The shellcode then was saved in binary which I received and then I was reversing it deeper, it looks like as per following snips:

E0x0000000	0]> x								
<ul> <li>offset -</li> </ul>	0 1	23	45	67	89	ΑB	СD	ΕF	0123456789ABCDEF
0x0000000	9090	68 <mark>00</mark>	009c	00e8	c7ac	e37b	bb04	009c	h{
0x0000010									
0x0000020									
0x0000030	7beb	f490							{

This shellcode I tweaked a bit, is in a plain assembly, contains three addresses of Windows static API call to (I wrote these API in order of calls from top to bottom)

LoadLibraryW@kernel32.dll, RtlGetLastWin32Error@ntdll.dll, Sleep@kernel32.dll which can be shown in assembly code of the code as per snips below:



So now we know that defrag.exe is actually hacked wscript.exe, hooks ExitProcess Function of kernel32.dll and patches it with a LoadLibraryW@kernel32.dll and loads a DLL string in local (for further execution), does some error-trapping and gives time for the DLL to be processed (loaded and executed).

OK. So now we have the idea on how this binary sniffs for account, checks for processes and load and use the DLL (disk1.img). There are many more details for more operation in defrag.exe, like searching the process of Auslogic and that skype/messenger buff (also many registry values sniffed too), but those will be added later after this main course..

### The DLL Payload

This DLL is the goal of this infection. It has operations for networking functionality, contains the CNC information and the data to be sent to the CNC. If you do forensics, you may never see disk1.img or the deobfuscated DLL filename in the process, but you will see its operation by the patched wscript.exe (for it was hacked to load this DLL, the wscript.exe process should appear).

Below is the DLL part that in charge for the socket connections...

#### ;; In function 10010544

```
edx, [ebp+var_8]
10010593 lea
10010596 push
                 edx
10010597 lea
                 edx, [ebp+var_2C]
1001059A push
                 edx
1001059B push
                 ecx
1001059C push
                 eax
                 ds:getaddrinfo ; networking info
1001059D call
 1
100105C7 push
                 dword ptr [esi+0Ch] ; protocol
100105CA push
                 dword ptr [esi+8] ; type
100105CD push
                 dword ptr [esi+4] ; af
100105D0 call
                 ds:socket
                                 ; open the socket
100105D6 mov
                 edi, eax
 1
100105DD push
                 dword ptr [esi+10h] ; namelen
                 dword ptr [esi+18h] ; name
100105E0 push
100105E3 push
                 edi
                                 ; s
100105E4 call
                 ds:connect ; connected to socket
 Ξ.
10010600 push
                 [ebp+var_8]
10010603 call
                 ds:freeaddrinfo
                 esi, ds:setsockopt
10010609 mov
1001060F push
                 ebx
                                 ; optlen (length)
10010610 lea
                 eax, [ebp-1]
                                 ; optval (value)
10010613 push
                 eax
10010614 push
                 ebx
                                 ; optname
                                 ; level
10010615 push
                 6
                 edi
10010617 push
                                 ; s
10010618 mov
                 [ebp+var_1], bl
                 esi ; setsockopt ; pass socket connection parameters
1001061B call
1001061D push
                                 ; optlen
                 4
1001061F lea
                 eax, [ebp+optval]
10010622 push
                 eax
                                 ; optval
                                ; optname
10010623 push
                1006h
                                 ; level
10010628 push
                 0FFFFh
1001062D push
                 edi
                                 ; s
1001062E call
                 esi ; setsoc
```

..this will be resulted in some internal socket binding operation we spotted in the debug mode as:

Bind IPPortStatus(n) HookAddrAPI Calls0.0.0.051902success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.052652success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.057334success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.057334success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.01209success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.054643success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.053539success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.054536success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.01210success1100105A3getaddrinfo0.0.0.051696success1100105A3getaddrinfo

Which one of them is successfully established connection to CNC:

Bind IP Port Status (n) HookAddr API Calls "91.229.77.179 8008 success" or wait 2 100105EA connect

From the further reversing section for this DLL (which was done by Raashid), the domains are encoded using single byte move. and can be seen in the below IDA snapshot:

											ļ										U.	10	-	L	T		112				_	_	_	_	_	-
							1a	-	13				_	11																						
											· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	34) 30 32 31 34 34 34 34 36 26 26 28 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20			NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV NOV			bg + bg + bg + bg + bg + bg + bg + bg +	var var var var var var var var var var	24 20 22 21 20 17 14 10 16 16 18 17 18 19 18 17 16 19 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	No. of the second s	in the second second						-03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03 -03	IF A I	(2) (3) (3) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4) (4						
N	hi	cł	ו	S	er	าต	lir	า <u>c</u>	g t	h	е	be	elc	W	/ k	olo	Зţ	S	; (	Df	b	in	a	_	_											
0	38	C B	64	01	RÅ	27	62	0.3	42	DD	66	0.5 F-	DB	C3	0.3	07	78	DB.	0.0	13	71	81	t a		• 0	1 lb	0 2	8 2	II.	0 8	5 0	X	ab	9	ΰ.	
16	08	02	625	82	40	01	17	D1	00	0.0	00	00 00	00	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	00	0.0	DC	1 -	0	5.0	1 5	÷.									
												10 00												7												
	001	uu.	00	<u>uu</u>	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	10 01	00	00	00	00	uu	00	uu	00	00															
2C 42												00 00																								

When I received the result, since I had the report that the CNC was down at the time reversed, I used the local dummy DNS to seek whether the requests was made to those CNC hosts, and is proven:

	-
DNS	77 Standard query 0x4b92 A menmin.strezf.com
DNS	74 Standard query 0x5202 A imaps.qki6.com
DNS	74 Standard query 0x8aec A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0x8aec A static.jg7.org
DNS	77 Standard query 0xa620 A menmin.strezf.com
DNS	74 Standard query 0xb3c3 A imaps.qki6.com
DNS	74 Standard query 0xb975 A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0xb975 A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0xb975 A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0xb975 A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0xe67b A imaps.qki6.com
DNS	74 Standard query 0xflda A static.jg7.org
DNS	74 Standard query 0xflda A static.jg7.org

Furthermore, using the different method of networking (I won't explain this for the security purpose), I could find the alive connection to the CNC's IP and PoC'ing the blob binary sent to initiate the connection. Noted, again the data matched, the reversing blob binary is actually the CNC sent data used to initiate the CNC communication, as per captured in the PCAP below, same bits:

No.	Destina	tio	n		Pr	oto	col	Le	ngt	1 1	nfo																		
15	91.229.	77.1	79		TO	2		-	6	2 2	pcd	3 >	ht	tp-	alt	ISY	NI	Seq=0 W	in-6	5535	Ler	1-8	MSS=	1460	SAG	CK_P	ERM	1	
16					TOP	2			6	2 h	ttp	al	t >	10	cd3	ISY	N.	ACK) Se	9-0	Acka	1 W:	in+1	4580	Len	-0 1	MSS	1460	SACK	PERM
17	91.229.	77.1	79		TO				6	0 i	ocd	3 >	ht	tp-	alt	[AC	81	Seg=1 A	ck=1	Win	=65	535	Len=	0		_			
18	91.229.	77.1	79		TOP	2			13	4 1	pcd	3 >	ht	tp-	alt	[PS	SH,	ACK] Se	q=1	Ack-	1 W:	in-6	5535	Len	-80				
19	and the second second				TOP	,			5	4 h	ttp	al	t >	· ip	cd3	[A0	жJ	Seq=1 A	ck=8	1 Wi	n=14	4580	Len	=0					
28	192.168	.0.2	Ô.		TO	>												ACK] Se							5=C				
21	91.229.	77.1	79		TCF	2												Seq=81											
22	91.229.	11.1	79		TOP	_												ACK] See							1=0	2			
23	100 000 000 000				TCF	2			5	4 h	ttp	al	t >	ip	cd3	[A(	×1	Seq=2 A	ck-8	2 Wi	n=14	4680	Len	-8					
	00									8	Fo	llow	TO	PS	treat	m													
S	tream C	onte	ent																										
	00000000																	8.db.							11				
	0000010	07	fB	db			f1	0e	02	6d	e7	4c									-								
	0008028	00	08	00	00	00	00	08	00	00	60	00	80		~~	00	~~	*******			-								
	0000030	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	00	60	00	00	00	~~	00	~~				*								
	0080048	00	00	00	00	80	90	00	00	08	60	80	96	98	00	00	60		5.5.5										

Does it means the CNC still alive?

I am not so sure. It was connected. The CNC "allowed" the bot to send the data to them, yet it was not responding back afterward and let the communication becoming in "pending" stage. So, there is many possibility can be happened, like: CNC is gone, or CNC specs has changed, etc. After all this APT sample is about 6-7months old.

So please allow me to take a rain check for analysis the blob binary used (still on it..among tons of tasks..). Let's investigate this CNC related network.

#### The CNC investigation

Based on the reverse engineering, forensics & behavior analysis we did, we found the CNC is actually 3 (three) hostnames matched to the 6 (six) IP addresses as per listed below:

static.jg7.org
imaps.qki6.com
menmin.strezf.com

Which historically are using the below IP addresses:

8.5.1.38 64.74.223.38 208.73.211.66 91.229.77.179 124.217.252.186 212.7.198.211

The first three domains is having a very bad reputation in phishing & malware infection globally. PoC-->[here]

P Address	Country Code	Location	Postal Code	Coordinates	ISP	Organization	Domain	Metro Code
8.5.1.38	US	Costa Mesa, California, United States, North America		33.6411, -117.9187	Level 3 Communications	eNom, Incorporated		803
64.74.223.38	US	Atlanta, Georgia, United States, North America	30303	33.7518, -84.3915	Internap Network Services Corporation	eNom, Incorporated		524
91.229.77.179	UA	Ukraine, Europe		49, 32	FOP Zemlyaniy Dmitro Leonidovich	FOP Zemlyaniy Dmitro Leonidovich	dellahost.com.ua	
124.217.252.186	MY	Malaysia, Asia		2.5, 112.5	Piradius Net	Piradius Net		
208.73.211.06	US	Los Angeles, California, United States, North America	90071	34.0533, -118.2549	Oversee.net	Oversee.net		803
212.7.198.211	NL.	Netherlands, Europe		52.5, 5.75	Dediserv Dedicated Servers Sp. z 0.0.	LeaseWeb B.V.		

#### For the location of these IP are shown in the below details:

And the period time for each CNC's used subdomains VS IP addresses above can be viewed clearly below (Thank you FairSight team):

first seen 2013-11-01 21:17:45 -0000 last seen 2013-11-04 05:22:20 -0000 static.jg7.org. A 8.5.1.41

first seen 2013-10-07 13:10:00 -0000 last seen 2013-11-18 14:38:32 -0000 static.jg7.org. A 64.74.223.41

first seen 2013-08-26 10:01:39 -0000 last seen 2013-10-07 12:34:21 -0000 static.jg7.org. A 91.229.77.179

first seen 2012-12-17 04:20:19 -0000 last seen 2013-06-20 05:53:03 -0000 static.jg7.org. A 124.217.252.186

first seen 2013-06-20 08:00:28 -0000 last seen 2013-08-26 09:00:42 -0000 static.jg7.org. A 212.7.198.211

first seen 2013-11-01 21:22:55 -0000 last seen 2013-11-04 05:24:20 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 8.5.1.38

first seen 2013-10-07 13:10:18 -0000 last seen 2013-11-18 14:38:38 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 64.74.223.38

first seen 2013-08-26 10:02:05 -0000 last seen 2013-10-07 12:33:13 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 91.229.77.179

first seen 2012-12-17 04:19:46 -0000 last seen 2013-06-20 05:52:30 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 124.217.252.186


first seen 2014-01-06 01:21:07 -0000 last seen 2014-01-11 14:30:44 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 208.73.211.66

first seen 2013-06-20 07:07:43 -0000 last seen 2013-08-26 09:01:08 -0000 imaps.qki6.com. A 212.7.198.211

first seen 2013-08-26 10:02:31 -0000 last seen 2014-08-22 04:06:36 -0000 menmin.strezf.com. A 91.229.77.179

first seen 2013-10-05 11:54:26 -0000 last seen 2013-10-07 13:45:55 -0000 menmin.strezf.com. A 208.91.197.101

first seen 2013-06-20 06:26:33 -0000 last seen 2013-08-26 09:01:34 -0000 menmin.strezf.com. A 212.7.198.211 And below is the DNS queries for these hostname (not IP) recorded in the recent terms, thank's to OpenDNS:



Cross checking various similar samples with the all recorded domains & IPs for the related CNC we found more possibility related hostnames to the similar series of the threat, suggesting the same actor(s), noted the usage of DDNS domains:

```
foursquare.dyndns.tv
neuro.dyndns-at-home.com
tripadvisor.dyndns.info
wowwiki.dynalias.net
yelp.webhop.org
(there are some more but we are not 100% sure of them yet..is a TBA now..)
```

The bully actor(s) who spread this APT loves to hide their domain behind various of services like:

nsX.dreamhost.com nsX.cloudns.net nsXX.ixwebhosting.com nsXX.domaincontrol.com dnsX.name-services.com nsXX.dsredirection.com dnsX.parkpage.foundationapi.com

With noted that these THREE CNC domains used by this sample, are made on this purpose only, and leaving many traceable evidence in the internet that we collected all of those successfully. Trailing every info leaves by this domains: *jg7.org, qki6.com. strezf.com* will help you to know who is actually behind this attack. Noted: see the time frame data we disclosed above. If there any malware initiators and coders think they can bully others and hide their ass in internet is a BIG FAIL.

The data is too many to write it all here, by the same method of previous check we can find the relation between results. It is an interesting investigation.

#### Samples

What we analyzed is shared only in KernelMode, link-->[here] With thankfully to KM team (rocks!) I am reserving a topic there for the continuation disclosure for same nature of sample and threat.

#### The epilogue

This series of APT attack looks come and go, it was reported back then from 2009. This one campaign looks over, but for some reason that we snipped in above writing, there is no way one can be sure whether these networks used are dead. The threat is worth to investigate and monitor deeper. Some posts are suspecting political background supporting a government mission of a certain group is behind this activities, by surveillance to the targeting victims. Avoiding speculation, what we saw is a spyware effort, with a good quality...a hand-made level, suggesting a custom made malware, and I bet is not a cheap work too. We talked and compare results within involved members and having same thought about this.

If you received the sample, or, maybe got infected by these series, I suggest to please take a look at the way it was spread, dropped techniques used binaries, and the many camouflage tricks used. Further, for the researchers involved, we should add that the way to hide the CNC within crook's network is the PoC for a very well-thought & clever tricks. We have enough idea for whom is capable to do this, and now is under investigation.

We are informing to all MMD friends, this investigation is OPEN, please help in gathering information that is related to this threat for the future time frame too, as much as possible. We are opposing whoever group that is backing up this evil operation, and believe me, the dots are started to connect each other..

We are going to handle the similar threat from now on, so IF you have the abuse case by malware and need the deep investigation of what that malware does, do not hesitate to send us sample, archive the samples and text contains the explanations of how you got the sample and how can we contact you, with the password "infected", and please upload it in this link-->[DropBin].

Don't use malware, we never believe that any usage of malware can achieve any goodness. We will battle the malware initiators and its coders for the sake to support a better humanity and better internet usage.

