Rough edges in the when_all coroutine, part 2: Overloaded comma operator

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20200904-00

September 4, 2020



Raymond Chen

Last time, we looked at <u>a problematic edge case in our when all coroutine: The empty parameter list</u>.

There's another edge case that can cause trouble, and that's the case where the comma operator itself has been overloaded.¹

```
struct S
{
  void Detonate();
  S operator,(S right) { Detonate(); return right; }
};
struct async_s : std::experimental::suspend_never
{
   S await_resume() { return {}; }
};
when_all(async_s(), async_s()); // kaboom
```

We start by defining a type S that has a comma operator. When you comma two S objects together, the first one explodes.

Next, we define an awaitable object <code>async_s</code>: When you <code>co_await</code>, an <code>s</code> comes out.

And then we pass two of these objects to when_all. The expectation is that the when_all awaits the two objects, throws away the results, and returns.

Instead, what happens is that the S object explodes.

What went wrong is that our fold expression expanded to

```
IAsyncAction when_all(async_s v1, async_s v2)
{
   (co_await v1, co_await v2);
   co_return;
}
```

The intent of the comma in the fold expression was to throw away the left-hand operand, leaving the last surviving operand to be thrown away by the statement-expression. But thanks to the custom comma operator, it actually causes the left-hand operand to explode.

To suppress any custom comma operators, we can cast the result of the <code>co_await</code> to <code>void</code> . Since you cannot overload the comma operator for <code>void</code> , this forces the use of the default comma operator, so we just comma-combine a bunch of <code>void</code> s, which is harmless.

```
template <typename... T>
Windows::Foundation::IAsyncAction when_all(T... async)
{
    (void(co_await async), ...);
    co_return;
}
```

Here is the PR that fixes the empty parameter list and comma operator issues, and a followup.

Bonus chatter: We could also have used a right fold:

```
(co_await async, ..., void());
which expands to
  (co_await v1, (co_await v2, void()));
```

But I think casting away the value is simpler.

¹ Shame on you.

Raymond Chen

Follow

