

On the overloading of the address-of operator & in smart pointer classes

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20221010-00

October 10, 2022



Raymond Chen

Many smart pointer classes overload the address-of operator `&` to give you access to the inner raw pointer.

Unfortunately, they disagree on what happens to the object being managed by the smart pointer before you get its raw address.

Library	Existing contents
<code>_com_ptr_t</code>	Released
ATL (CComPtr)	Must be empty (will assert in Debug)
MFC (IPTR)	Released
WRL (ComPtr)	Released
wil (com_ptr)	Released
C++/WinRT (com_ptr)	N/A

C++/WinRT avoids the confusion by simply not having an overloaded `operator&` at all! Not having an overloaded `operator&` also makes it easier to take the address of the smart pointer itself. The `put()` method Releases any managed COM pointer and then returns the address of the raw pointer.

So let's finish the table. Let's say that `sp` is the name of a variable of the corresponding smart pointer type.

Library	Release	Don't release	Assumes empty
---------	---------	---------------	---------------

<code>_com_ptr_t</code>	<code>&sp</code>	<code>&sp.GetInterfacePtr()</code>	
ATL (CComPtr)		<code>&sp.p</code>	<code>&sp</code>
MFC (IPTR)	<code>&sp</code>		
WRL (ComPtr)	<code>&sp</code> <code>p.ReleaseAndGetAddressOf()</code>	<code>p.GetAddressOf()</code>	
wil (com_ptr)	<code>&sp</code> <code>sp.put()</code>	<code>sp.addressof()</code>	
C++/WinRT (com_ptr)	<code>sp.put()</code>		

Bonus chatter: The possibility of an overloaded `operator&` is one of those special cases you tend to forget about when writing template library code.¹ In general, it's not safe to use the `&` operator to get the address of an object of unknown type, because the operator might be overloaded. You have to use `std::addressof`.

¹ Hey, at least it's not an overloaded comma operator. That thing is *nasty*.

Raymond Chen

Follow

