In C++/WinRT, what happens when I treat an IInspectable as or convert one to a bool



December 6, 2019



Raymond Chen

Last time, we looked at <u>weirdness in how C++/CX treats hat pointers in a bool context</u>. Fortunately, C++/WinRT is much less weird.

The IInspectable type supports a conversion to bool which tests whether the underlying pointer is null. It also supports comparison against nullptr which tests the same thing. And, unlike C++/CX, C++/WinRT uses this conversion for both explicit and contextual conversions.

Condition	What's happening	Result
if (p)	Tests p against nullptr.	prints 1
if ((bool)p)	Tests p against nullptr.	prints 2
if (static_cast <bool>(p))</bool>	Tests p against nullptr.	prints 3
if (p == q)	Compares two objects for identity.	does not print
if (p == false)	Not allowed (compiler error).	
if (!p)	Tests p against nullptr.	does not print
if ((bool)p == (bool)q)	Tests p and q against nullptr.	prints 7

Note that the last case prints 7 but not for the reason you think. It's not doing any unboxing at all. It's just checking whether both variables are non-null.

Bonus chatter: There is a little quirk in the p == false case. My understanding is that prior to C++11, false was a legal *null pointer constant*, but the rules in C++11 were tightened so that false is no longer a null pointer context.

Microsoft's Visual Studio C++ compiler, however, continues to accept false as a null pointer constant, even in non-permissive mode. This means that if you're using Microsoft's Visual Studio C++ compiler, the fifth row of the table is slightly different:

Condition	What's happening	Result
if (p == false)	<pre>false converted to IInspectable{ nullptr } and compared with p</pre>	does not print

Raymond Chen

Follow

