

Rescuing a stack trace the lazy way on x86-64 (aka amd64) after the debugger gave up

 devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20220526-00

May 26, 2022



Raymond Chen

Some time ago, I showed how to [rescue a stack trace on x86-32 after the debugger lost the frame chain](#). The same basic idea works on x86-64, but it's both easy and hard, depending on how good a job you want to do.

It's hard if you want to get a perfect stack trace because Windows on x86-64 does not use the frame pointer register, so you will have to disassembly prologues to find out the size of each frame, just like we did with x86-32.

But the fact that x86-64 does not use the frame pointer register as part of the stack frame actually makes things easier if you just want to recover the trail and are okay with having a gap in coverage between where the debugger got lost and where you were able to pick things up. The fact that the code generation does not use the frame pointer for stack-walking purposes makes things easier because it's one less thing you have to recover!

So let's do things the easy way.

Here's an x86-64 stack trace that the debugger couldn't get to the bottom of:

Child-SP	RetAddr	Call Site
fffffb383`7a497690	fffff806`372910eb	nt!KiSwapContext+0x76
fffffb383`7a4977d0	fffff806`37292c49	nt!KiSwapThread+0x6db
fffffb383`7a4978b0	fffff806`372a8eec	nt!KiCommitThreadWait+0x159
fffffb383`7a497950	fffff806`376e13c0	nt!KeWaitForAlertByThreadId+0xc4
fffffb383`7a4979b0	fffff806`37425f75	nt!NtWaitForAlertByThreadId+0x30
fffffb383`7a4979e0	00007ff8`807c6814	nt!KiSystemServiceCopyEnd+0x25
00000000`0a02ba38	00007ff8`8075a8fd	ntdll!ZwWaitForAlertByThreadId+0x14
00000000`0a02ba40	00007ff8`1d5c6e72	ntdll!RtlAcquireSRWLockShared+0x15d
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	contoso!wil::AcquireSRWLockShared+0xa
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	contoso!wil::srwlock::lock_shared+0xa
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
contoso!WidgetController::GetControllerInstance+0x17		
00000000`0a02bab0	00007ff8`7fbe23e3	contoso!WidgetController::IsInitialized+0x32
00000000`0a02bae0	00007ff8`7fc4b68c	RPCRT4!Invoke+0x73
00000000`0a02bb30	00007ff8`7fbae5b2	RPCRT4!Ndr64StubWorker+0xb7c
00000000`0a02c1d0	00007ff8`7f5db185	RPCRT4!NdrStubCall3+0xd2
00000000`0a02c230	00007ff8`7fbc40a5	combase!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x65
00000000`0a02c270	00007ff8`7f5c89cd	RPCRT4!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x45
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing:::__l6::<lambda>::operator()+0x22		
00000000`0a02c2a0	00007ff8`7f5c8767	
combase!ObjectMethodExceptionHandlerAction<<lambda> >+0x4d		
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing+0xda		
00000000`0a02c300	00007ff8`7f5de5c8	combase!DefaultStubInvoke+0x257
00000000`0a02c450	00007ff8`7f57feef	combase!SyncServerCall::StubInvoke+0x38
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	combase!StubInvoke+0x496
00000000`0a02c490	00007ff8`7f55060f	combase!ServerCall::ContextInvoke+0x69f
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	combase!CServerChannel::ContextInvoke+0x16b
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	combase!DefaultInvokeInApartment+0x16b
00000000`0a02c770	00007ff8`7f626128	combase!ReentrantSTAInvokeInApartment+0x1df
00000000`0a02c7f0	00007ff8`7f57bfb9	combase!ComInvokeWithLockAndIPID+0x7c4
00000000`0a02cad0	00007ff8`7f577b21	combase!ThreadDispatch+0x331
00000000`0a02cbc0	00007ff8`7f31dd5c	combase!ThreadWndProc+0x1c1
00000000`0a02cc50	00007ff8`7f31c9e9	user32!UserCallWinProcCheckWow+0x33c
00000000`0a02cdc0	00007ff8`7f5df067	user32!DispatchMessageWorker+0x1c9
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	combase!CCLIModalLoop::MyDispatchMessage+0xc
00000000`0a02ce40	00007ff8`7f557627	
combase!CCLIModalLoop::PeekRPCAndDDEMessage+0x77		
00000000`0a02ceb0	00007ff8`7f557366	combase!CCLIModalLoop::BlockFn+0x283
00000000`0a02cf60	00007ff8`7f5e91c3	combase!ModalLoop+0xc2
00000000`0a02cfb0	00007ff8`7f550c85	
combase!ClassicSTAThreadDispatchCrossApartmentCall+0x63		
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
combase!CSyncClientCall::SwitchAptAndDispatchCall+0x9b		
00000000`0a02cff0	00007ff8`7f555372	combase!CSyncClientCall::SendReceive2+0x415
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
combase!SyncClientCallRetryContext::SendReceiveWithRetry+0x2b		
(Inline Function)	-----`-----	
combase!CSyncClientCall::SendReceiveInRetryContext+0x2f		
00000000`0a02d540	00000000`00000000	combase!ClassicSTAThreadSendReceive+0xa2

We see that we are waiting to acquire a reader/writer lock in shared mode. This came from an inbound request from another thread, as evidenced by the fact that the entry into the Contoso component came from `RPCRT4!Invoke`, the remote procedure call runtime.

But the stack trace goes cold in the middle of the COM infrastructure. Can we try to repair it?

Start by dumping the stack at the last known good address, namely the last stack pointer before the debugger gave up:

```
00000000`0a02cff0 00007ff8`7f555372          combase!CSyncClientCall::SendReceive2+0x415
```

```
0: kd> dps 00000000`0a02cff0
```

```
00000000`0a02cff0 00000000`077801f0
00000000`0a02cff8 00000000`0a02d0f0
00000000`0a02d000 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d008 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d010 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d018 0000002a`00000000
00000000`0a02d020 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d028 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d030 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d038 00005dff`00002a78
00000000`0a02d040 4054792b`5a44dc12
00000000`0a02d048 6813c787`a838fdb8
00000000`0a02d050 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d058 00000000`0a02dbd0
00000000`0a02d060 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d068 00000000`0a02d908
0: kd> d
00000000`0a02d070 00000000`0a02dbd0
00000000`0a02d078 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d080 0000cd1c`00000000
00000000`0a02d088 00007ff8`00000000
00000000`0a02d090 00000000`ffffffff
00000000`0a02d098 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d0a0 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d0a8 00007ff8`8074952d ntdll!RtlpLowFragHeapAllocFromContext+0x1cd
00000000`0a02d0b0 00000000`00060007
00000000`0a02d0b8 00000000`00000180
00000000`0a02d0c0 00000000`07482f80
00000000`0a02d0c8 00000000`05733dc0
00000000`0a02d0d0 00000000`074f4300
00000000`0a02d0d8 00000000`0a02d1f0
00000000`0a02d0e0 01277f18`00000000
00000000`0a02d0e8 00000000`ffffffff
```

We're looking for return addresses that look like a stack frame that would plausibly lead to `combase!ClassicSTAThreadSendReceive`. I like to play it safe and just dump for a long time, to get out of the scary broken region (whatever it was that got the debugger confused), and not pay attention until we've dumped a screenful or two.

(a few dump commands skipped)

0: kd> d

```
00000000`0a02d6f0 00000000`077801f0
00000000`0a02d6f8 00007ff8`7f5d86b6 combase!CStdIdentity::CInternalUnk::AddRef+0xc6
00000000`0a02d700 00000000`0737db01
00000000`0a02d708 00007ff8`6e2d6150 OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IID_IServiceProvider
00000000`0a02d710 00000000`074f4300
00000000`0a02d718 00000000`07366790
00000000`0a02d720 00000000`074f4300
00000000`0a02d728 00007ff8`7f57e4fd combase!StandardComClientCall::GetBuffer+0x17d
00000000`0a02d730 00000000`077801f0
00000000`0a02d738 00000000`0a02db00
00000000`0a02d740 00007ff8`00000001
00000000`0a02d748 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d750 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d758 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d760 00000000`0a02d7f0
00000000`0a02d768 00000000`0a02d784
```

0: kd> d

```
00000000`0a02d770 00000000`0a02d780
00000000`0a02d778 42edc8cf`91b64ada
00000000`0a02d780 00000000`b74f0a00
00000000`0a02d788 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d790 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d798 00007ff8`7f7e1bbe combase!mega__MIDL_ProcFormatString+0x340e
00000000`0a02d7a0 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d7a8 00000000`00000062
00000000`0a02d7b0 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d7b8 00000028`00000001
00000000`0a02d7c0 00007ff8`7f7a69c0 combase!IRundown_SyntaxInfo+0x50
00000000`0a02d7c8 00007ff8`7df1a950 bcryptPrimitives!GetPtrPtrToPerCpuState+0x24
00000000`0a02d7d0 00000000`00000010
00000000`0a02d7d8 00007ff8`7df1a6da bcryptPrimitives!AesRNGState_generate+0x166
00000000`0a02d7e0 11ce7436`6d5140c1
00000000`0a02d7e8 fa096000`aa003480
```

0: kd> d

```
00000000`0a02d7f0 00efe307`38512264
00000000`0a02d7f8 d800ec24`1467b218
00000000`0a02d800 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d808 00007ff8`7f57ced5
combase!StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax+0xf5
00000000`0a02d810 0000cd1c`5d9cdd71
00000000`0a02d818 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d820 00000000`0a02da40
00000000`0a02d828 00000000`0737db01
00000000`0a02d830 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d838 00000000`0a02dbd0
00000000`0a02d840 00000000`0a02d908
00000000`0a02d848 00000000`074f4300
00000000`0a02d850 00000000`00000000
00000000`0a02d858 00007ff8`7f5789e8 combase!CClientChannel::SendReceive+0x98
```

```
00000000`0a02d860 00000000`0a02da40
00000000`0a02d868 00000000`0737db01
```

Now the `bcrypt` stuff is almost certainly spurious, probably left over from earlier calls, because there's no obvious reason for `GetPtrPtrToPerCpuState` to be calling into the COM marshaling infrastructure. However, the `combase` functions `StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax` and `CClientChannel::SendReceive` look promising.

Let's tell the debugger to create a stack trace starting at a point that looks good: The parameters to the `k=` command vary from processor to processor, so I always have to go look them up.

```
Kernel-Mode, x64 Processor
[Processor] k[b|p|P|v] [c] [n] [f] [L] [M] [FrameCount]
[Processor] k[b|p|P|v] [c] [n] [f] [L] [M] = StackPtr FrameCount
[Processor] k[b|p|P|v] [c] [n] [f] [L] [M] = StackPtr InstructionPtr FrameCount
[Processor] kd [WordCount]
```

The one I want here is the one that takes a stack pointer, an instruction pointer, and a frame count.

```
00000000`0a02d808 00007ff8`7f57ced5
combase!StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax+0xf5
```

From this line in the stack dump, we realize that if the stack pointer is `00000000`0a02d808` and the program executes a return instruction, then control will return to `00007ff8`7f57ced5` (`combase!StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax+0xf5i`) with the stack pointer equal to `00000000`0a02d810` (because returning will pop the 8-byte return address from the stack).

So we give that to the debugger and see what we get.

```
0: kd> k=00000000`0a02d810 00007ff8`7f57ced5 9
Child-SP          RetAddr          Call Site
00000000`0a02d810 00000000`0a02dcb0
combase!StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax+0xf5
00000000`0a02d890 00000000`06e80730 0xa02dcb0
00000000`0a02d898 00007ff8`7f5ceb66 0x6e80730
00000000`0a02d8a0 00007ff8`7f5db368 combase!CClientChannel::GetBuffer+0x96
00000000`0a02d8d0 00007ff8`7fc4c2c3 combase!NdrExtpProxySendReceive+0x58
(Inline Function) -----`----- RPCRT4!Ndr64pSendReceive+0x39
00000000`0a02d900 00007ff8`7fc4dd38 RPCRT4!NdrpClientCall3+0x403
00000000`0a02dc80 00007ff8`6e2548e2 RPCRT4!NdrClientCall3+0xe8
(Inline Function) -----`-----
OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IServiceProvider_RemoteQueryService_Proxy+0x2e
```

Those garbage frames at the top of the stack don't look so good, but things seem to straighten themselves out. Let's go a little further.

```

0: kd> k=00000000`0a02d810 00007ff8`7f57ced5 99
Child-SP          RetAddr          Call Site
00000000`0a02d810 00000000`0a02dcb0
combase!StandardComClientCall::NegotiateSyntax+0xf5
00000000`0a02d890 00000000`06e80730      0xa02dcb0
00000000`0a02d898 00007ff8`7f5ceb66      0x6e80730
00000000`0a02d8a0 00007ff8`7f5db368      combase!CClientChannel::GetBuffer+0x96
00000000`0a02d8d0 00007ff8`7fc4c2c3      combase!NdrExtpProxySendReceive+0x58
(Inline Function) -----`-----
RPCRT4!Ndr64pSendReceive+0x39
00000000`0a02d900 00007ff8`7fc4dd38      RPCRT4!NdrpClientCall3+0x403
00000000`0a02dc80 00007ff8`6e2548e2      RPCRT4!NdrClientCall3+0xe8
(Inline Function) -----`-----
OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IServiceProvider_RemoteQueryService_Proxy+0x2e
00000000`0a02e010 00007ff8`66d721e0
OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IServiceProvider_QueryService_Proxy+0x32
00000000`0a02e060 00007ff8`66d72085      contoso!Doodad::QueryService+0x110
00000000`0a02e0d0 00007ff8`1d5aec7       contoso!Gadget::QueryService+0x55
00000000`0a02e100 00007ff8`1d606e6a
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::GetWidgetDirector+0x77
(Inline Function) -----`-----
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::UnregisterEndpoint::__l2::<lambda>::operator()+0x1a
00000000`0a02e140 00007ff8`1d5fc4d0
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::UnregisterEndpoint+0xba
00000000`0a02e2e0 00007ff8`7fbe23e3
contoso!WidgetController::UnregisterEndpoint+0x40
00000000`0a02e310 00007ff8`7fc4b68c      RPCRT4!Invoke+0x73
00000000`0a02e360 00007ff8`7fbae5b2      RPCRT4!Ndr64StubWorker+0xb7c
00000000`0a02ea00 00007ff8`7f5db185      RPCRT4!NdrStubCall3+0xd2
00000000`0a02ea60 00007ff8`7fbc40a5      combase!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x65
00000000`0a02eaa0 00007ff8`7f5c89cd      RPCRT4!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x45
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing::__l6::<lambda>::operator()+0x22
00000000`0a02ead0 00007ff8`7f5c8767
combase!ObjectMethodExceptionHandlingAction<<lambda> >+0x4d
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing+0xda
00000000`0a02eb30 00007ff8`7f5de5c8      combase!DefaultStubInvoke+0x257
00000000`0a02ec80 00007ff8`7f57feef      combase!SyncServerCall::StubInvoke+0x38
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!StubInvoke+0x496
00000000`0a02ecc0 00007ff8`7f55060f      combase!ServerCall::ContextInvoke+0x69f
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!CServerChannel::ContextInvoke+0x16b
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!DefaultInvokeInApartment+0x16b
00000000`0a02efa0 00007ff8`7f626128      combase!ReentrantSTAINvokeInApartment+0x1df
00000000`0a02f020 00007ff8`7f57bfb9      combase!ComInvokeWithLockAndIPID+0x7c4
00000000`0a02f300 00007ff8`7f577b21      combase!ThreadDispatch+0x331
00000000`0a02f3f0 00007ff8`7f31dd5c      combase!ThreadWndProc+0x1c1
00000000`0a02f480 00007ff8`7f31c9e9      user32!UserCallWinProcCheckWow+0x33c
00000000`0a02f5f0 00007ff8`551912e8      user32!DispatchMessageWorker+0x1c9
00000000`0a02f670 00007ff8`55191259      contoso!Session::s_RunMessageLoop+0x80
00000000`0a02f6e0 00007ff8`7fa04e9f      contoso!Session::s_RunDirectorThread+0xe9
00000000`0a02f810 00007ff8`8072485b      KERNEL32!BaseThreadInitThunk+0x10
00000000`0a02f840 00000000`00000000      ntdll!RtlUserThreadStart+0x2b

```

This looks like a totally plausible stack trace if you ignore the instability at the top.

If you'd rather get a totally clean stack trace, then try out different starting points. From this one

```
00000000`0a02d858 00007ff8`7f5789e8 combase!CClientChannel::SendReceive+0x98
```

we get

```

0: kd> k=00000000`0a02d860 00007ff8`7f5789e8 99
Child-SP          RetAddr          Call Site
00000000`0a02d860 00007ff8`7f5db368  combase!CClientChannel::SendReceive+0x98
00000000`0a02d8d0 00007ff8`7fc4c2c3  combase!NdrExtProxySendReceive+0x58
(Inline Function) -----`-----
RPCRT4!Ndr64pSendReceive+0x39
00000000`0a02d900 00007ff8`7fc4dd38  RPCRT4!NdrpClientCall3+0x403
00000000`0a02dc80 00007ff8`6e2548e2  RPCRT4!NdrClientCall3+0xe8
(Inline Function) -----`-----
OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IServiceProvider_RemoteQueryService_Proxy+0x2e
00000000`0a02e010 00007ff8`66d721e0
OneCoreCommonProxyStub!IServiceProvider_QueryService_Proxy+0x32
00000000`0a02e060 00007ff8`66d72085  contoso!Doodad::QueryService+0x110
00000000`0a02e0d0 00007ff8`1d5aec7    contoso!Gadget::QueryService+0x55
00000000`0a02e100 00007ff8`1d606e6a
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::GetWidgetDirector+0x77
(Inline Function) -----`-----
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::UnregisterEndpoint::__l2::<lambda>::operator()+0x1a
00000000`0a02e140 00007ff8`1d5fc4d0
contoso!WidgetControllerImpl::UnregisterEndpoint+0xba
00000000`0a02e2e0 00007ff8`7fbe23e3
contoso!WidgetController::UnregisterEndpoint+0x40
00000000`0a02e310 00007ff8`7fc4b68c  RPCRT4!Invoke+0x73
00000000`0a02e360 00007ff8`7fbae5b2  RPCRT4!Ndr64StubWorker+0xb7c
00000000`0a02ea00 00007ff8`7f5db185  RPCRT4!NdrStubCall3+0xd2
00000000`0a02ea60 00007ff8`7fbc40a5  combase!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x65
00000000`0a02eaa0 00007ff8`7f5c89cd  RPCRT4!CStdStubBuffer_Invoke+0x45
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing::__l6::<lambda>::operator()+0x22
00000000`0a02ead0 00007ff8`7f5c8767
combase!ObjectMethodExceptionHandlerAction<<lambda> >+0x4d
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!InvokeStubWithExceptionPolicyAndTracing+0xda
00000000`0a02eb30 00007ff8`7f5de5c8  combase!DefaultStubInvoke+0x257
00000000`0a02ec80 00007ff8`7f57feef  combase!SyncServerCall::StubInvoke+0x38
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!StubInvoke+0x496
00000000`0a02ecc0 00007ff8`7f55060f  combase!ServerCall::ContextInvoke+0x69f
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!ServerChannel::ContextInvoke+0x16b
(Inline Function) -----`-----
combase!DefaultInvokeInApartment+0x16b
00000000`0a02efa0 00007ff8`7f626128  combase!ReentrantSTAInvokeInApartment+0x1df
00000000`0a02f020 00007ff8`7f57bfb9  combase!ComInvokeWithLockAndIPID+0x7c4
00000000`0a02f300 00007ff8`7f577b21  combase!ThreadDispatch+0x331
00000000`0a02f3f0 00007ff8`7f31dd5c  combase!ThreadWndProc+0x1c1
00000000`0a02f480 00007ff8`7f31c9e9  user32!UserCallWinProcCheckWow+0x33c
00000000`0a02f5f0 00007ff8`551912e8  user32!DispatchMessageWorker+0x1c9
00000000`0a02f670 00007ff8`55191259  contoso!Session::s_RunMessageLoop+0x80
00000000`0a02f6e0 00007ff8`7fa04e9f  contoso!Session::s_RunDirectorThread+0xe9
00000000`0a02f810 00007ff8`8072485b  KERNEL32!BaseThreadInitThunk+0x10
00000000`0a02f840 00000000`00000000  ntdll!RtlUserThreadStart+0x2b

```

which is identical to our previous one, once you get past the initial instability.

Next time, we'll look at why there is often instability at the start of a recovered stack trace.

Raymond Chen

Follow

