Summary of the recent spate of /3GB articles

devblogs.microsoft.com/oldnewthing/20040822-00

August 22, 2004



Raymond Chen

A table of contents now that the whole thing is over. I hope.

- <u>The oft-misunderstood /3GB switch</u>. It's simple to explain what it does, but people often misunderstand.
- <u>Kernel address space consequences of the /3GB switch</u>. An adverse consequence of the /3GB switch.
- Myth: Without /3GB the total amount of memory that can be allocated across all programs is 2GB. Virtual memory is not virtual address space (part 1).
- Myth: Without /3GB a single program can't allocate more than 2GB of virtual memory. Virtual memory is not virtual address space (part 2).
- Myth: You need /3GB if you have more than 2GB of physical memory. Virtual address space is not physical memory.
- Myth: The /3GB switch expands the user-mode address space of all programs. A program must request it before it gets it.
- Why does Exchange recommend /3GB if you have more than 1GB of physical memory? Bologna and cheese sandwiches.
- Myth: The /3GB switch lets me map one giant 3GB block of memory. There are still holes in the virtual address space.
- Why is the virtual address space 4GB anyway? That's what happens when you have 32-bit pointers.
- <u>Myth: PAE increases the virtual address space beyond 4GB</u>. PAE is an extension for physical address, not virtual addresses.
- <u>Myth: In order to use AWE, you must enable PAE</u>. The two are independent. AWE is how programs access physical memory. PAE is how the CPU accesses physical memory.
- The curious interaction between PAE and NX. NX uses a feature available only in PAE mode.

I'm not sure how successful this series has been, though, for it appears that even people who have read the articles <u>continue to confuse virtual address space with physical address space</u>. (Or maybe this person is merely mocking a faulty argument? I can't tell for sure.)

Raymond Chen

Follow

